

The Lead-Up to the Events — A Legal Narrative by Simon Paul Cordell

Timeline of Key Dates

- **04/08/2025** —
 1. *Documented:* Initial arrest and GPS tag fitted under questionable circumstances.
 2. Emails Sent to and back from Highbury & Islington Court for bail condition variation.
 3. Taken to Hospital due to police assault against me
- **05/08/2025** —Emails Sent to and back from Highbury & Islington Court for bail condition variation.
- **06/08/2025** —Emails Sent to and back from Highbury & Islington Court for bail condition variation.
- **08/08/2025** — *Documented:* Ongoing monitoring and procedural confusion.
- **14/08/2025** — *Documented:* Wrongful arrest, tag mismanagement, and forced removal from Wood Green Police Station.
- **15/08/2025** — Alleged tag breach due to power outage (2am–10pm).
- **17/08/2025** — Alleged failure to answer door; disproven by note and call logs.
- **20/08/2025** — Serco delivers replacement charger after police failed to return original.
- **25/08/2025** — Tag replaced again due to charging fault.
- **26/08/2025** — Arrested again for breach and alleged assault; no caution, no interview, no evidence.

My Statement: I prepared this statement at home following the incident that occurred. It was written in response to what I believe was a failure to uphold a fair legal process. My MG11 statement was initially submitted via email to my solicitors, as referenced in the documentation below. It was formally acknowledged and accepted by my legal representatives in the following email: [insert email reference], dated [insert date and time] and this was before I received the statement of the prosecuting team: [insert date and time]

It has been explained to me that this has now been submitted to the prosecuting team as well. But this is not true!



**METROPOLITAN
POLICE**

RESTRICTED (when complete)

MG11

WITNESS STATEMENT

**Criminal Procedure Rules, r 16.2; Criminal Justice Act
1967, s. 9**

The Civil Procedure Rules 1998

URN

Statement of: Mr. Simon Paul Cordell

Age if under 18: *(if over 18 inserts 'over 18')*

Occupation: News Reporter!

This statement (consisting of **[00]** page(s) each signed by me) is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I make it knowing that, if it is tendered in evidence, I shall be liable to prosecution if I have willfully stated in it anything which I know to be false, or do not believe to be true.

Signature:

(witness) Date:

I, Mr Simon Paul Cordell, of 109 Burncroft Avenue PO BOX EN3 7JQ.

I WILL SAY AS FOLLOWS

MG11 Statement – Simon Cordell

Date of Incident: 03/07/2025

Location: Communal area of my flat!

Background

I am a secure tenant living on the ground floor of

Address: 109 Burncroft Avenue Enfield En3 7jq.

Since 2006. One of my neighbours, Rebecca O'Hare who I believe is illegally subletting a council flat on the second floor since late 2017 or early 2018, has made false allegations to the police claiming I harassed her. This is entirely untrue.

I have never knocked on her door or initiated contact. Since she moved in, she has persistently targeted me with behaviour that is malicious, distressing, and harmful. I have multiple exhibits of evidence proving she is the aggressor. These are documented on my website Horrificcorruption.com,

and I am currently pursuing legal action against council and police staff who have enabled this mistreatment.

Rebecca is aware of my documentation and uses this knowledge to try and discredit me before I release the full truth. Her actions are part of a broader attempt to suppress evidence of corruption and abuse.

Events Leading Up to the Incident

On 28/06/2025, I underwent hernia surgery at North Circular Private Hospital. The recovery left me bedridden, unable to bend, walk properly, or sleep comfortably. On 02/07/2025, I contacted the hospital due to concerns about swelling and pain. They advised me to wait a few more days and monitor the symptoms.

On 03/07/2025, around 6pm, I became hungry and ordered approximately £45 worth of Indian food via Just Eat. I timed my exit to the communal hallway precisely when the delivery driver arrived, as I avoid lingering there due to Rebecca's history of harassment. I do not stand in my own corridor out of fear—she has banged on the kitchen wall, used her flat to negatively affect my home and belongings, and violated my right to a private life.

While watching the delivery driver arrive, I saw Rebecca drive past in a grey 2025 car with no L plates. I had never seen her drive before and do not believe she owns the vehicle or holds a valid UK driving licence. I reported this to the police, but they showed no interest.

I have exhibited prove with mutable other witness of mine who are all happy to attend court to give evidence to this effect about her. The police or council refuse to investigate or arrest Rebbeca and leave her to continue her evil crimes against me. I have reported this to the council due to the safety of her looking after her own children that she gave birth to since she moved into the block of flats I rent in, and this is due to her own bad decisions.

On this day 03/07/25 I had been in doors after my operation at North Circular Private Hospital. I had been for a hernia operation on the 28/06/2025, this operation left me bedridden and unable to bend over and pick things up, stand up straight, laydown comfortably, walk, couth, talk, sleep, without serios pain, in fact I believed the operations had gone wrong.

Interaction with Delivery Driver

The driver handed me the food, but the bag felt light. I ran back out and called him to return. We spoke while he tried to reach his office to resolve the missing items. During this time, I saw Rebecca enter the car park and interact with another neighbour who waved at her. That neighbour then walked past me, greeted me, and witnessed Rebecca re-enter the building and walk past me without any verbal exchange.

I did not speak to her or acknowledge her. I simply documented the event in my diary, as I always do. She knows I record everything, and this is why she is trying to set me up—before I release the full evidence.

Eventually, the delivery driver confirmed the till receipt had not printed the full order. He returned with the missing items and additional food, bringing the total value to around £60. I went back inside, while still in my pyjamas, and began dishing up the food.

The car park is 400-500 yards away and I can't access it from my front block without taking a 3–4-minute walk. As she walked past me, she was giving me dirty looks, but I just refused to acknowledge her. Eventually, the delivery driver got through to his boss and found out that the till receipt hadn't printed the complete order. I had paid £24 and received a 20% discount, plus I had an £8 token, so I was expecting £45 worth of Indian food. I was happy, but I didn't receive it all. The driver then went

back and returned with the missing items and even more, making it worth £60 in total. I finally got back inside and started to dish it up, which was about an hour and a half after I saw Rebecca last.

Police Arrival – Full Account

Approximately 90 minutes after I had returned inside and begun eating my meal, I heard aggressive banging at my front door. Due to the force used, I approached with caution and asked who it was. A female voice—who I now know to be a police officer—shouted, “Open the door now.” I asked her to identify herself and explain the reason for her presence. She replied, “It’s the police, open the door now.”

I stated clearly that I had done nothing wrong and asked what this was about. She claimed I had been “harassing my neighbours.” I responded with the following facts:

- “In any sense, this would be a civil matter.”
- “I’ve had no prior warnings from police—if I had, I would have complied.”
- “There is no risk of immediate danger, especially given the time that has passed.”
- “I am not guilty.”

The officer appeared agitated and instructed a male colleague to retrieve a battering ram to break down my door. As he rushed off, I tried to explain that I was behind the door and was attempting to slide my medical note under it. I had recently undergone hernia surgery and was still in pyjamas, unable to move quickly.

I held the medical note in my hand and pleaded with them not to break the door, explaining the risks involved. Despite this, the male officer smashed the door open with the ram, striking me in the head. I stumbled back but managed to stay upright, still holding the medical note in both hands, raised in the air.

Seeing me unarmed and in clear distress, the male officer threw the ram to the floor, then abruptly pulled out his stun gun and aimed it directly at my face. I saw the red targeting light in my line of sight and feared for my life. I pleaded with him to calm down, saying:

- “I’ve done nothing wrong.”
- “Your body cam better be on.”
- “I have a medical note in my hands, not a weapon.”
- “Please call an ambulance—you’re hurting me.”

Instead of de-escalating, it seemed as if he discharged the stun gun in all his rage and then used it as a blunt weapon, striking me across the head. His female colleague, who had initiated the entire incident, assisted him and ignored my screams of pain and concern. She grabbed the medical note from my hand, threw it to the floor, and shouted, “You’re not getting an ambulance,” as I pleaded for one.

She then wrapped restraints around my legs, causing severe pain to my stitches and surgical site. Together, they threw me to the floor like a rag doll, showing no regard for my head or the risk of further injury. At one point, the male officer deliberately punched me in the stomach—directly where I had been operated on—causing me to scream in agony and again beg for an ambulance. They refused repeatedly.

Eventually, other officers arrived and entered my flat. They witnessed the assault firsthand, with their own eyes and body cameras. They heard me pleading for medical help and saw the brutality. These new officers intervened, told the original two to leave my flat, and took over.

They treated me like a human being, listened to my requests, and agreed to remove the leg restraints. They allowed me to secure my flat and walked me outside. I believed I was being taken to an ambulance—but instead, they said no ambulance was coming and that I was going in a police van.

I sat down outside the flats, knowing their actions would worsen my medical condition. Neighbours began to gather, including the gentleman who had waved earlier. I pleaded with the female officer to reconsider and release me, but she refused and repeated, “You’re not getting an ambulance.”

Multiple neighbours offered to make statements, saying I had done nothing wrong and that Rebecca was the one causing problem. They told police they were lying and insisted an ambulance had been called and would arrive in five minutes. The police, realizing they were in trouble, had no choice but to wait.

I explained again that this was a civil matter. I had not left the flats, and there was no immediate danger. The proper course of action would have been to give Rebecca a diary, advise her to contact the council, and issue me a warning. If I breached it, then arrest me. But none of that happened.

Instead, I was arrested for harassment under the 1997 Act. I shocked the officer by quoting the Act and its requirements, which were clearly not met.

Hospitalisation and Custody Timeline

Eventually, the police were forced to call an ambulance, but said it refused to attend for me, but my neighbours called one and said it will be here in 5 minutes. I was taken to hospital and kept there for 24 hours due to the severity of my injuries.

I Exhibit the Response from the hospital as **Exhibit: 111**

“Under arrest please present - Had argument with his neighbours this evening police called - put to the ground by police at time felt “pop” sensation to stitches. Had surgery done 2 days ago for hernia repair see oh testicular pain Worse to R side since assault PMH ASTHMA hernia repair psychosis LAS OBS!”

Even the doctors reported this as an **“Assault!”**

I arrived at the police station around 6:00 AM the following morning. I was officially booked in at approximately 8:00 AM. I was told that my custody time would start from that point, meaning they had 24 hours from then to either release me or call a custody inspector to extend my detention.

I had been arrested under the **Protection from Harassment Act 1997**, and after speaking to doctors and explaining what had happened, I was deemed fit for interview. I still required an appropriate adult to be present.

During the interview, the officer began asking questions unrelated to the arrest—specifically about alleged harassment of police officers. My solicitor immediately intervened, stating that the officer had no legal right to ask those questions, as I had not been arrested for that. He was correct.

Midnight to Morning – Illegal Detention

At midnight, an inspector came to my cell and spoke to me through the flap. I told him about the fraudulent entries in my PNC record and explained how they had been manipulated by court and police review teams. He said, “If what you’re saying is true, then that must be true,” but added that he couldn’t help me.

He told me I would be released “in a minute”—likely between 2:00 and 3:00 AM. But no one released me at that time. By 4:00 AM, I realised I was being held illegally. The officers on duty were clearly waiting for the next shift at 7:00 AM to release me, rather than doing it themselves.

This meant they were knowingly holding me for an extra 8 hours without legal justification. I understood that this delay would prevent them from re-bailing or re-interviewing me, as the custody clock would expire. They would have no choice but to take **no further action** on the case.

I confronted the officers, saying, “You’re holding me illegally under this CAD number from 12 to 8, knowing there’s no case to answer and you’re going to drop the charge.” They realised I was right and became visibly concerned. They knew they weren’t conducting any investigation—because the incident had occurred two days earlier, and their notebooks and evidence had already been collected.

Denial of Contact and Legal Rights

I repeatedly used the buzzer in my cell, asking to speak to my mother and aunt—both of whom were my appropriate adults. The police refused, saying it was “too late” and that they were sleeping. I pleaded with them, explaining that my family had instructed me to call them and were expecting to pick me up.

My aunt later confirmed she had called the station multiple times but was told she wasn’t allowed to attend. They said they would call her when I was ready—but they never did.

Around 4:00 AM, officers came to my cell and took me to the custody desk. Without re-arresting me or conducting a new interview, they dropped the original harassment charge. But instead of releasing me, they fabricated a **second charge**—claiming I had threatened to blow up a car.

I had not been interviewed about this new allegation. My solicitor had already been sent home after the first interview (around 7–8 PM), and when I requested, he be called back, I was told he was “sleeping” or “not picking up.” No duty solicitor was provided as a replacement.

I was denied my appropriate adult again. Instead, the custody officer put me on the phone with someone they had chosen to act as my adult. I objected, saying, “This is wrong—you must call my family.” My request was refused.

I was formally charged with the new offence, despite explaining clearly that it was baseless and that I had been denied my legal rights. I was returned to my cell and locked in.

Speaking Out and Exposing Fraud

This deeply upset me. I began speaking aloud, explaining everything I knew to be true including the fraud in my **PNC record**, where conviction entries had been manipulated by court and police review teams. I knew the entire station could hear me, but I couldn’t be sure what they were doing about it.

I gave detailed instructions on how to verify the records using their own systems and my website. I remembered most of the data by heart and recited it clearly.

I gave them detailed instructions on how to check the records on their computers and my website as I remember most of it now of by heart.

 Transfer to Court and Criminal Record Revelation

Later that morning, police officers came to my cell and informed me I was being taken to court. I was escorted to the custody desk, where I saw a G3 jailer preparing to place me in a van. He was holding handcuffs, ready to restrain me.

I refused to go with him and instead approached the custody officer directly. I stated clearly, “I’m not leaving the station.” In response, the custody officer proposed a deal. He said, “If you get on the van and leave my station without causing any problems, I’ll show you the results of the internal checks I’ve done on your criminal record.”

I asked what he meant. He explained that he had heard everything I’d been saying about the fabricated entries in my **PNC record** and had conducted internal checks to verify my claims. I agreed to the deal. He turned his computer screen toward me and showed me my criminal record. He confirmed that I was right: the entries had been fraudulently created. He pointed out that the courts were closed on the dates listed for convictions 41, 42, and others I had previously exposed. He acknowledged that I was correct about all entries prior to my first reprimand warning and confirmed that the ones my mother had identified—and that **Highbury & Islington Courts** had agreed were missing from their registry—were indeed not legitimate.

He then kindly asked me to leave the station.

I was placed in the van and transported to court. The custody officer clearly knew that the **refusal of bail** had been based on errors. I had never been arrested in relation to the alleged victim before, and I had not been found guilty of any offence in over **25 years**.

Signature:
Signature witnessed by:



On 02/08/2025:

	<u>This is the day the alleged incident took place that did not!</u> <u>Taken to hospital!</u>

On 03/08/2025:

	<u>In Hospital then taken to Wood Green Police station!</u>
--	--

On 04/08/2025:

	<u>Taken to Highbury and Islington Magistrates Court!</u> <u>Cps had no Case Files Sent to them by the police?</u> <u>No Solicitor or barrister was in the court due to having other commitments!</u>

On 05/08/2025: 1st email

1st Email Sent

Email I sent

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk>

Date: 5 August 2025 at 08:56:58 BST

To: northlondonmc@justice.gov.uk

Subject: Re: urgent Simon Cordell

To whom it may concern

My son was in court yesterday to see if bail would be granted. The court bailed him to his sister's address, but this address is not livable it's got bad mold, there is no electric local code for cooking on a list of other issues.

Something needs to be done regarding this bail address urgently.

I will wait to hear from you.

Regards

Lorraine Cordell on behalf of Simon Cordell

Sent from my iPhone

<https://mail.yahoo.com/d/search/name=Lorraine%2520Cordell&emailAddresses=lorraine32%2540blueyonder.co.uk&listFilter=ALL&contactIds=01...> 1/1

2. Email Received

	>>> -----Original Message----- >>> From: Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> >>> Sent: 05 August 2025 08:57 >>> To: northlondonmc <northlondonmc@justice.gov.uk> >>> Subject: Re: urgent Simon Cordell >>> To whom it may concern >>> My son was in court yesterday to see if bail would be granted. The court bailed him to his sister's address, but this address is not livable it's got bad mold, there is no electric local code for cooking on a list of other issues. >>> Something needs to be done regarding this bail address urgently. >>> >>> I will wait to hear from you. >>> >>> Regards >>> Lorraine Cordell on behalf of Simon Cordell
	>> Sent from my iPhone >>> On 5 Aug 2025, at 09:25, northlondonmc <northlondonmc@justice.gov.uk> wrote: >>> Good Morning, >>> Please be advised in regards to amending the bail address and changing the conditions a new address will need to be given to assist the court. The court would also suggest having the defendant's solicitors make the request. >>> Many Thanks, >>> Daniel Sullivan >>> Admin Officer >>> Willesden Magistrates Court >>> Address: 448 High Road, London NW10 2DZ

On 06/08/2025: 2nd email

2. Email Received

	-----Original Message----- >> From: Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> >> Sent: Wednesday, August 6, 2025 1:43 AM >> To: northlondonmc <northlondonmc@justice.gov.uk>
--	--

	>> Subject: Re: urgent Simon Cordell >> Good morning >> Thank you for the reply the address he will be staying at is >> *** Durante Road >> Ponders end >> Enfield >> EN3 >> Regards >> Lorraine Cordell
	>> -----Original Message----- >> From: northlondonmc <northlondonmc@justice.gov.uk> >> Sent: 06 August 2025 08:48 >> To: DIB-London <DIB-London@justice.gov.uk> >> Subject: FW: urgent Simon Cordell >> Application to vary bail >> Many Thanks, >> Daniel Sullivan >> Admin Officer >> Willesden Magistrates Court >> Address: 448 High Road, London NW10 2DZ
	>> -----Original Message----- >> From: NoreplyLondonqueries <NoreplyLondonqueries@justice.gov.uk> >> Sent: Wednesday, August 6, 2025 9:04 AM >> To: northlondonmc <northlondonmc@justice.gov.uk> >> Cc: London Magistrates <london.magistrates@cps.gov.uk> >> Subject: FW: urgent Simon Cordell >> Good morning >> Please list for an application to vary bail >> Kind regards >> Ms. A Bennett

	>> Duty Legal Adviser >> North East London Local Justice Area >> Web: www.gov.uk/hmcts >> For information on how HMCTS uses personal data about you please see: https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/hm-courts-and-tribunals-service/about/personal-information-charter
	>> On 6 Aug 2025, at 10:26, northlondonmc <northlondonmc@justice.gov.uk> wrote: >> >> Good Morning, >> >> This matter has been listed for the 8th at Highbury Corner Magistrates Court for the application to be considered. >> Many Thanks, >> Daniel Sullivan >> Admin Officer >> Willesden Magistrates Court >> Address: 448 High Road, London NW10 2DZ


On 07/08/2025: 3rd email

	<u>3. Email Sent</u> <u>Subject: Introduction and Submission of Documents</u> From: Rewired (re_wired@ymail.com) To: tuckers@tuckerssolicitors.com Date: Thursday 7 August 2025 at 19:59 BST Dear Dina, I hope this message finds you well. My name is Simon Paul Cordell, and I'm writing to introduce myself and express my gratitude for your services. Attached to this email, you will find my MG11 form, along with important documents including a letter of consent for my upcoming holiday, a letter regarding a change of bowel for my father, and a letter of instructions. Please let me know if there is anything else you require from me at this time. Thank you once again for your assistance. Best regards, Simon Paul Cordell These files are complete but still having minor amendments but feel free to serve them as they are!
--	---

Weblink: nova1.ddns.net/Si1/

If pdfs are required, I am happy to provide them Ready for court Tomorrow. Thanks again Mr. Simon Paul Cordell.

Letter to Jude's from Simons Dad



Impact Statement Regarding Wrongly Imposed Bail Conditions

Submitted by: Mr. Simon Paul Cordell

Date: 8 August 2025

I am writing this statement to express the serious emotional, professional, and logistical impact that the current bail conditions have had on me, my family, and my work. These conditions were imposed despite the fact that I have not been found guilty of any offence in the law eyes for over 25 years, and I believe they are based on incorrect and misleading information.

Family Concerns and Police Involvement

My parents and close family members are deeply concerned about the way I have been treated by government officials, particularly the Metropolitan Police. Due to past experiences and perceived misconduct, they are unwilling to allow me to my home unless I allow police officers to escort me there. This has created a situation where I am legally permitted to attend my property with police support, but emotionally and practically unable to do so. The tension and mistrust surrounding police involvement have made it impossible for my family to support me in this way and leaves me without my legal rights to a private and family home as I have done it up for me and my partner to have a child within. My living necessities and home comforts as well as legal and work interest have all been tarnished unfairly as I am an innocent man and could have proved this if arrested and interviewed over this charge.

Home Security and Access Issues

My front door has been partially sealed by the police using two inadequate locks, leaving my property insecure and vulnerable. I am unable to access my home to retrieve essential items such as clothing, medication, and personal belongings. This has left me physically uncomfortable and emotionally distressed, especially as I am recovering from recent surgery and require proper rest and care.

Professional Disruption and Business Impact

I am the founder of *HorrificCorruption.com*, a company that has recently developed a groundbreaking digital publishing model—akin to the futuristic newspaper seen in *Back to the Future*. This innovation represents a major leap forward in digital content delivery, and we are in the final stages of preparing it for global patenting. However, due to the current legal restrictions and the risk of exposing sensitive company information, we have had to partially halt live development. This delay threatens not only our intellectual property but also the future of our business.

Additionally, I have scheduled meetings and responsibilities at my home related to the installation of a high-value 20x20 Apex summer house, which has been in planning for several years. The inability to access my property is jeopardizing this long-term investment and the strategic growth of my company.

Emotional and Physical Toll

The cumulative effect of these restrictions has been devastating. I am recovering

	from surgery, unable to access basic comforts, and facing unnecessary legal pressure. My family is distressed, my home is insecure, and my professional life is being disrupted at a critical moment. These bail conditions are not only unjust—they are actively harming my wellbeing, my relationships, and my future.
	Subject: Urgent Request for Legal Support and Disclosure of Evidence To: tuckers@tuckerssolicitors.com From: Simon Paul Cordell Date: [Insert Date] Dear Tuckers Solicitors, I hope this message finds you well. I am writing to formally request your legal assistance regarding a recent incident that I believe constitutes a serious breach of my human and legal rights. I am seeking your support in obtaining the following materials related to my case: 1. Body-worn camera footage from the officers involved 2. All relevant police statements and incident reports 3. Any available CCTV or video evidence from the time of arrest and detainment 4. All Missing statements to be recovered that the police officers refused to take. I am prepared to provide a comprehensive witness statement and supporting documentation, including medical records and legal exhibits. I also have the badge number of the officer in charge—PC3454NA (RYAW)—and two corroborating witness statements confirming misconduct. In brief, the situation involves an unlawful entry, excessive force during arrest (including the use of a stun gun while I was holding a medical note), and detainment without legitimate cause. The initial charges were dropped, yet a new charge was introduced without any further arrest or interview. This sequence of events appears to be an attempt to retroactively justify an unjust detainment. I am deeply concerned about: • The misuse of power and breach of PACE guidelines • Denial of medical attention during custody • Imposition of bail conditions without proper arrest • Mishandling of personal information and procedural irregularities These actions violate my rights under the Human Rights Act, including the right to liberty, security, and a fair trial. I urgently request your guidance on how to challenge the bail conditions and initiate a formal complaint or legal action against the officers and authorities involved.

	I am willing to meet at your earliest convenience to discuss this matter further. Please advise on any additional disclosures or steps I should take to ensure full transparency and accountability. Thank you for your attention and expertise in handling this sensitive issue. Sincerely, Simon Paul Cordell 109 Burncroft Avenue PO BOX EN3 7JQ [Phone Number] [Email Address]
	Dear Judge's I respectfully request consideration for permission to travel to Turkey to complete my dental surgery. The procedure, already in progress, was scheduled and partially paid for prior to my detainment, with significant expenses incurred totaling £1,600. Given the circumstances and my confidence in a favourable outcome, I assure you my request is in no way an attempt to evade justice. It would be deeply distressing to face further delays in my necessary treatment due to these legal proceedings. I'm committed to complying with all court directives and would return promptly for any required proceedings. I humbly seek your understanding and approval for this request. Respectfully, Mr. Simon Paul Cordell

On 08/08/2025: I went to Court on this day!

	<u>I went to Court on this day due to bail conditions being change!</u>

On 09/08/2025:

	<u>For the Initial Arrest:</u> 04/08/25
	Statement from Simon Paul Cordell – Regarding Bail Review Hearing and Procedural Violations On the day of my bail review hearing, I attended court to request a change to the conditions that had been imposed on me. My mother had already contacted the court by email to explain that my sister's address was not ready due to the judge's short-notice decision. As a result, I was staying at my nan's home, which was a temporary and necessary arrangement. and once at the court on the 8th and without any police harassment or setups I arrived at the Highbury and Islington court, I met with my solicitor and asked her to obtain a full explanation for why bail had previously been denied. I have never been arrested twice for the same matter, and the allegations made by Rebecca O'Hyra are false. This was acknowledged by the judge herself, who stated in court that I had not

been in trouble for the past 25 years—something clearly reflected in the outdated and misleading convictions they attempted to hold against me.

I had sent my solicitor a link to my correspondence archive, which included:

- A letter to the judges from my father
- My MG11 witness statement
- An impact statement regarding the wrongly imposed bail conditions
- A formal request for permission to travel to Turkey
- An email to Tuckers Solicitors titled “Urgent Request for Legal Support and Disclosure of Evidence”

Despite this, my solicitor claimed she could only address the change of address at that hearing. However, she did apply for and successfully obtained permission for me to travel to Turkey. While I appreciated that outcome, I was disappointed that she did not challenge the broader legal injustice I am facing. She told me that the rest of my documents could be presented “another time,” which delayed the opportunity to correct the record and stop the unlawful process I am currently trapped in.

I also raised the issue that I had not been re-arrested or interviewed for the new allegation, even though the original charge of harassment (from 1997) had been dropped. This violates several key legal standards:

- **Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984 (PACE)** – which requires proper arrest and interview procedures.
- **Code C of PACE** – guarantees access to legal representation while in custody.
- **Article 6 of the Human Rights Act 1998** – ensures the right to a fair trial and legal advice.
- **Crown Prosecution Service Code for Crown Prosecutors** – mandates that charges must be based on sufficient evidence and public interest.

Furthermore, I was denied the right to have a solicitor present during key custody decisions. The custody officer and the officer in charge of the case both dropped the initial charge, yet no further arrest or interview was conducted. This is a breach of due process and an abuse of legal procedure.

The judge and prosecutor were respectful and granted all that was requested of them, but the solicitor failed to act on the full scope of evidence I had prepared. When leaving the court, I spoke with a kind staff member at the assistance window. I explained to her that my **PNC (Police National Computer) record had been fraudulently altered**, and she confirmed that I was correct in stating that the court was not open at the time the form was served. She advised me to submit the form I had been given by her colleagues previously.

This entire situation has caused serious emotional, professional, and logistical harm. The bail conditions have prevented me from accessing my home, medication, and business materials. They have disrupted my recovery from surgery and jeopardized the development of my digital publishing system, which is currently undergoing patent preparation.

I am now preparing to submit a full bundle of evidence to the court, including my MG11 statement, impact statement, and correspondence archive. I will also be pursuing formal

complaints and legal remedies to challenge the procedural violations and restore my rights.

For anyone seeking further context, I have documented the full case and supporting materials at:

🔗 <https://horrificcorruption.com/Server2/Another-Police-Case-03-08-2025/index01.asp>

And I have now found the form online that I was given to sort the PNC Files, as I can't scan it in.

Weblink: [acc001c-and-s-eng.docx](#)

And:

https://view.officeapps.live.com/op/view.aspx?src=https%3A%2F%2Fassets.publishing.service.gov.uk%2Fgovernment%2Fuploads%2Fsystem%2Fuploads%2Fattachment_data%2Ffile%2F1026497%2Facc001c-and-s-eng.docx&wdOrigin=BROWSELINK

The GPS conditions that were also imposed were changed and the Tag has been fitted ever since. We were given the date of the 8th to re attend the court so the conditions can be re reviewed and the afterwards finalized

From the 04/08/2025 I never heard from the court but my mother agreed to contact them on and she stayed in communication with them until the 06/08/2025 about my bail conditions address. The court reviewing team who my mother went to in regards to my PNC Record being fabricated and in error, in the year of 2013 and 14, who are in agreement to those errors being errors all understand that I am making a claim against them and have used there powers entrusted in them to dismiss of me unjustly mutable time through the years of the intel being acknowledge and this is illegal: **Key Offences in UK Law**

1. Perverting the Course of Justice

- **Definition:** Intentionally doing something that could mislead or obstruct justice.
- **Examples:**
 - Destroying or hiding evidence.
 - Lying to investigators.
 - Helping someone evade arrest or prosecution.
- **Penalty:** This is a common law offence and can carry a sentence of **life imprisonment**, though typical sentences are much lower.

2. Assisting an Offender

- **Under the Criminal Law Act 1967, Section 4**
- **Definition:** Helping someone who has committed a crime to avoid arrest, trial, or punishment.
- **Examples:**
 - Providing false alibis.
 - Hiding someone in the office.
 - Helping them flee or conceal their identity.

- **Penalty:** Up to **10 years' imprisonment**, depending on the seriousness of the original offence.

3. Misconduct in Public Office

- If the person helping is a public official (e.g. police, civil servant), and they abuse their position to shield someone from justice, this offence may apply.
- **Penalty:** Up to **life imprisonment**.

I can prove this be the records they write themselves and the goss misconduct caused in the legal proceedings that are covered up and cause me to suffer....

Daniel Sullivan, Admin Officer, Willesden Magistrates Court, Address: 448 High Road, London NW10 2DZ

Understood in his emails that I would have no place to live due to what was said and knew this would be from the **04/08/2025** – till the 08/08/2025 while on court GPS bail conditions, he knew we had done the right thing by contacting them but fail to update the records accordingly so, this caused the GPS tagging company to execute a warrant that was unfair and unneccerery, His statement in the email is as follows: "This matter has been listed for the 8th at Highbury Corner Magistrates Court for the application to be **considered**." And failed to swtate that I would be at another address due to the Courts reviewing teams own protocols and formalities allowing this intel to not be correctly updated means that I was wrongly latter penalized. Time and date of the email are as follows: **6 Aug 2025, at 10:26**, northlondonmc <northlondonmc@justice.gov.uk

On 10/08/2025: 4th 5th email

For the Initial Arrest: 04/08/25

5. Email Received

8/10/25, 11:47 AM

(9,714 unread) – re_wired@ymail.com – Yahoo Mail

Please see attached Id:COR10388/00001

From: Zaynah Dar (darz@tuckerssolicitors.com)

To: re_wired@ymail.com

Date: Sunday 10 August 2025 at 09:47 BST

Please see attached

Zaynah Dar

Criminal

Solicitor

T: 07572153147

M: 07572153147

39 Warren Street, London, W1T 6AF

Mail: DarZ@tuckerssolicitors.com

CJSM: DarZ@tuckerssolicitors.com.cjsm.net

www.tuckerssolicitors.com



ature-Transparent-BG.png



SPEAR'S 500

Lexcel
Legal Practice Quality Mark
Law Society Accredited



Notices: [Disclaimer](#) | [Privacy](#)



Let - Client - Hearing Outcome.docx

66.8 kB



signature-transparent-bg_bd3b4ec2-9608-4754-bd6a-54dd565a5306.png

11.3 kB

<https://mail.yahoo.com/d/folders/1?.intl=uk&.lang=en-GB>

1/1

1. [Let - Client - Hearing Outcome.docx](#) = is below
2. [signature-transparent-bg_bd3b4ec2-9608-4754-bd6a-54dd565a5306.png](#)

4. Email Received-Attachment - Hearing Outcome = "Let - Client - Hearing Outcome.docx"



ROOM 2.08 THE LEWIS BUILDING 35 BULL STREET
BIRMINGHAM B4 6AF
TEL: 0121 236 4324 FAX: 0121 125 4364

39 WARREN STREET LONDON W1T 6AF
TEL: 020 73888333 FAX: 0845 3307268

63-65 MOSLEY STREET MANCHESTER M2
3HZ
TEL: 0161 233 4321 FAX: 0161
233 4333

OUR REF: COR10388/00001/DarZ

DATE: 10 August 2025

YOUR REF:

REPLY TO: Manchester

Private & Confidential

Mr. Simon Cordell

Email: re_wired@Ymail.com

Dear Simon

Your Case

Highbury Corner Magistrates' Court

Next Hearing Date: 13th October 2025 at 10:00 for Trial

I write further to your attendance at Highbury Corner Magistrates' Court on 8th August 2025, to confirm the outcome of that hearing. Zaynah Dar of Tuckers Solicitors advocated for you and submitted your bail variation application.

One variation to the condition of residency was granted.

The other condition of a temporary lift to your condition of residency was unable to be granted as the Court require confirmation of the dates you intend to go to Turkey and return for your dental procedure. The Court advised that once they receive confirmation of dates, then they would be in a position to hear this variation.

Bail Status

You were granted conditional bail on the conditions summarised below. If you breach any of your bail conditions, you may be liable to further arrest and the court would have the power to remand you into custody until the conclusion of the case. You must also attend court on that occasion, failure to do so could result in a warrant being issued for your arrest. You could then be brought back to court, in custody, and you could face a further offence of failing to surrender for which you could be fined, imprisoned or both. The court would also have the power to remand you into custody until the conclusion of your case:-

- You must remain living at 280 Durant Road, EN3 7AZ.
- You must not contact directly or indirectly Rebecca O'Hare.
- You must not enter or attend at - Burncroft Avenue except 1x to recover prop with police.
- GPS tag.

Please do not hesitate to contact us should you require any further information.

Yours sincerely

WWW.TUCKERSSOLICITORS.COM
"TUCKERS SOLICITORS" IS A TRADING NAME OF TUCKERS SOLICITORS LLP, A COMPANY AUTHORISED AND REGULATED BY
THE SOLICITORS REGULATION AUTHORITY NO 592449 AND REGISTERED IN ENGLAND AND WALES (REGISTERED NUMBER OC382272)
WE USE THE WORD 'PARTNER' TO REFER TO A MEMBER OF THE LLP, OR AN EMPLOYEE OR CONSULTANT WITH EQUIVALENT STANDING AND QUALIFICATIONS
SERVICE IS NOT ACCEPTED BY FAX

Zaynah Dar

On 11/08/2025: "Empty!"

On 12/08/2025: “Empty!”

On 13/08/2025: “Empty!”

On 14-08-2025: Another-Case + I called Serco to report the charger missing—likely taken by police!

Statement of Events – 14th August 2025 & a Brief description of case build up!

Continuation from entries dated 03/08/2025 and 08/08/2025

I remain subject to bail conditions that I believe unlawfully restrict my legal rights, as previously outlined in my case documentation. These restrictions appear to stem from misapplications of the Bail Act 1974 and the Sentencing and Bail Act 2022, and were influenced by the following breaches:

- **Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984 (PACE) – which requires proper arrest and interview procedures.**
- **Code C of PACE – guarantees access to legal representation while in custody.**
- **Article 6 of the Human Rights Act 1998 – ensures the right to a fair trial and legal advice.**
- **Crown Prosecution Service Code for Crown Prosecutors – mandates that charges must be based on sufficient evidence and public interest.**

What I have request so far but cannot be acknowledged until legal aid is granted is the following: --

- A letter to the judges from my father
- My MG11 witness statement
- An impact statement regarding the wrongly imposed bail conditions
- A formal request for permission to travel to Turkey **“Not that it should ever be needed”**
- An email to Tuckers Solicitors titled “Urgent Request for Legal Support and Disclosure of Evidence”

Arrest and Detention – 03/08/2025

I was wrongly arrested on **03/08/2025** on suspicion of harassment, allegedly linked to events dating back to 1997 and still taking a place till date of the **15/08/2025**. These claims

involve Rebecca O'Hare since late 2017 and onwards and are thoroughly documented in my personal diary, which is publicly hosted on my website. I have compiled extensive evidence supporting my account, including video recordings, written statements, and legal correspondence for her illegal actions she takes against me and my property.

It is my firm belief that Rebecca has been unlawfully enabled by certain government officials to harass and intimidate me within my own home, often in coordination with other neighbors. I am not alone in this assertion—multiple individuals are prepared to testify in court regarding her actions and the broader pattern of misconduct that has affected my living conditions.

Rebecca is aware that I have been documenting her behaviour, with videos audio recordings, text, mostly from inside my own home and all at the Enfield councils request due to the diary they asked me to fill in but now won't accept and I believe this has prompted retaliatory actions, including even more false allegations and fabricated reports. These have been communicated to police, council authorities, and neighbourhood teams and prior courts all of whom have been repeatedly informed of the situation. I currently hold over 200 records detailing her conduct and my attempts to seek lawful protection from her and other's but all requests made by me and others have consistently wrongly been denied.

The arrest on **03/08/2025** was triggered by yet another false telephone report made by Rebecca, consistent with a pattern of fabricated complaints previously disproven by myself and others and her hatred allowed the police to act yet again in gross misconduct against me, this has become a life endangering situation for me that is unjust and unmoral off officials persons who aid in these crimes against me while abuse their powers of trust and this also includes other civil persons apart from Rebecca O'Hare, who have also take apart alike.

The abuse of police power started from the second of their arrival, the hammering with their fists on my front door in a way to intimidate a person to run in self-defense so they can chase you like pray, never caused me to run out of my backdoor. I stood my ground and asked who was present and what they wanted. Me in my Pajamers and with a medial note in my hand led to the door being broken in. I was badly assaulted with the use of a stun gun that was used to hit me across the head rather than be discharged at me after it laser was directed directly into my eyesight ready to kill me. Lead to the woman officer who had been at the door and refused to hold any fair police values entered and joined in with her male assistant who she had ordered to do as he had done by breaking the door down unnecessarily and continuing with his own illegal action, he used more than brute force after breaking the door down he was like a man on steroids ready to commit a crime. The police body cams will prove all of this. I was refused an ambulance and shouted at for asking for one as they took my medical note for post-surgery two-day prior onto the floor. More police officers rushed to their assistance but realised the force and told the officers to leave. I see the female officer outside and she was refusing to take statement of my neighbours who see what happened and now I was innocent, I questioned her doing so and

she refused to change her stance and this was while the cameras were still rolling. It took my neighbours to call the ambulance as the police were lining up and saying I'm not that important to the ambulance people and for that reason they won't attend, this was a lie to cover up the truth.

I was taken to hospital and kept till there **04/08/2025** later transferred to Wood Green Police Station, where I was booked in at approximately 8:00 AM. I was interviewed in the presence of both an appropriate adult and my solicitor, who departed following the interview around 7:00 PM.

Taken to Hospital

At approximately midnight, while still in custody, a police sergeant informed me that the case would be dropped and I would be released shortly. However, it became clear that the permitted detention period was being misused. I was going to be held from 8:00 AM on the 4th until 8:00 AM on the 5th then released only upon staff changeover and without charge. This extended detention exceeded lawful custody hours, particularly from midnight to 8:00 AM.

Throughout my time in the cell, I voiced my concerns repeatedly to the custody officer and other staff, both verbally and via the intercom. I maintained a fair and reasonable tone, but my requests were ignored for hours. When communication was eventually permitted, I was told my appropriate adult was asleep and the police would not disturb them and these hours. The same response was given when I requested access to legal counsel. I was also denied the right to speak with a duty solicitor.

Ultimately, the harassment case was dropped. However, at the custody desk, I was informed of this in a manner that bypassed my own decision-making. A phone call was made to a government-based scheme that allowed them to obtain their own appropriate adult without my consent or my mandated appropriate adults' consents, despite prior arrangements for my release being agreed with them for them to pick me up. I had made clear that my appropriate adult must be contacted for pick-up regardless of the time. I was also refused bail due to an error: the alleged victim claimed I had been prosecuted or arrested twice before due to her. I challenged this and directed the custody officer to my criminal record, which confirms I have not been arrested or found guilty in the past 25 years, and have never been arrested due to her but he again refused right and set the laws illegally against me. Later another police sergeant who had changed shifts proposed a deal with me and in turn I agreed, and he explained the errors I found in my criminal record were fabricated. I have prior documented this down in more detail in my mg11 statement and due to all I left the police station in a secure van to go to Highbury and Islington on Magistrates Court.

Court Proceedings – 04/08/2025

I was taken to Highbury and Islington Court under circumstances I believe involved

coercion, as detailed in my MG11 statement. I was denied the right to speak or explain the circumstances of my arrest. Despite managing to raise my concerns more than once, the court refused to initiate an inquiry into my truthful account. Instead, I was granted bail to my sister's address with a GPS tag and prohibited from entering Burncroft Avenue.

Although the judges appeared to grasp the essence of my concerns, they declined to address them and imposed further restrictions. I believe this outcome was influenced by manipulation related to my background and communications made to the court regarding entries in my criminal record, entries that are not held in the Courts registry's and are currently being challenged through the appropriate channels. The court refused to address these discrepancies and instead placed me under unlawful restrictions.

These prior communications may have led court staff to misrepresent the case against me, without any lawful evidence. It appears the case is being used recklessly as leverage to fabricate a criminal record, following multiple failed attempts in the past—attempts that relied on inaccurate PNC/ACRO intelligence, as well as frauded official government documents, developed to set me up completely.

Bail Address and Compliance

Following the court hearing, my mother contacted the court via email to explain that my sister's home was not suitable for me to stay in. She requested authorisation for me to reside at my grandmother's address. We received two email confirmations from Highbury and Islington Court approving this change, along with a new court date of 08/08/2025 for finalisation of my bail conditions.

Since arriving at the bail address, I have remained fully compliant and have not returned to Burncroft Avenue.

Events of 14/08/2025

Ongoing Impact of Unjust Bail Conditions and Fabricated Judicial Records

To maintain focus and productivity, I have been organising my legal documentation and assisting with home improvements. These efforts have helped me remain grounded despite the ongoing impact of unjust bail conditions and curfews, restrictions imposed through decisions made by police, judiciary, and prosecuting teams. Many of these decisions relate to cases I have successfully contested over the course of my life.

One such injustice involves an Anti-Social Behaviour Order (ASBO) issued by Highbury & Islington Court, which I can now prove was heavily forged and unlawfully granted. This ASBO resulted in a wrongful eight-year curfew, which overlapped with the COVID-19 pandemic. I am now 43 years old and have been subjected to curfew restrictions since the age of 15, as reflected in my criminal record and its numerous NFA (No Further Action) entries. The situation worsened significantly from the age of 32, with the continued

involvement of Highbury & Islington Court. I have been effectively locked down ever since, most recently out of fear of retaliation stemming from gross misconduct by officials.

These restrictions were imposed for crimes I did not commit, based on flawed case reviews and decisions made by the same court. Notably, the court has acknowledged that several of the cases used to justify these restrictions do not exist in their own registries. But now, with more time to examine the documentation provided to us, I can confidently assert that the entire record has been fabricated, either by individuals within the court's reviewing team or by police personnel involved in case preparation.

Fabricated Records, Judicial Acknowledgment, and the Destruction of Family Life

This pattern of falsified documentation, unlawful curfews, and systemic obstruction has denied me basic freedoms and severely disrupted my family life. During one hearing, the judges themselves acknowledged, on record and in direct address to the prosecutor—that I had not been convicted of a crime or offence for over 25 years. This admission, while accurate, further highlighted the contradiction between my actual record and the fabricated narrative being used to justify ongoing restrictions. It undermined my legal standing and exposed a clear violation of procedural fairness that must be addressed.

These prolonged and unjust restrictions have denied me the opportunity to build a stable family life, including relationships with a partner, wife, and siblings. The flat I currently reside in was renovated in preparation for a partner. Yet despite this, I can clearly demonstrate that two injunction orders and two housing possession orders were fabricated against me and facilitated in part by individuals such as Rebecca O'Hare, who actively victimised me throughout my legal process.

The emotional and physical toll of this victimisation has been devastating. Rebecca and others, through coordinated harassment and interference in my private life, inflicted severe psychological stress on both me and my partners. As a direct result of this sustained abuse, I lost three unborn children across three separate relationships. These events occurred since she moved into the flats and copycatted other victimising me. She moved in between late 2017 and 2018, shortly after Rebecca moved into the area. The pattern of behaviour was not incidental, it was deliberate, malicious, and carried out as if it were a form of entertainment or sport, and government officials refused to arrest her no matter who or what we exhibited of her illegal actions and others, that took place against me and other persons.

These actions amount to torture, both psychological and procedural, mental torture and physical torture are two of a kind and protected under our Human Rights and due to official persons actions, this represents a gross abuse of legal systems, housing frameworks, and human rights. The cumulative impact has been the erosion of my ability to live freely, safely, and with dignity.

In addition, the police have continued to construct a false mental health record, despite there being no formal diagnosis of any mental health condition and another officially frauded document was handed to the judges in these proceeding of such a kind.

After being deliberately fabricated by themselves.

This has been deliberately done with intent for years now and still hold no legal bases against me in fact it proves fraud the other way and is well documented by myself due to the illegal crimes committed in the past when the Government bodies involved acted in a joint circular coordination with the neighbourhood watch team, Enfield Council, and certain neighbours resulting in a further five years of unlawful processes. Then the COVID-19 pandemic compounded these restrictions, isolating me even more.

This situation is unjust, and I believe it must be formally addressed and rectified due to these proceedings.

Wrongful Arrest – 14/08/2025: A Breakdown of Safeguards

At approximately 6:00 AM on **14th August 2025**, I began decorating the property I am housed in and what is an act of personal discipline and respect for myself and elders, which is what allows me to focus under illegal imposed, restrictive bail conditions. While working alone, I heard a knock at the front door. Upon answering, I was confronted by two female police officers and one male officer. Their urgency and physical positioning suggested a clear intent to enter without consent, raising immediate concerns about the legality and motive behind their visit.

When asked why they were there, they stated I was wanted for breach of electronic tag conditions. I calmly explained that this must be an error. I had attended Highbury & Islington Court on **8th August 2025**, where I was granted permission to reside at my grandmother's address from 4th August onward. This was confirmed via email correspondence between my mother and the court.

Despite offering to show them this evidence—including solicitor letters received that morning and documentation available on my website—they refused to engage. One female officer attempted to push her way into the property. I ensured her safety while closing the door to prevent unlawful entry. Their refusal to listen prompted me to secure the front windows, fearing forced access.

Before I could retrieve my phone to contact my mother, I heard a loud bang at the door. Concerned about damage, I shouted that I would open it voluntarily. I did so immediately, just before they attempted to kick it again.

Once inside, the officers attempted to restrain me without offering a clear explanation or acknowledging any of the evidence I presented. I demanded clarity. The female officer repeated that I was wanted for breach of tag due to not being present at my sister's address on the **5th and 6th of August**. I explained again that I had been granted permission to stay at my grandmother's address since the 4th, and that this was confirmed by the court. I showed them the tag installed on my leg and offered to present all supporting documentation. They refused to engage.

It became clear I was being deliberately misrepresented and unlawfully detained. I stated this directly to the officers as they placed me in the police van. I asked how they had located my current address unless they had accessed CAD records or been informed of the second bail address. I pointed out they were executing an outdated warrant, despite my residence at this address only being disclosed in court on **08/08/2025**.

After being placed in the police van, I asked why the officers had not contacted the tagging company to verify my location. The lead officer did not respond. Upon arrival at the station, it was evident that the female arresting officer, who had acted as the primary instigator, had heard everything I said both at my bail address and during transport. She rushed into the station immediately upon arrival, while the second female officer and the male officer, who had remained more passive throughout, escorted me from the van and placed me on a bench in the police car park.

While seated, I engaged in conversation with the male officer. He reiterated what he had said earlier at my front door: that the situation did not seem right. He acknowledged that the warrant was issued for the 5th and 6th of August 2025, and repeatedly stated that my electronic tag had been installed on the 11th. I asked him how he knew that, and he claimed I had told him. I clarified that I did not know the exact date of installation—only that it occurred after the 08/08/2025 court hearing.

A custody officer approached us at the bench. I explained the situation in full, believing he was there to assess whether further action was appropriate. He informed me that I would likely be placed on a secure van by lunchtime and taken to court. I objected, stating that this was unnecessary and could be resolved immediately by contacting my solicitor or the court directly. He responded with a remark similar to the sergeant's earlier comment—that if police alone managed such matters, they might be better handled.

I disagreed and outlined the failure of all four safeguards meant to protect individuals in my position:

- **Courts** failed to update the tagging company after the 08/08/2025 hearing.
- **Tagging company** installed the tag at the new bail address but failed to cancel the outdated warrant.
- **Police** accessed CAD records and found the new address but still executed the outdated warrant.
- **CPS** failed to review and update the case across both police and court systems.

The custody officer listened but did not act. The sergeant who had previously dismissed my concerns walked past and, without further comment, instructed the arresting officer to “put him in there.” I was placed in a temporary holding cell to await further processing.

Later, a new custody officer brought me to the booking desk. He treated me fairly and appeared to recognise the inconsistencies. He stated that the computer showed I was barred from both my new bail address and Burncroft Avenue. I challenged this, stating that it was

fabricated and contradicted the court's own communications. He replied that he was simply reading what was on the system and could not verify external sources. I asked him to contact my solicitor firm—he knew who they were—but refused, stating he could not trust information from them.

While this conversation was ongoing, I noticed the same sergeant who had previously set me up without interview or lawful arrest. He was now behind the desk, appearing to begin his shift. I addressed him directly, stating: "You are the sergeant who set me up the other morning." He did not respond. I waited until he was no longer busy and repeated myself. Still no reply.

Frustrated, I raised my voice and stated clearly: "You must answer me, considering your job title." He finally replied, confirming: "Yes, I am the officer from the other day." I then explained the consequences of his actions—that his misconduct had led to my unlawful arrest, the illegal placement of a monitoring tag around my leg, and the denial of legal representation. I asked whether he had deliberately orchestrated my presence at the station. He did not respond.

Video Link Hearing and Custody Exit – 14/08/2025

I was held in a cell and later informed that I would not be taken to court in person. Instead, a video link hearing would be conducted—further distancing me from the opportunity to present my case directly and transparently.

As I was escorted through the station toward the video link room, I passed the custody desk where the officer responsible for my unlawful detention was seated. I spoke aloud, stating clearly that he had caused me immense suffering and that I would be filing a formal complaint against him. I was then brought into the video link room, where I saw three judges, a court clerk, and the prosecutor. I had no legal representation.

A voice addressed me, and when I asked who it was, I was told it was the court clerk. I showed the tag on my leg to all present and explained that I had not been arrested for the original charge, and that I had complied fully with all judicial orders. I addressed the prosecutor directly, stating that the case was unmerited and unjust. I was told politely to listen.

The court informed me that I was being released and must attend trial on the 13th of September. I objected, stating that the process was unfair and that the hearing had failed to address the misconduct and procedural breaches. The court was then dismissed.

Upon exiting the video link room, I was brought back to the custody desk where the same officer—who had orchestrated my unlawful arrest and detention on 04/08/2025—was present. I spoke loudly so that all could hear: "You are not booking me out or handling this case. Get someone else to do it." I reminded him of his actions and stated again that I was logging a formal complaint. He laughed.

The custody officer who had earlier approached me at the bench stood behind him. The officer responsible for my detention held my property bags and said, "If you don't leave

now, we will force you.” I replied, “That is illegal.” They then physically grabbed me by the arms and forcibly escorted me out of the station.

Once outside, the officer placed my property bags on the pavement and walked back into the station. No paperwork was provided. I was left alone, without documentation, legal support, or acknowledgment of the events that had just occurred.

I called my family, who came to collect me and brought me back home.

On 15/08/2025: “Empty!”

On 16/08/2025: “Empty!”

On 17/08/2025: I received a note through the door!

	On 17/08, I received a note through the door at 21:29, called Serco at 22:04, and was told I’d done nothing wrong.

On 18/08/2025: “Empty!”

On 19/08/2025: 6th email

	6. Email Sent 8/19/25, 3:14 PM (9,793 unread) – re_wired@ymail.com – Yahoo Mail Re: Subject: Introduction and Submission of Documents From: Rewired (re_wired@ymail.com) To: tuckers@tuckerssolicitors.com Date: Tuesday 19 August 2025 at 15:12 BST Subject: Submission of Proof of Benefits and Case Files for Representation Order Dear Andrew Dalton, I am writing in response to your letter dated 13 August 2025 regarding my upcoming trial at High

Corner Magistrates' Court on 13 October 2025.

Please find “Attached” the following documents as requested:

- A copy of my **driving license**
- Multiple **screenshots from my Universal Credit portal**, including:
 - o The most recent **benefit letter** from the Department for Work and Pensions
 - o A **recent statement** showing the last benefit payment received
- Confirmation of my **National Insurance Number: JH653811D**
- My complete set of **Case Files (8 of 8)**, prepared and attached

Also, for ease of access and verification, all materials are also hosted at:

<https://horrificcorruption.com/Server2/Another-Police-Case-03-08-2025/index01.asp>

This includes my MG11 witness statement, impact statement, correspondence with solicitors, and supporting documents relevant to the Representation Order, at your review and control.

- 1) [Tuckers@tuckerssolicitors-com-Urgent.pdf](#)
- 2) [WITNESS STATEMENT Mr. Simon Paul Cordell.pdf](#)
- 3) [Impact Statement Regarding Wrongly Imposed Bail Conditions.pdf](#)
- 4) [Letter to my Solicitors At Court 08-08-2025.pdf](#)
- 5) [permission to travel to Turkey.pdf](#)
- 6) [Letter to Jude's from Simons Dad.pdf](#)
- 7) [09-08-2025-Day-After-Court.pdf](#)
- 8) [The 14th Of August 2025 2.pdf](#)

All Emails Sent So Far:

- 1) [Email Sent.pdf](#) *“Mother to Court Changed of address request!”*
- 2) [Email Received.pdf](#) *“Courts Reply Granting The change of address till the 8/08/25!”*
- 3) [Email Sent.pdf](#) *“Myself to tuckers solicitors’ representing solicitor for the 8/08/25!”*
- 4) [Email Received-Attachment - Hearing Outcome.pdf](#)
- 5) [Email Received.pdf](#) *“Tuckers solicitors confirming the hearing outcome!”* Please confirm receipt and advise if any further documentation is required.

<https://mail.yahoo.com/d/folders/2?.intl=uk&.lang=en-GB>
Yahoo Mail

1/2 8/19/25, 3:14 PM (9,793 unread) – re_wired@ymail.com –

Kind regards, **Simon Paul Cordell**

	On Thursday 7 August 2025 at 19:59:28 BST, Rewired <re_wired@ymail.com> wrote: Dear Dina, I hope this message finds you well. My name is Simon Paul Cordell, and I'm writing to introduce myself and express my gratitude for your services. Attached to this email, you will find my MG11 form, along with important documents including a letter of consent for my upcoming holiday, a letter regarding a change of bowel for my father, and a letter of instructions. Please let me know if there is anything else you require from me at this time. Thank you once again for your assistance. Best regards, Simon Paul Cordell These files are complete but are still having minor amendments but feel free to serve them as they are! Weblink: nova1.ddns.net/Si1/ If pdf's are required i am happy to provide them Ready for court Tomorrow. Thanks again Mr. Simon Paul Cordell.  Legal Aid.rar 4.7 MB https://mail.yahoo.com/d/folders/2?.intl=uk&.lang=en-GB 2/2
--	--

On 20/08/2025: Serco delivered a new charger.

	On 20/08, Serco delivered a new charger.

On 21/08/2025: 7th email + 8th email

	8. Legal Aid Chasing provision of proof of benefits (1) OUR REF: COR10388/00001/CaseRatio DATE: 21 August 2025 YOUR REF: REPLY TO: London Warren Street Private & Confidential Simon Cordell Email: re_wired@Ymail.com Dear Simon Highbury Corner Magistrates' Court - 13/10/2025 10:00 - Trial We wrote to ask you to provide us with proof of your benefits.

We have not received the documentation from you and so write to request that you provide us with proof of your benefits. That may include any of the following:

- The most recent letter you have received from the Department for Work and Pensions confirming that you have been granted benefits;
- Letter indicating the most recent change in the amount of benefit you are entitled to receive;
- Copy of a bank account statement showing the amount of benefit paid to you. Please send a copy of the most recent bank account statement, showing the last payment you received;
- If you receive Universal Credit you can access the Department of Work and Pensions portal for your claim – please provide a screen shot showing your name, the benefit granted, how much you are paid and with what frequency (i.e. monthly).

We must also have your National Insurance Number on our file. If you have not provided that already please provide it without delay.

Once we have proof of your benefits we will be entitled to exercise the powers devolved to us by the Legal Aid Agency to grant a Representation Order (legal aid) in your case.

A Representation Order is the authority to be paid from public funds for the work undertaken on your case.

Please respond to this letter as a matter of urgency.

Yours sincerely

Andrew Benington
Tuckers Solicitors LLP

8. Received

8/21/25, 8:17 AM

(9,798 unread) – re_wired@ymail.com – Yahoo Mail

Id:COR10388/00001 Legal Aid Chasing provision of proof of benefits

From: CaseRatio (caseratio@tuckerssolicitors.com)

To: re_wired@ymail.com

Date: Thursday 21 August 2025 at 00:13 BST

Hello Simon Cordell, Please find the attached document.



<https://www.caseratio.co.uk/matter/matterfilecover/COR10388/00001>

Legal_Aid_Chasing_provision_of_proof_of_benefits.docx

100 kB

https://mail.yahoo.com/d/folders/1?reason=invalid_cred&guce_referrer=aHR0cHM6Ly9tYWlsLnhaG9vLmNvbS8&guce_referrer_sig=AQAAJu3... 1/1

9. Email Sent

Re: Id:COR10388/00001 Legal Aid Chasing provision of proof of benefits

From: Rewired (re_wired@ymail.com)

To: caseratio@tuckerssolicitors.com

Date: Thursday 21 August 2025 at 07:59 BST

Hello i sent the email to this email: tuckers@tuckerssolicitors.com ... at this time and date: Tue, 19 Aug at 15:12, I have prior used to chat with yourselves and i will forward it again now.

Subject: Submission of Proof of Benefits and Case Files for Representation Order

Dear Andrew Dalton,

I am writing in response to your letter dated 13 August 2025 regarding my upcoming trial at High Corner Magistrates' Court on 13 October 2025.

Please find "Attached" the following documents as requested:

- A copy of my **driving license**
- Multiple **screenshots from my Universal Credit portal**, including:
 - o The most recent **benefit letter** from the Department for Work and Pensions
 - o A **recent statement** showing the last benefit payment received
- Confirmation of my **National Insurance Number: JH653811D**
- My complete set of **Case Files (8 of 8)**, prepared and attached

Also, for ease of access and verification, all materials are also hosted at:

<https://horrificcorruption.com/Server2/Another-Police-Case-03-08-2025/index01.asp>

This includes my MG11 witness statement, impact statement, correspondence with solicitors, and supporting documents relevant to the Representation Order, at your review and control.

- 1) [Tuckers@tuckerssolicitors-com-Urgent.pdf](#)
- 2) [WITNESS STATEMENT Mr. Simon Paul Cordell.pdf](#)
- 3) [Impact Statement Regarding Wrongly Imposed Bail Conditions.pdf](#)
- 4) [Letter to my Solicitors At Court 08-08-2025.pdf](#)
- 5) [permission to travel to Turkey.pdf](#)
- 6) [Letter to Jude's from Simons Dad.pdf](#)
- 7) [09-08-2025-Day-After-Court.pdf](#)
- 8) [The 14th Of August 2025 2.pdf](#)

All Emails Sent So Far:

- 1) [Email Sent.pdf](#) *"Mother to Court Changed of address request!"*
- 2) [Email Received.pdf](#) *"Courts Reply Granting The change of address till the 8/08/25!"*

	3) Email Sent.pdf <i>"Myself to tuckers solicitors' representing solicitor for the 8/08/25!"</i> 4) Email Received-Attachment - Hearing Outcome.pdf 5) Email Received.pdf <i>"Tuckers solicitors confirming the hearing outcome!"</i> https://mail.yahoo.com/d/folders/1?reason=invalid_cred&guce_referrer=aHR0cHM6Ly9tYWlsLnIhaG9vLmNvbS8&guce_referrer_sig=AQAAAJu3... 1/2 8/21/25, 8:21 AM (9,798 unread) – re_wired@ymail.com – Yahoo Mail Please confirm receipt and advise if any further documentation is required.  Kind regards, Simon Paul Cordell On Thursday 21 August 2025 at 00:13:25 BST, CaseRatio <caseratio@tuckerssolicitors.com> wrote: Hello Simon Cordell, Please find the attached document.  https://www.caseratio.co.uk/matter/matterfilecover/COR10388/00001 Legal Aid.rar 4.7 MB https://mail.yahoo.com/d/folders/1?reason=invalid_cred&guce_referrer=aHR0cHM6Ly9tYWlsLnIhaG9vLmNvbS8&guce_referrer_sig=AQAAAJu3... 2/2

On 22/08/2025: "Empty!"

On 23/08/2025: "Empty!"

On 24/08/2025: "Empty!"

On 25/08/2025: Serco replaced the tag due to charging faults.

	On 25/08, Serco replaced the tag due to charging faults.

On 26/08/2025: Eight Police Officers!

The Arrest That Wasn't an Investigation

On 26/08/2025, eight police officers arrived at my bail address. My father answered the door, but they **pushed past him aggressively**, ignoring his attempts to speak. Their entry was forceful and intimidating—**heavy-handed with both of us**.

I was in the front room, turning my back to rush inside when one officer grabbed me and **forced my hands behind my back**. A second officer—Black in appearance—joined him, and together they **pushed me face-first to the ground**. With my hands restrained behind me, it was physically impossible for me to have slapped or assaulted anyone.

Despite this, I was accused of assaulting the officer. **No caution was given**. No interview was conducted. I was taken to **Wood Green Police Station**, where the same custody officer from **04/08/2025** and **14/08/2025** processed me again. This officer had previously mishandled my tag fitting and arrest procedures.

And then it clicked:

“I now remember where I know the sergeant from who keeps doing this to me. He is the one that set me up before—back in my diary entry dated **10/05/2021**, documented in *New parts 30-06-21 need to put in diary (Auto Recovered).docx*.”

That earlier incident also involved an **alleged assault against a police officer**, with **no caution given**, **no explanation of evidence**, and a **blunt accusation followed by a ride to the station**.

Back in custody on the 26th, the officer who claimed I assaulted him never returned to complete the charge. Instead, he reviewed his bodycam footage privately, and I was held for **18 hours** without access to a solicitor. Eventually, my father was brought into the cell, and I was told I'd be charged with breach of tag and assault—despite no interview, no caution, and no evidence.

Court Without Evidence

At court, the situation unraveled further:

- The **prosecutor admitted** that **no case files were available** for the assault charge.
- The **judge acknowledged** that the police had **deliberately deferred the assault charge to the next day**, despite having already detained me.
- I was sent back down to the cells. My father had gone home.
- At **4:00 PM**, just before court closure at **4:30 PM**, I was called back up to the same judge.
- She stated that I would be brought back to court at **9:30 AM the next morning**, as there was no time to return me to the police station.

This delay and deferral violated multiple legal standards.

Breach of MG05 Offence Report Protocol

The **MG05 Offence Report** is a mandatory form under the **Manual of Guidance**, required for any first hearing at a magistrates' court. It must:

- **Detail the case facts**
- **Form the basis of the prosecution**
- **Be completed before the first hearing**
- **Be disclosed to the defence as part of advanced information**

Home Office Guidance (Published 26 February 2025):

“Complete [MG05] before the first hearing so that you can give it to the defence as part of the advanced information they are entitled to before the first hearing.”

None of this was done. The absence of the MG05 meant:

- The court had no lawful basis to proceed.
- The defence was denied its statutory right to review the case.
- The prosecution could not lawfully argue for detention or bail conditions.

[Criminal casefiles - forms, standards, and file structure](#)

Procedural Breaches and Legal Implications

Legal Expectation	What Actually Happened
Caution on arrest	✗ No caution given
Interview under PACE	✗ No interview conducted
Disclosure of evidence	✗ No bodycam, no statements
Right to legal advice	✗ No solicitor present
MG05 Offence Report	✗ Not completed or submitted
Court preparation	✗ No paperwork disclosed to defence

Under **PACE Code C**, any suspect must be cautioned before questioning. Under **CPIA 1996** and **Attorney General's Guidelines on Disclosure**, evidence must be disclosed before court. Under the **Director's Guidance on Charging**, CPS cannot proceed without a complete file.

The Pattern of Neglect

This wasn't a one-off. It was a **systemic failure**:

- The same custody officer mishandled my case on **04/08, 14/08, and 26/08**.

- The same shortcuts were taken—no caution, no interview, no evidence.
- The same disregard for due process was repeated.

Even the **GPS tag breach** was based on misinformation:

- On **14/08**, I called Serco to report the charger missing—likely taken by police.
- On **17/08**, I received a note through the door at **21:29**, called Serco at **22:04**, and was told I'd done nothing wrong.
- On **20/08**, Serco delivered a new charger.
- On **25/08**, Serco replaced the tag due to charging faults.

All of this is documented—calls, timestamps, custody logs, and court transcripts. If they won't document the truth, I will. You can find the evidence at horrificcorruption.com.

ALIENWARE

ELECTRONIC MONITORING SERVICES HAVE VISITED YOUR ADDRESS TODAY FOR ONE OF THE BELOW REASONS


- INSTALLATION OF EQUIPMENT
- REMOVAL OF EQUIPMENT
- CHECK OF EQUIPMENT
- COLLECT EQUIPMENT

PLEASE CALL EMS ON ONE OF THE BELOW FREEPHONE NUMBERS TO DISCUSS THE MATTER

FOR ALCOHOL MONITORING	03330705707
FOR GPS MONITORING	03330705713
FOR CURFEW MONITORING	0800 137 291
FOR IMMIGRATION MONITORING	03330705714


THANK YOU FOR YOUR CO-OPERATION.

Electronic Monitoring Services



00:01

7%



0333 070 5713

Add note



18 August



12:32

Outgoing call, 20 mins 26 secs

17 August



22:04

Outgoing call, 49 mins 34 secs



21:32

Outgoing call



Add



Share



Block



More



--

On 27/08/2025: “Empty!”

On 28/08/2025: **9th email + 10th email**

<u>6. Email Received + 10. Received</u>	
8/29/25, 6:37 PM (9,860 unread) – re_wired@ymail.com – Yahoo Mail	
<u>Request proof of benefits Id:COR10388/00002</u>	
From: Legal Aid (Shared) (legalaid@tuckerssolicitors.com)	
To:re_wired@ymail.com	
Date:Thursday 28 August 2025 at 15:35 BST	
Dear Simon	
Please see below	
Kind Regards	
Rosie Yates	
	Legal_Aid_Chasing_provision_of_proof_of_benefits.docx 100 kB
https://mail.yahoo.com/d/folders/1/messages/56669/AN6EnUMpFKToUIDKixGfLFN1Tns:2?.in tl=uk&.lang=en-GB&messagePreview=1 1/1	
<u>10. Legal Aid Chasing provision of proof of benefits (1).docx</u>	
OUR REF: COR10388/00002/calderbankr	DATE: 28 August 2025
YOUR REF:	REPLY TO: London Warren Street
Private & Confidential	
Simon Cordell 280 Durant Road EN3 7AZ	
Dear Simon	
We wrote to ask you to provide us with proof of your benefits.	
We have not received the documentation from you and so write to request that you provide us with proof of your benefits. That may include any of the following:	
• The most recent letter you have received from the Department for Work and Pensions	

	confirming that you have been granted benefits; • Letter indicating the most recent change in the amount of benefit you are entitled to receive; • Copy of a bank account statement showing the amount of benefit paid to you. Please send a copy of the most recent bank account statement, showing the last payment you received; • If you receive Universal Credit you can access the Department of Work and Pensions portal for your claim – please provide a screen shot showing your name, the benefit granted, how much you are paid and with what frequency (i.e. monthly). We must also have your National Insurance Number on our file. If you have not provided that already please provide it without delay. Once we have proof of your benefits we will be entitled to exercise the powers devolved to us by the Legal Aid Agency to grant a Representation Order (legal aid) in your case. A Representation Order is the authority to be paid from public funds for the work undertaken on your case. Please respond to this letter as a matter of urgency. Yours sincerely <u>Chloe Birkhead</u> <u>Tuckers Solicitors LLP</u>

On 29/08/2025: **12. Email Sent**

	12. Email Sent
	8/29/25, 6:35 PM (9,860 unread) – re_wired@ymail.com – Yahoo Mail Re: Request proof of benefits Id: COR10388/00002 From: Rewired (re_wired@ymail.com) To: legalaid@tuckerssolicitors.com Date: Friday 29 August 2025 at 18:35 BST Dear Tuckers Solicitors, I'm writing to clarify the sequence of emails I've sent regarding my case, as I want to ensure all submitted documents have been received and properly reviewed. • I initially sent my full submission to tuckers@tuckerssolicitors.com on Tuesday, 19 August at 15:12, including my driving license, Universal Credit screenshots, MG11 witness statement, impact statement, and supporting documents for the Representation Order. • I then replied to a separate thread from Case Ratio, unaware at the time that it was automated. My replies were sent on: • Thursday, 21 August at 00:13 • Thursday, 21 August at 07:59 • Thursday, 21 August at 14:34 I later received a message from Lucia stating: <i>"Please ignore this email, it was sent by mistake</i>

by robot.” I had already responded before realizing it was system-generated.

The email i sent is as below: and legal aid documents are attached in WinRAR!

Subject: Submission of Proof of Benefits and Case Files for Representation Order

Dear Andrew Dalton,

I am writing in response to your letter dated 13 August 2025 regarding my upcoming trial at High Corner Magistrates' Court on 13 October 2025.

Please find “Attached” the following documents as requested:

- A copy of my **driving license**
- Multiple **screenshots from my Universal Credit portal**, including:
 - o The most recent **benefit letter** from the Department for Work and Pensions
 - o A **recent statement** showing the last benefit payment received
- Confirmation of my **National Insurance Number: JH653811D**
- My complete set of **Case Files (8 of 8)**, prepared and attached

Also, for ease of access and verification, all materials are also hosted at:

<https://horrificcorruption.com/Server2/Another-Police-Case-03-08-2025/index01.asp>

This includes my MG11 witness statement, impact statement, correspondence with solicitors, and supporting documents relevant to the Representation Order, at your review and control.

- 1) [Tuckers@tuckerssolicitors-com-Urgent.pdf](#)
- 2) [WITNESS STATEMENT Mr. Simon Paul Cordell.pdf](#)
- 3) [Impact Statement Regarding Wrongly Imposed Bail Conditions.pdf](#)
- 4) [Letter to my Solicitors At Court 08-08-2025.pdf](#)
- 5) [permission to travel to Turkey.pdf](#)
- 6) [Letter to Jude’s from Simons Dad.pdf](#)

https://mail.yahoo.com/d/folders/1?.intl=uk&.lang=en-GB
re_wired@ymail.com – Yahoo Mail

1/2 8/29/25, 6:35 PM (9,860 unread) –

- 7) [09-08-2025-Day-After-Court.pdf](#)
- 8) [The 14th 0f August 2025 2.pdf](#)

All Emails Sent So Far:

- 1) [Email Sent.pdf](#) “*Mother to Court Changed of address request!*”
- 2) [Email Received.pdf](#) “*Courts Reply Granting The change of address till the 8/08/25!*”
- 3) [Email Sent.pdf](#) “*Myself to tuckers solicitors’ representing solicitor for the 8/08/25!*”

	4) Email Received-Attachment - Hearing Outcome.pdf 5) Email Received.pdf <i>"Tuckers solicitors confirming the hearing outcome!"</i> Please confirm receipt and advise if any further documentation is required.  Kind regards, Please confirm which thread you are working from and whether any further documentation is required. Kind regards, Simon Paul Cordell On Thursday 28 August 2025 at 15:35:56 BST, Legal Aid (Shared) <legalaid@tuckerssolicitors.com> wrote: Dear Simon Please see below Kind Regards Rosie Yates Legal Aid.rar 4.7 MB https://mail.yahoo.com/d/folders/1?.intl=uk&.lang=en-GB 2/2

On 30/08/2025: "Empty!"

On 31/08/2025: "Empty!"

On 01/09/2025: 13. Received till the 25. Received!

	13. Received
9/8/25, 2:58 PM	(9,927 unread) – re_wired@ymail.com – Yahoo Mail
RE: Request proof of benefits Id:COR10388/00002	
From: Legal Aid (Shared) (legalaid@tuckerssolicitors.com) To: re_wired@ymail.com Date: Monday 1 September 2025 at 10:44 BST	

	Thank you, I was emailing from your 2nd the new matter from last week that you were arrested on unaware that you had emailed us from your other file. I have checked the evidence and added that to you file, however the screenshots of the payments don't show your name, if you log on to the UC portal – go to Payments and click on the last date it should bring a page showing your name & address and how much you were paid, if you can screenshot and email this I would be grateful. Kind Regards Rosie Yates From: Rewired Sent: 29 August 2025 18:36 To: Legal Aid (Shared) Subject: Re: Request proof of benefits Id:COR10388/00002 Dear Tuckers Solicitors, I'm writing to clarify the sequence of emails I've sent regarding my case, as I want to ensure all submitted documents have been received and properly reviewed.
	14. Email Sent
	Re: Request proof of benefits Id:COR10388/00002 From: Rewired (re_wired@ymail.com) To: legalaid@tuckerssolicitors.com Date: Monday 1 September 2025 at 11:22 BST Thank you for you response and i have attached the requested!
	15. Received
	RE: Request proof of benefits Id:COR10388/00002 From: Legal Aid (Shared) (legalaid@tuckerssolicitors.com) To: re_wired@ymail.com Date: Monday 1 September 2025 at 11:29 BST Perfect thank you, can you confirm how much PIP you get a month as well Kind Regards Rosie Yates
	16. Email Sent
	Re: Request proof of benefits Id:COR10388/00002

From: Rewired (re_wired@ymail.com)
To: legalaid@tuckerssolicitors.com
Date: Monday 1 September 2025 at 11:38 BST

Hi, the answer is: Pip +£558.40
Also, i get DWP EESA + 281.10.

17. Received

RE: Request proof of benefits Id:COR10388/00002

From: Legal Aid (Shared) (legalaid@tuckerssolicitors.com)
To: re_wired@ymail.com
Date: Monday 1 September 2025 at 11:48 BST

Many Thanks Simon

18. Received!

18. Let - Client Legal Aid Granted - Devolved Powers - RO MC through to CC (1)

9/8/25, 3:03 PM (9,927 unread) – re_wired@ymail.com – Yahoo Mail

Please see attached Id: COR10388/00002

From: Legal Aid (Shared) (legalaid@tuckerssolicitors.com)
To: re_wired@ymail.com
Date: Monday 1 September 2025 at 11:53 BST



Let - Client Legal Aid Granted - Devolved Powers - RO MC through to CC.docx
76.2 kB

<https://mail.yahoo.com/d/folders/1?.intl=uk&.lang=en-GB> 1/1

18. Let - Client Legal Aid Granted - Devolved Powers - RO MC through to CC (1)

OUR REF: COR10388/00002/calderbankr

DATE: **01 September 2025**

YOUR REF:

REPLY
TO: Manchester

Private & Confidential

Mr Simon Cordell

Email: re_wired@Ymail.com

Dear Simon

Re: Your Case Highbury Corner Magistrates' Court

Next Date of Hearing: 11th November 2025 at 09:30

Highbury Corner Magistrates' Court - 11/11/2025 09:30 - Trial

We are writing to inform you that we are entitled to exercise the powers devolved to us by the Legal Aid Agency to grant a Representation Order (legal aid) in your case.

A Representation Order is the authority to be paid from public funds for the work undertaken on your case. This letter is purely to provide confirmation of the legal aid position.

I can confirm that you have provided me with the necessary evidence for your benefits.

Yours sincerely

Rosie Yates

Tuckers Solicitors LLP



Legal Aid Agency
Crime Applications Team
23 Stephenson Street
Birmingham
B2 4BH

Simon Cordell
280 Durant Road

DX 13041
Birmingham 1

T : 0300 200 2020
E : BirminghamCAT@justice.gov.uk

EN3 7AZ

Date of 28/08/2025

Order:

URN: 01YE1300125

Court Name: Highbury Corner Magistrates'
Court

Date of 26/01/1981

Birth:

Representation Order

In accordance with the Legal Aid Sentencing and Punishment of Offenders Act 2012, you are granted legal aid for magistrates' court proceedings in relation to the offences listed below.

This order covers work by a litigator only (including advice and assistance regarding an appeal against conviction or sentence but excluding the actual appeal proceedings).

This order automatically extends if your case is or has been committed to the Crown Court for sentencing to cover work by a litigator and junior advocate in respect of the Crown Court proceedings. It does not, however, cover work in the Crown Court if your case is committed or sent there for trial. If this happens, a further application will need to be made, and this will be assessed by the Legal Aid Agency.

The litigator/Solicitor appointed is:

Chloe Birkhead
Tuckers Solicitors LLP
39 Warren Street,
London,
W1T 6AF

Tuckers Solicitors LLP- On behalf of the Director of Legal Aid Casework

Offences

Offence	Date
Assault with Intent to Resist or Prevent Arrest	26/08/2025

19. Email Sent

9/8/25, 3:05 PM

(9,927 unread) – re_wired@ymail.com – Yahoo Mail

Re: Please see attached Id:COR10388/00002

From: Rewired (re_wired@ymail.com)
To: legalaid@tuckerssolicitors.com
Date: Monday 1 September 2025 at 12:08 BST

Subject: Urgent Clarification – Legal Aid Coverage for First Arrest (04/08/2025)

To: legalaid@tuckerssolicitors.com
From: re_wired@ymail.com Date: 01 September 2025

Dear Tuckers Solicitors,

I am writing to urgently clarify the scope of the legal aid granted under reference COR10388/00002.

From your latest response, it appears that legal aid has been approved for the fourth and most recent incident. However, I originally submitted documentation with the understanding that this application was intended to cover the **first wrongful arrest on 04/08/2025**.

There seems to have been a miscommunication, possibly during exchanges with Emil Freeds, regarding which incident the legal aid applies to. I complied fully and submitted supporting documents, but it now appears that the first case may not have

been included or processed correctly.

Please confirm whether the legal aid granted **also covers the first arrest**, as both incidents are directly connected. If it does not, I request that this be urgently reviewed and amended to ensure full representation.

Let me know if any further documentation is needed to resolve this.

Kind regards,

Mr. Simon Cordell

On Monday 1 September 2025 at 11:53:31 BST, Legal Aid (Shared)
<legalaid@tuckerssolicitors.com> wrote

20. Received --Not in File
20. Received Attachments
Here!

9/8/25, 3:06 PM

(9,927 unread) – re_wired@ymail.com – Yahoo Mail

You case papers Id:COR10388/00001

From: Andrew Benington (beningtona@tuckerssolicitors.com)

To: re_wired@ymail.com

Date: Monday 1 September 2025 at 12:35 BST

Hi

The charge is Threat to Damage or Destroy Property. On 2/8/25 threatened Rebecca O'Hare that he would blow up the vehicle belonging to her intending that she would fear that the threat would be carried out.

The issue in your case is very simple. Did you threaten to blow up your neighbours car or not. This is your word against hers.

The rest of the statements from police officers are immaterial. They do not reference the case at all. I have included them from completeness.

Best Regards

Andrew Benington

Andrew Benington
Magistrates Court Solicitor
T: 07789830152

TUCKERS
CRIMINAL
SOLICITORS

M: 07789830152

39, Warren Street, London, W1T 6AF

Mail: BeningtonA@tuckerssolicitors.com

CJSM: BeningtonA@tuckerssolicitors.com.cjsm.net

www.tuckerssolicitors.com



SPEAR'S 500

Lexcel
Legal Practice Quality Mark
Law Society Accredited



Notices: [Disclaimer](#) | [Privacy](#)



ROH_01_mp4.mp4
22.2 MB



Let_to_Client_Magistrates_Court_Trial_Advice.docx
107.3 kB



Rebecca_O_hare_pdf.pdf
258.8 kB



PC_Wilson_Wallis_pdf.pdf
376.9 kB

<https://mail.yahoo.com/d/folders/1?.intl=uk&.lang=en-GB>
– Yahoo Mail

1/2 9/8/25, 3:06 PM (9,927 unread) – re_wired@ymail.com

	 CORDELL_Simon_01YE1267925_Section_9_pdf.pdf 554.9 kB  Rebecca_O_HARE_2nd_pdf.pdf 122.5 kB  PC_Chan_pdf.pdf 272.8 kB  PC_Williams_pdf.pdf 134.2 kB  Case_Summary_pdf.pdf 183.5 kB  Defendant_Notice_of_Grant_of_Bail_pdf.pdf 54.4 kB  Simon_CORDELL_Pre_Cons_pdf.pdf 39.8 kB  PC_obsiye_pdf.pdf 264.7 kB  Simon_CORDELL_Charges_pdf.pdf 179.8 kB  signature-transparent-bg_bd3b4ec2-9608-4754-bd6a-54dd565a5306.png 11.3 kB https://mail.yahoo.com/d/folders/1?.intl=uk&.lang=en-GB  21. Received Attachments 2/2
	21. Received 9/8/25, 3:05 PM (9,927 unread) – re_wired@ymail.com – Yahoo Mail RE: Please see attached Id: COR10388/00002 From: Legal Aid (Shared) (legalaid@tuckerssolicitors.com) To: re_wired@ymail.com Date: Monday 1 September 2025 at 12:42 BST Dear Simon to clarify we have legal aid for the following cases; File COR10388/00001 – Case Reference 01YE1267925 - Trial 13/10/2025 File COR10388/00002 – Case Reference 01YE1300125 – Trial 11/11/2025 We done have any file for any wrongful arrest cases. Kind Regards Rosie Yates 22. Email Sent 9/8/25, 3:08 PM (9,927 unread) – re_wired@ymail.com – Yahoo Mail

Re: You case papers Id:COR10388/00001

From: Rewired (re_wired@ymail.com)

To: beningtona@tuckerssolicitors.com

Date: Monday 1 September 2025 at 13:38 BST

Subject: Re: Case COR10388/00001 – Threat to Damage or Destroy Property

Dear Andrew,

Thank you for sending over the case papers as i requested.

I'll take some time to review the correspondence and documents in full, and I'll be back in touch with you shortly to continue our discussion.

Best regards, **Simon Cordell**

	On Monday 1 September 2025 at 12:35:40 BST, Andrew Benington <beningtona@tuckerssolicitors.com> wrote: Hi The charge is Threat to Damage or Destroy Property. On 2/8/25 threatened Rebecca O'Hare that he would blow up the vehicle belonging to her intending that she would fear that the threat would be carried out. The issue in your case is very simple. Did you threaten to blow up your neighbours car or not. This is your word against hers. The rest of the statements from police officers are immaterial. They do not reference the case at all. I have included them from completeness. Best Regards Andrew Benington Andrew Benington Magistrates Court Solicitor T: 07789830152 M: 07789830152 39, Warren Street, London, W1T 6AF Mail: BeningtonA@tuckerssolicitors.com CJSM: BeningtonA@tuckerssolicitors.com.cjsm.net www.tuckerssolicitors.com https://mail.yahoo.com/d/folders/1?.intl=uk&.lang=en-GB 1/2 9/8/25, 3:08 PM (9,927 unread) – re_wired@ymail.com – Yahoo Mail     Notices: Disclaimer Privacy https://mail.yahoo.com/d/folders/1?.intl=uk&.lang=en-GB 2/2
	23. Email Sent 9/8/25, 3:08 PM (9,927 unread) – re_wired@ymail.com – Yahoo Mail Re: You case papers Id:COR10388/00001 From: Rewired (re_wired@ymail.com) To: beningtona@tuckerssolicitors.com Date: Monday 1 September 2025 at 14:40 BST Subject: Request for Full Disclosure – Case of 04/08/2025

Dear Andrew

I am writing regarding the recent disclosure I received for the case dated 04/08/2025. I am currently reviewing the documents provided, but I have noticed that the **Initial Details of the Prosecution Case (IDPC,)** which is a standard CPS form outlining the prosecution's case summary, has not been included. I have received this form in previous cases, and would appreciate it as disclosed for this case matter.

Please confirm whether the IDPC has been generated for this case, and if so, kindly provide it as part of the disclosure bundle.

Additionally, I would like to formally request the following materials, which are critical to my defence:

- **Police officer notebooks** from the date of the incident
- **Body-worn camera footage** from all attending officers

This footage is particularly important, as it captures not only my account of events but also the presence and comments of **bystanders and neighbours** who witnessed the situation. The arresting officer's refusal to take their statements of them, despite being prompted on camera, forms part of a broader pattern in how these cases have been handled against me and demonstrates my innocence as to their said version of events.

These materials are essential to establishing the full context of these ongoings and ensuring procedural fairness. Please will you kindly confirm as to when and how I can expect to receive them, considering the circumstances or if further steps are required to obtain access.

Kind regards,
Mr. Simon Cordell

On Monday 1 September 2025 at 13:38:58 BST, Rewired Rewired
<re_wired@ymail.com> wrote:

Subject: Re: Case COR10388/00001 – Threat to Damage or Destroy Property

Dear Andrew,

Thank you for sending over the case papers as i requested.

I'll take some time to review the correspondence and documents in full, and I'll be back in touch with you shortly to continue our discussion.

Best regards, **Simon Cordell**

On Monday 1 September 2025 at 12:35:40 BST, Andrew Benington
<beningtona@tuckerssolicitors.com> wrote:

Hi

The charge is Threat to Damage or Destroy Property. On 2/8/25 threatened Rebecca O'Hare that he would blow up the vehicle belonging to her intending that

she would fear that the threat would be carried out.

<https://mail.yahoo.com/d/folders/1?.intl=uk&.lang=en-GB> 1/2 9/8/25, 3:08 PM (9,927 unread) – re_wired@ymail.com – Yahoo Mail

The issue in your case is very simple. Did you threaten to blow up your neighbours car or not. This is your word against hers.

The rest of the statements from police officers are immaterial. They do not reference the case at all. I have included them from completeness.

Best Regards

Andrew Benington



Andrew Benington

Magistrates Court Solicitor

T: 07789830152

M: 07789830152

39, Warren Street, London, W1T 6AF

Mail: BeningtonA@tuckerssolicitors.com

CJSM: BeningtonA@tuckerssolicitors.com.cjsm.net

www.tuckerssolicitors.com



Notices: [Disclaimer](#) | [Privacy](#)

<https://mail.yahoo.com/d/folders/1?.intl=uk&.lang=en-GB>

2/2

24. Email Sent

RE: You case papers Id:COR10388/00001

From: Andrew Benington (beningtona@tuckerssolicitors.com)

To: re_wired@ymail.com

Date: Monday 1 September 2025 at 18:38 BST

All the documents in the IDPC have been forwarded to you as separate documents. The officers' notebooks are irrelevant as there is Body Worn Video.

If you know of any witness who can support your denial that you spoke those words to your neighbour then please provide any details you can.

Best Regards
Andrew Benington

Andrew Benington

Solicitor

T: 07789830152

M: 07789830152

CJSM: BeningtonA@tuckerssolicitors.com.cjasm.net



Notices: [Disclaimer](#) | [Privacy](#)

From: Rewired <re_wired@ymail.com>
Sent: 01 September 2025 14:41
To: Andrew Benington <BeningtonA@tuckerssolicitors.com>
Subject: Re: You case papers Id:COR10388/00001

You don't often get email from re_wired@ymail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

Subject: Request for Full Disclosure – Case of 04/08/2025

Dear Andrew

I am writing regarding the recent disclosure I received for the case dated 04/08/2025. I am currently reviewing the documents provided, but I have noticed that the **Initial Details of the Prosecution Case (IDPC,)** which is a standard CPS form outlining the prosecution's case summary, has not been included. I have received this form in previous cases, and would appreciate it as disclosed for this case's matter.

Please confirm whether the IDPC has been generated for this case, and if so, kindly provide it as part of the disclosure bundle.

Additionally, I would like to formally request the following materials, which are critical to my defence:

- **Police officer notebooks** from the date of the incident
- **Body-worn camera footage** from all attending officers

This footage is particularly important, as it captures not only my account of events but also the presence and comments of **bystanders and neighbours** who witnessed the situation. The arresting officer's refusal to take their statements of them, despite being prompted on camera, forms part of a broader pattern in how these cases have been handled against me and demonstrates my innocence as to their said version of events.

These materials are essential to establishing the full context of these ongoings and ensuring procedural fairness. Please will you kindly confirm as to when and how I can expect to receive them, considering the circumstances or if further steps are required to obtain access.

Kind regards,
Mr. Simon Cordell

On Monday 1 September 2025 at 13:38:58 BST, Rewired Rewired <re_wired@ymail.com> wrote:

Subject: Re: Case COR10388/00001 – Threat to Damage or Destroy Property

Dear Andrew,

Thank you for sending over the case papers as i requested.

I'll take some time to review the correspondence and documents in full, and I'll be back in touch with you shortly to continue our discussion.

Best regards, **Simon Cordell**

On Monday 1 September 2025 at 12:35:40 BST, Andrew Benington <beningtona@tuckerssolicitors.com> wrote:

Hi

The charge is Threat to Damage or Destroy Property. On 2/8/25 threatened Rebecca O'Hare that he would blow up the vehicle belonging to her intending that she would fear that the threat would be carried out.

The issue in your case is very simple. Did you threaten to blow up your neighbours car or not. This is your word against hers.

The rest of the statements from police officers are immaterial. They do not reference the case at all. I have included them from completeness.

Best Regards

Andrew Benington

Andrew Benington
Magistrates Court

Error! Filename not

Solicitor **specified**. T: 07789830152
M: 07789830152

39, Warren Street, London, W1T 6AF
Mail: BeningtonA@tuckerssolicitors.com
CJSM: BeningtonA@tuckerssolicitors.com.cjsm.net
www.tuckerssolicitors.com



SPEAR'S 500

Lexcel
Legal Practice Quality Mark
Law Society Accredited



Notices: [Disclaimer](#) | [Privacy](#)

25. Received

RE: You case papers Id:COR10388/00001

From: Andrew Benington (beningtona@tuckerssolicitors.com)

To: re_wired@ymail.com

Date: Monday 1 September 2025 at 18:38 BST

All the documents in the IDPC have been forwarded to you as separate documents. The officers' notebooks are irrelevant as there is Body Worn Video.

If you know of any witness who can support your denial that you spoke those words to your neighbour then please provide any details you can.

Best Regards

Andrew Benington

Andrew Benington

Solicitor

T: 07789830152

M: 07789830152

CJSM: BeningtonA@tuckerssolicitors.com.cjasm.net



Notices: [Disclaimer](#) | [Privacy](#)

From: Rewired <re_wired@ymail.com>

Sent: 01 September 2025 14:41

To: Andrew Benington <BeningtonA@tuckerssolicitors.com>

Subject: Re: You case papers Id:COR10388/00001

You don't often get email from re_wired@ymail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

Subject: Request for Full Disclosure – Case of 04/08/2025

Dear Andrew

I am writing regarding the recent disclosure I received for the case dated 04/08/2025. I am currently reviewing the documents provided, but I have noticed that the **Initial Details of the Prosecution Case (IDPC,)** which is a standard CPS form outlining the prosecution's case summary, has not been included. I have received this form in previous cases and would appreciate it as disclosed for this case's matter.

Please confirm whether the IDPC has been generated for this case, and if so, kindly provide it as part of the disclosure bundle.

Additionally, I would like to formally request the following materials, which are critical to my defence:

- **Police officer notebooks** from the date of the incident
- **Body-worn camera footage** from all attending officers

This footage is particularly important, as it captures not only my account of events but also the presence and comments of **bystanders and neighbours** who witnessed the situation. The

arresting officer's refusal to take their statements of them, despite being prompted on camera, forms part of a broader pattern in how these cases have been handled against me and demonstrates my innocence as to their said version of events.

These materials are essential to establishing the full context of these ongoings and ensuring procedural fairness. Please will you kindly confirm as to when and how I can expect to receive them, considering the circumstances or if further steps are required to obtain access.

Kind regards,
Mr Simon Cordell

On Monday 1 September 2025 at 13:38:58 BST, Rewired Rewired <re_wired@ymail.com> wrote:

Subject: Re: Case COR10388/00001 – Threat to Damage or Destroy Property

Dear Andrew,

Thank you for sending over the case papers as i requested.

I'll take some time to review the correspondence and documents in full, and I'll be back in touch with you shortly to continue our discussion.

Best regards, **Simon Cordell**

On Monday 1 September 2025 at 12:35:40 BST, Andrew Benington <beningtona@tuckerssolicitors.com> wrote:

Hi

The charge is Threat to Damage or Destroy Property. On 2/8/25 threatened Rebecca O'Hare that he would blow up the vehicle belonging to her intending that she would fear that the threat would be carried out.

The issue in your case is very simple. Did you threaten to blow up your neighbours car or not. This is your word against hers.

The rest of the statements from police officers are immaterial. They do not reference the case at all. I have included them from completeness.

Best Regards

Andrew Benington

Andrew Benington
Magistrates Court

Solicitor **specified**. T: 07789830152
M: 07789830152

Error! Filename not

	39, Warren Street, London, W1T 6AF Mail: BeningtonA@tuckerssolicitors.com CJSM: BeningtonA@tuckerssolicitors.com.cjsm.net www.tuckerssolicitors.com     Notices: Disclaimer Privacy

On 02/09/2025:

	<u>No Access to computer, cloths and anything else normal. = Family Issues.</u>

On 03/09/2025: “Empty!”

On 04/09/2025: “Empty!”

On 05/09/2025: “Empty!”

On 06/09/2025: “Empty!”

On 07/09/2025: “Empty!”

On 08/09/2025: “Empty!”

On 09/09/2025:

	<u>Si-Notes:</u> Due to being on bail conditions I can not to go to my home I can not use my computer consol and have been locked out of the servers remotely to obtain files. This is a unfair disadvantage when my evidence is at my home. The severs can not be moved due to ip and other formalities that would be impossible to undertake. I have key evidence of Rebecca O'Hare and the true ongoing.

On 10/09/2025: “Empty!”

On 11/09/2025: “Empty!”

On 12/09/2025: “Empty!”

On 13/09/2025: “Empty!”

On 14/09/2025: “Empty!”

On 15/09/2025: 26. Email-Sent + 27. Email-Received + “I would like to meet with you in order to discuss your case.”

	26. Email-Sent
	Re: You case papers Id:COR10388/00001 From: Rewired (re_wired@ymail.com) To:beningtona@tuckerssolicitors.com Date: Monday 15 September 2025 at 10:09 BST I've had to send this email twice as i sent it to an older reply and will also forward it to

www.tuckerssolicitors.com

To: Andrew
Tuckers Solicitors
39 Warren Street
London W1T 6AF
Tuckers Solicitors
39 Warren Street
London W1T 6AF

Date: 15 September 2025

Subject: URN 01YE1300125 – Trial Attendance, Disclosure Requests, and Legal Grounds for Dismissal

Dear Andrew,

I am writing to formally request your attendance at my upcoming trial hearing scheduled for **13 October 2025 at Highbury Corner Magistrates' Court**, to support cross-examination and ensure procedural fairness.

Due to bail conditions prohibiting me from residing at my home address, I have faced significant barriers in accessing my legal documentation, computer equipment, and case files. Legal aid was only recently confirmed, and I currently hold **one formal statement**, but I do **not have access to a scanner** at present. I am actively preparing my defence and compiling directions, which I will **email across shortly once complete**. However, due to time constraints and the trial date drawing closer, I am **sending this email as a substitute** until the full bundle is ready.

 Request for Attendance at Trial

Your presence is essential to support cross-examination of the officers involved and to ensure my defence is properly represented.

I also request that the following individuals be **formally summoned to attend court for cross-examination**:

- **PC Chan (1405NA)**
- **PC Obsiye (NA22L)**
- **PC3454NA (RYAW)** – Arresting officer
- **Female officer involved in forced entry and restraint** – Name to be confirmed via custody logs
- **Rebecca O'Hare** – Alleged victim, whose testimony contains contradictions and must
- be examined **Neighbour witnesses** – Who observed the incident and are willing to testify

 Mandatory Disclosure of Body-Worn Camera Footage

Please apply for full disclosure of all body-worn camera footage from the officers listed above. This footage must be treated as **working exhibits**, as it is critical to establishing the truth of what occurred, including:

1. Excessive force during arrest
2. Denial of medical assistance despite visible injury
3. Refusal to take witness statements from neighbours
4. Fabrication of procedural records and timeline inconsistencies

 Legal Grounds for Dismissal for Arrest [1] – 04/08/2025

I request that you pursue dismissal of the proceedings on the following grounds:

- I was not lawfully arrested or interviewed for the new charge
- I was denied access to an appropriate adult and legal adviser during key custody decisions

-
-

- The charge was fabricated post-release, without re-arrest or legal process
- The bodycam footage and witness statements contradict the police narrative and prove misconduct

Named Statements & Timeline Manipulation

- **Statement 1 – PC Chan (1405NA)**
Dated **01/08/2025** yet describes events from **02/08/2025**. This is a temporal impossibility, proving the statement was backdated.
- **Statement 2 – PC Obsiye (NA22L)**
Dated **02/08/2025**, referencing **CAD 6844/02AUG25**, but contradicts PC Chan’s account and omits lawful grounds for entry.
- **Statement 3 – Officer Unknown**
Dated **30/08/2022** yet references the **2025 incident**. This is either a clerical error or a deliberate attempt to retrofit a narrative.
- **Charge Sheet Manipulation**
The original allegation was for **harassment**, later swapped for **criminal damage**—without interview, caution, or lawful rearrest. This violates **PACE 1984** and **CPIA 1996**.

Additional Legal Requests

Please initiate the following disclosures and actions to support my defence:

- **Full Custody Record Disclosure** – Including booking times, inspector reviews, medical assessments, and legal access logs
- **CAD and Call Log Records** – For all calls made by Rebecca O’Hare, neighbours, and internal police communications
- **Tagging Company Records** – Installation date, breach alerts, and correspondence with police/court
- **Medical Expert Statement** – Verifying injuries sustained and long-term impact
- **Formal Complaint to IOPC** – Regarding excessive force, denial of care, and procedural misconduct
- **Judicial Review Preparation** – If fabricated records or unlawful restrictions are upheld

Case Preparation Summary

☒ **Materials Already Sent**

These documents have been submitted to Tuckers Solicitors for their review:

- **MG11 Witness Statement** – Dated 04/08/2025, detailing the incident and procedural violations
- **Impact Statement** – Outlining the emotional, professional, and logistical harm caused by bail conditions
- **Request for Disclosure** – Previously submitted to Tuckers Solicitors, seeking key evidence and records
- **Medical Records** – Confirming injuries sustained during arrest and hospitalisation

Materials In Progress (To Be Sent Shortly)

These items are being prepared and will be submitted once scanning and formatting are complete:

- **Digital Evidence Repository** – Hosted at horrific-corruption-files.webhop.me
- **Neighbour Witness Statements** – From individuals who observed the incident and are willing to testify
- **Legal Correspondence Archive** – Including emails with court staff, solicitors, and administrative officers
- **Website Documentation** – Hosted at horrificcorruption.com, detailing the full timeline and supporting evidence
- **Court Registry Confirmation** – Verifying that:

◦

◦

◦

◦

◦

Nine convictions listed on my PNC record **do not exist** in Highbury & Islington's registry. Convictions **1, 2, 3, 4, and 9** occurred **before my first reprimand date**, making them procedurally invalid. The alleged convictions **could not have occurred on the same day as the plea date**, based on ACRO and PNC printout timestamps. The file is marked "**No Further Action**", and most entries relate to **non-criminal matters or mistaken identity**. These findings support my claim that I am an **innocent victim of identity confusion**, caused by **systemic negligence and misconduct** by police and court review teams. The mishandling of my personal data constitutes a **breach of GDPR**.

✉ **Additional Requests in Progress**

These formal requests are being initiated or are pending response:

- PNC Record Challenge** – Addressing fabricated entries acknowledged by custody officers
- Full Custody Record Disclosure** – Including booking times, inspector reviews, medical logs, and legal access history
- CAD and Call Log Records** – For all calls made by Rebecca O'Hare, neighbours, and internal police communications
- Tagging Company Records** – Installation date, breach alerts, and correspondence with police/court
- Medical Expert Statement** – Verifying the physical and psychological impact of the assault
- Formal Complaint to IPCC / IOPC** – Regarding excessive force, denial of care, and procedural misconduct
- Request for Judicial Review** – To challenge the legality of the proceedings and fabricated records!

I trust you will take the necessary steps to ensure this case is handled with the seriousness and transparency it demands. Please confirm your attendance and initiate the required disclosure applications and summonses as soon as possible.

Yours sincerely,

Simon Paul Cordell

280 Durant Road

Enfield, EN3 7AZ

DOB: 26/01/1981

Email: re_wired@ymail.com

On Monday 15 September 2025 at 10:05:48 BST, Rewired Rewired <re_wired@ymail.com> wrote:

To: Andrew [Surname if known]

Tuckers Solicitors

39 Warren Street

London W1T 6AF

Date: 15 September 2025

Subject: URN 01YE1300125 – Trial Attendance, Disclosure Requests, and Legal Grounds for Dismissal

Dear Andrew,

I am writing to formally request your attendance at my upcoming trial hearing scheduled for **13 October 2025 at Highbury Corner Magistrates' Court**, to support cross-examination and ensure procedural fairness.

Due to bail conditions prohibiting me from residing at my home address, I have faced significant barriers in accessing my legal documentation, computer equipment, and case files. Legal aid was only recently confirmed, and I currently hold **one formal statement**, but I **do not have access to a scanner** at present. I am actively preparing my defence and compiling directions, which I will **email across shortly once complete**. However, due to time constraints and the trial date drawing closer, I am **sending this email as a substitute** until the full bundle is ready.

Request for Attendance at Trial

Your presence is essential to support cross-examination of the officers involved and to ensure my defence is properly represented.

I also request that the following individuals be **formally summoned to attend court for cross-examination**:

- **PC Chan (1405NA)**
- **PC Obsiye (NA22L)**
- **PC3454NA (RYAW)** – Arresting officer
- **Female officer involved in forced entry and restraint** – Name to be confirmed via custody logs
- **Rebecca O’Hare** – Alleged victim, whose testimony contains contradictions and must
- be examined **Neighbour witnesses** – Who observed the incident and are willing to testify

Mandatory Disclosure of Body-Worn Camera Footage

Please apply for full disclosure of all body-worn camera footage from the officers listed above. This footage must be treated as **working exhibits**, as it is critical to establishing the truth of what occurred, including:

1. Excessive force during arrest
2. Denial of medical assistance despite visible injury
3. Refusal to take witness statements from neighbours
4. Fabrication of procedural records and timeline inconsistencies

Legal Grounds for Dismissal for Arrest [1] – 04/08/2025

I request that you pursue dismissal of the proceedings on the following grounds:

- I was not lawfully arrested or interviewed for the new charge
- I was denied access to an appropriate adult and legal adviser during key custody decisions
- The charge was fabricated post-release, without re-arrest or legal process
- The bodycam footage and witness statements contradict the police narrative and prove misconduct

Named Statements & Timeline Manipulation

- **Statement 1 – PC Chan (1405NA)**
Dated **01/08/2025** yet describes events from **02/08/2025**. This is a temporal impossibility, proving the statement was backdated.
- **Statement 2 – PC Obsiye (NA22L)**
Dated **02/08/2025**, referencing **CAD 6844/02AUG25**, but contradicts PC Chan’s account and omits lawful grounds for entry.
- **Statement 3 – Officer Unknown**
Dated **30/08/2022** yet references the **2025 incident**. This is either a clerical error or a deliberate attempt to retrofit a narrative.
- **Charge Sheet Manipulation**
The original allegation was for **harassment**, later swapped for **criminal damage**—without interview, caution, or lawful rearrest. This violates **PACE 1984** and **CPIA 1996**.

Additional Legal Requests

Please initiate the following disclosures and actions to support my defence:

Full Custody Record Disclosure – Including booking times, inspector reviews, medical assessments, and legal access logs

- **CAD and Call Log Records** – For all calls made by Rebecca O’Hare, neighbours, and internal police communications
- **Tagging Company Records** – Installation date, breach alerts, and correspondence with police/court
- **Medical Expert Statement** – Verifying injuries sustained and long-term impact
- **Formal Complaint to IOPC** – Regarding excessive force, denial of care, and procedural misconduct
- **Judicial Review Preparation** – If fabricated records or unlawful restrictions are upheld

Case Preparation Summary

Materials Already Sent

These documents have been submitted to Tuckers Solicitors for their review:

- **MG11 Witness Statement** – Dated 04/08/2025, detailing the incident and procedural violations
- **Impact Statement** – Outlining the emotional, professional, and logistical harm caused by bail conditions
- **Request for Disclosure** – Previously submitted to Tuckers Solicitors, seeking key evidence and records
- **Medical Records** – Confirming injuries sustained during arrest and hospitalisation

Materials In Progress (To Be Sent Shortly)

These items are being prepared and will be submitted once scanning and formatting are complete:

Digital Evidence Repository – Hosted at horrific-corruption-files.webhop.me

- **Neighbour Witness Statements** – From individuals who observed the incident and are willing to testify
- **Legal Correspondence Archive** – Including emails with court staff, solicitors, and administrative officers
- **Website Documentation** – Hosted at horrificcorruption.com, detailing the full timeline and supporting evidence
- **Court Registry Confirmation** – Verifying that:
 - **Nine convictions** listed on my PNC record **do not exist** in Highbury & Islington’s registry
 - Convictions **1, 2, 3, 4, and 9** occurred **before my first reprimand date**, making them procedurally invalid
 - The alleged convictions **could not have occurred on the same day as the plea date**, based on ACRO and PNC printout timestamps
 - The file is marked “**No Further Action**”, and most entries relate to **non-criminal matters or mistaken identity**
 - These findings support my claim that I am an **innocent victim of identity confusion**, caused by **systemic negligence and misconduct** by police and court review teams
 - The mishandling of my personal data constitutes a **breach of GDPR**

Additional Requests in Progress

These formal requests are being initiated or are pending response:

PNC Record Challenge – Addressing fabricated entries acknowledged by custody officers

- **Full Custody Record Disclosure** – Including booking times, inspector reviews, medical logs, and legal access history
- **CAD and Call Log Records** – For all calls made by Rebecca O’Hare, neighbours, and internal police communications
- **Tagging Company Records** – Installation date, breach alerts, and correspondence with police/court
- **Medical Expert Statement** – Verifying the physical and psychological impact of the assault
- **Formal Complaint to IPCC / IOPC** – Regarding excessive force, denial of care, and procedural misconduct
- **Request for Judicial Review** – To challenge the legality of the proceedings and fabricated records!

I trust you will take the necessary steps to ensure this case is handled with the seriousness and transparency it demands. Please confirm your attendance and initiate the required disclosure applications and summonses as soon as possible.

Yours sincerely,

Simon Paul Cordell

280 Durant Road

Enfield, EN3 7AZ

DOB: 26/01/1981

Email: re_wired@ymail.com

On Monday 1 September 2025 at 18:38:25 BST, Andrew Benington

<beningtona@tuckerssolicitors.com> wrote:

All the documents in the IDPC have been forwarded to you as separate documents. The officers' notebooks are irrelevant as there is Body Worn Video.

If you know of any witness who can support your denial that you spoke those words to your neighbour then please provide any details you can.

Best Regards

Andrew Benington

Andrew Benington

Solicitor

T: 07789830152

M: 07789830152

CJSM: BeningtonA@tuckerssolicitors.com.cjsm.net



Notices: [Disclaimer](#) | [Privacy](#)

From: Rewired <re_wired@ymail.com>

Sent: 01 September 2025 14:41

To: Andrew Benington <BeningtonA@tuckerssolicitors.com>

Subject: Re: You case papers Id:COR10388/00001

You don't often get email from re_wired@ymail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

Subject: Request for Full Disclosure – Case of 04/08/2025

Dear Andrew

I am writing regarding the recent disclosure I received for the case dated 04/08/2025. I am currently reviewing the documents provided, but I have noticed that the **Initial Details of the Prosecution Case (IDPC,)** which is a standard CPS form outlining the prosecution's case summary, has not been included. I have received this form in previous cases and would appreciate it as disclosed for this case's matter.

Please confirm whether the IDPC has been generated for this case, and if so, kindly provide it as part of the disclosure bundle.

Additionally, I would like to formally request the following materials, which are critical to my defence:

- **Police officer notebooks** from the date of the incident
- **Body-worn camera footage** from all attending officers

This footage is particularly important, as it captures not only my account of events but also the presence and comments of **bystanders and neighbours** who witnessed the situation. The arresting officer's refusal to take their statements of them, despite being prompted on camera, forms part of a broader pattern in how these cases have been handled against me and demonstrates my innocence as to their said version of events.

These materials are essential to establishing the full context of these ongoings and ensuring procedural fairness. Please will you kindly confirm as to when and how I can expect to receive them, considering the circumstances or if further steps are required to obtain access.

Kind regards,
Mr Simon Cordell

On Monday 1 September 2025 at 13:38:58 BST, Rewired Rewired <re_wired@ymail.com> wrote:

Subject: Re: Case COR10388/00001 – Threat to Damage or Destroy Property

Dear Andrew,

Thank you for sending over the case papers as i requested.

I'll take some time to review the correspondence and documents in full, and I'll be back in touch with you shortly to continue our discussion.

Best regards, **Simon Cordell**

On Monday 1 September 2025 at 12:35:40 BST, Andrew Benington <beningtona@tuckerssolicitors.com> wrote:

Hi

The charge is Threat to Damage or Destroy Property. On 2/8/25 threatened Rebecca O'Hare that he would blow up the vehicle belonging to her intending that she would fear that the threat would be carried out.

The issue in your case is very simple. Did you threaten to blow up your neighbours car or not. This is your word against hers.

The rest of the statements from police officers are immaterial. They do not reference the case at all. I have included them from completeness.

Best Regards
Andrew Benington

Andrew Benington
Magistrates Court

Solicitor specified. T: 07789830152
M: 07789830152

39, Warren Street, London, W1T 6AF
Mail: BeningtonA@tuckerssolicitors.com

Error! Filename not

CJSM: BeningtonA@tuckerssolicitors.com.www.tuckerssolicitors.com



Notices: [Disclaimer](#) | [Privacy](#)

27. Email-Received

Your Case - Meeting Id:COR10388/00001

From: Nicole Windele (windelen@tuckerssolicitors.com)

To: re_wired@ymail.com

Date: Monday 15 September 2025

at 14:44 BST Dear Simon,

I am the paralegal assistant to Andrew with your case.

I would like to meet with you in order to discuss your case. I am happy to do this either in person at our office in Warren Street or via teams.

Please could you let me know if you have availability for a meeting early next week.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Kind regards,
Nicole

Nicole Windele
Criminal Defence
Paralegal



39 Warren Street London, , W1T 6AF
Mail: WindeleN@tuckerssolicitors.com
CJSM: WindeleN@tuckerssolicitors.com.www.tuckerssolicitors.com



Notices: [Disclaimer](#) | [Privacy](#)



- signature-transparent-bg_bd3b4ec2-9608-4754-bd6a-54dd565a5306.png 11.3 kB

On 16/09/2025: “Empty!”

On 17/09/2025: “Empty!”

On 18/09/2025: “Empty!”

On 19/09/2025: “Empty!”

On 20/09/2025: “Empty!”

On 21/09/2025:

	<u>Fixed server 2</u>

On 22/09/2025: 22-Days-till-Cour! + Draft Files

	<u>Fixing Case Files</u> Thanks, Simon. Based on your confirmed file order and the structure of your evidence repository, here’s the final, comprehensive email draft to send to Andrew Benington at Tuckers Solicitors. This version includes: • Confirmation of your willingness to join a Teams meeting • Explanation of your technical setup and limitations • Request for trial postponement • Clear instructions on how to use the files • Highlighting system (orange and green)

- Direct reference to the correct file structure and repository

Subject: Urgent: Request for Disclosure, Trial Extension & Review of Case Files

Dear Mr. Benington,

I hope this message finds you well.

Following your recent invitation to a Microsoft Teams meeting, I would like to confirm my willingness to participate. While I am not very familiar with Teams, I do have Anydesk remote access available and am happy to provide access to my files during the meeting. This will allow me to demonstrate the volume and structure of exhibits I have compiled in support of my defence.

Due to my current bail conditions, I am reliant on others to assist with technical access to my systems. Unfortunately, none of these individuals possess the qualifications necessary to support the legal and evidentiary aspects of my case. As a result, progress has been slow and, I believe, unfairly hindered.

I am deeply concerned that with only 22 days remaining until trial, there is insufficient time to complete the necessary case files and allow my legal defence team to properly review them. I respectfully request that we postpone the trial date to ensure that all materials can be properly prepared and assessed.

Temporary Case Files Repository

I have created a temporary web link to host key documents while my servers undergo repair:

horrific-corruption-files.webhop.me/1Test

This repository contains structured folders and files that demonstrate:

- Procedural errors
- Fabricated criminal record entries
- Misconduct by authorities
- Audio and written evidence supporting my defence

How to Use the Files

Each file is named and ordered to guide you through the evidence chronologically and thematically.

Sinead Statement

2014 Files to do with Rebeca

Court order for emergency transfer that council refuse to act upon, allowing for me to be victimised in my own home with their deliberate interventions.

Please begin with the ACRO comparisons, followed by CPS disclosures, and then supporting evidence such as emails, recordings, and legal letters.

File Order & Purpose:

1. **1-Combined-2017-2020-2021-WorkOut-Code-4-PNC (.docx & .pdf)**
 - a Simplified versions of the ACRO report showing manipulated entries. Use these to compare against official disclosures.
2. **1. Emails (Folder)**
 - a Contains my correspondence with legal entities, including requests for disclosure and trial dismissal. These show my consistent efforts to engage with the process and highlight delays.
3. **2. Orig-Mod CORDELL Simon Paul 01YE1300125 Initial Details Pros Case pdf.pdf**
 - a Annotated CPS disclosure showing how a breach of bail allegation was used to escalate the case unfairly.
4. **3. Acro 2021 PNC 9799378V CORDELL SIMON PAUL MOD-31-01-2024.pdf**
 - a Original ACRO report with highlighted markers for comparison.
5. **4. CORDELL Simon Paul 01YE1300125 Initial Details Pros Case pdf.pdf**
 - a Breakdown of the conviction printout showing multiple errors.
6. **5-New-Pre-Action-Conduct-Letter-12-05-25 -V1.pdf**
 - a Evidence of fraudulent record keeping and procedural violations.
7. **6. 02-09-24 -Court-Highbury-PNC (Folder)**
 - a Contains one of over 200 recordings related to cases where I was allegedly set up.
8. **7. Acro-Return-Workout (Folder)**
 - a Dissects the ACRO record, exposing fabricated entries and timeline manipulation.
9. **7. RequestPoliceAcroMarkers.pdf**
 - a Highlights specific markers used in the police record that are inconsistent or misleading.

10. **7. This one--ReturnWorkout2of3Workouts (Folder)**
 - a Contains part 2 of the ACRO analysis, correcting arrest and disposal records.
11. **8. Title 115-Rebbeca -Key (.docx & .pdf)**
 - a Documents how Rebecca O'Hare and others have targeted me unlawfully, supported by exhibits collected by myself, family, and supporters.

Orange & Green Highlights Explained

To assist with navigation and analysis, I've used a color-coded system throughout the documents:

- **Orange Highlights:**

Mark entries that appear to be fraudulently created or backdated. These disrupt the timeline and often sit in the wrong chronological section. They are key to proving manipulation of my criminal record.

- **Green Highlights:**

Indicate entries that were deleted or suppressed in later versions of the record. These show how legitimate information was removed to support a false narrative.

Each highlighted section is cross-referenced with supporting documents and recordings to establish a pattern of abuse and procedural misconduct.

I would appreciate it if you could confirm receipt of this message and advise whether a telephone number is available for direct contact. I believe a brief conversation would help clarify the urgency and complexity of the situation.

Thank you for your continued support and attention to this matter.

Kind regards,

Simon Paul Cordell

Legal Grounds for Dismissal of Charge: "Threats to Cause Criminal Damage"

Defendant: Mr. Simon Paul Cordell

Court: Highbury Corner Magistrates' Court

Trial Date: 13 October 2025

Charge: Threats to cause criminal damage (allegedly made on 02/08/2025)

Legal Basis: Criminal Damage Act 1971, Section 2

Summary of Legal Failures

1. No Interview, No Arrest, No Caution

- The alleged threat was never investigated through proper channels.
- No re-arrest, no caution, and no interview were conducted for this new charge.
- This violates the **Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984 (PACE)** and **Code C**, which guarantee the right to legal representation and proper interview procedure.

2. Charge Fabricated After Custody Expired

- The original harassment charge was dropped.
- The new charge was introduced **after the custody clock expired**, without legal basis.
- This is a breach of **Article 6 of the Human Rights Act 1998** (right to a fair trial) and **PACE custody rules**.

3. Sole Witness Statement Is Invalid

- The only statement against Mr. Cordell is from Rebecca O'Hare.
- This statement was originally submitted for a **different case** (harassment), and includes references to **prior dates and incidents** that were already resolved with **No Further Action (NFA)**.
- Using this statement for a new charge is procedurally improper and violates the **CPS Code for Crown Prosecutors**, which requires:
 - Sufficient admissible evidence
 - Public interest
 - Procedural fairness

4. No Immediate Risk or Threat

- The alleged incident occurred **90 minutes before** police arrived.
- Mr. Cordell was indoors, recovering from surgery, in pyjamas, and physically incapacitated.
- There was **no confrontation, no damage, and no verbal exchange** with the alleged victim.
- This fails the legal test for "threats to cause criminal damage," which requires:
 - A **clear and credible threat**
 - **Intent to**

intimidate ○ No
lawful excuse

5. Police Statements Pre-Date the Incident

- Several police statements were created **before the alleged incident occurred**, indicating fabrication or premeditated misconduct.
- This undermines the integrity of the investigation and may constitute **perverting the course of justice**.

6. Medical Incapacity

- Mr. Cordell had undergone hernia surgery days prior.
- He was unable to walk, bend, or speak without pain.
- This makes the alleged threat **physically implausible** and legally unsustainable.

7. Denial of Legal Rights

- Mr. Cordell was denied access to:
 - His solicitor during key custody decisions
 - His appropriate adult
 - Medical assistance
- These are violations of **PACE, Code C, and Article 3 of the Human Rights Act** (protection from inhuman or degrading treatment).

8. PNC Record Proven to Be Fabricated

- Internal checks by custody officers confirmed fraudulent entries in Mr. Cordell's Police National Computer (PNC) record.
- These entries were used to justify bail refusal and escalate charges.
- The court itself acknowledged that Mr. Cordell had **no convictions in over 25 years**, contradicting the fabricated record.

Conclusion: Trial Must Not Proceed

The charge of "threats to cause criminal damage" is legally unsound, procedurally invalid, and unsupported by admissible evidence. The case is built on a single recycled witness statement, fabricated police records, and unlawful custody practices. Proceeding to trial under these conditions would constitute a miscarriage of justice and expose the court to liability for reckless sentencing of an innocent man.

Recommended Action

- **Immediate dismissal** of the charge under Section 2 of the Criminal Damage Act 1971
- **Formal adjournment** of trial pending full review of procedural breaches
- **Disclosure order** for all body-worn footage, custody logs, and internal communications
- **Judicial inquiry** into the fabrication of PNC records and unlawful detention

On 23/09/2025: 21-Days-till-Cour! : “Empty!”

On 24/09/2025: 20-Days-till-Cour! : “Empty!”

On 25/09/2025: 19-Days-till-Cour! : “Empty!”

On 26/09/2025: 18-Days-till-Cour! + 28. Email-Sent-Now! + 29. Received + 30. Email-Sent!

28. Email-Sent-Now

Re: Your Case - Meeting Id:COR10388/00001 From:

Rewired (re_wired@ymail.com)

To:windelen@tuckerssolicitors.com Date: Friday 26

September 2025 at 12:09 BST

- Confirmation of my willingness to join a Teams meeting!
- Explanation of my technical setup and limitations!
- Request for trial postponement!
- Clear instructions on how to use the Case files developed!
- Formal request for confirmation of case compliance regarding my legal instructions submitted to Tucker Solicitor Firm, including a detailed list of completed actions.
- Date and time agreement for first legal meeting to take place! "As detailed Below!"

Subject: Urgent: Request for Disclosure, Trial Extension &

Review of Case Files Dear Mr. Benington,

I hope this message finds you well.

Following your recent invitation to a Microsoft Teams meeting, I would like to confirm my willingness to participate. While I am not very familiar with Teams, I do have Anydesk remote access available and am happy to provide access to my files during the meeting. This will allow me to demonstrate the volume and structure of exhibits I have compiled in support of my defence.

Due to my current bail conditions, I am reliant on others to assist with technical access to my systems. Unfortunately, none of these individuals possess the qualifications necessary to support the legal and evidentiary aspects of my case. As a result, progress has been slow and, I believe, unfairly hindered.

I am deeply concerned that with only “18 Days Remaining Until Trial,” there is insufficient time to complete the necessary case files and allow my legal defence team to properly review them. I respectfully request that we explore the possibility of postponing the trial date to ensure that all materials can be properly prepared and assessed.

a. Due to the 18 days left till trial, I am available at your earliest convenience please set any date or time and I will be available!

Temporary Case Files Repository

I have created a temporary web link to host key documents while my servers undergo repair: <https://horrificcorruption.com/Server2/Another-Police-Case-03-08-2025/index01a.asp>

This repository contains structured folders and files that demonstrate:

- Procedural errors
- Fabricated criminal record entries
- Misconduct by authorities
- Audio and written evidence supporting my defence such as: “10. Saheed-Statement” alongside with other most important documentation.

How to Use the Files

Each file has been carefully named and sequenced to guide you through the evidence both chronologically and thematically. Please note that this archive is still in the early stages of development, and further updates will follow.

I would be grateful if you could confirm receipt of this message and advise whether a direct telephone number is available for contact. A brief conversation would help clarify the urgency and complexity of the situation.

Additionally, I kindly request written confirmation—by email—of which items from my disclosure request have been completed. This includes:

- Attendance records for the alleged victim and involved police officers
- Working exhibits such as police body-worn camera footage
- Printouts or scans of original police pocket notebooks

Thank you for your continued attention and support.

Kind regards,
Simon Paul Cordell

Legal Grounds for Dismissal of Charge: “Threats to Cause Criminal Damage”

Defendant: Mr. Simon Paul Cordell Court: Highbury Corner Magistrates’ Court

Trial Date: 13 October 2025

Charge: Threats to cause criminal damage (allegedly made on 02/08/2025)

Legal Basis: Criminal Damage Act 1971, Section 2

Summary of Legal Failures

1. **No Interview, No Arrest, No Caution**
 - The alleged threat was never investigated through proper channels.
 - No re-arrest, no caution, and no interview were conducted for this new charge.
 - This violates the Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984 (PACE) and Code C, which guarantee the right to legal representation and proper interview procedure.
2. **Charge Fabricated After Custody Expired**
 - The original harassment charge was dropped.
 - The new charge was introduced after the custody clock expired, without legal basis.
 - This is a breach of Article 6 of the Human Rights Act 1998 (right to a fair trial) and PACE custody rules.
3. **Sole Witness Statement Is Invalid**
 - The only statement against Mr. Cordell is from Rebecca O’Hare.
 - This statement was originally submitted for a different case (harassment) and includes references to prior dates and incidents that were already resolved with No Further Action (NFA).
 - Using this statement for a new charge is procedurally improper and violates the CPS Code for Crown Prosecutors, which requires:
 - a. Sufficient admissible evidence
 - b. Public interest
 - c. Procedural fairness
4. **No Immediate Risk or Threat**
 - The alleged incident occurred 90 minutes before police arrived.
 - Mr. Cordell was indoors, recovering from surgery, in pyjamas, and physically incapacitated.
 - There was no confrontation, no damage, and no verbal exchange with the alleged victim.
 - This fails the legal test for “threats to cause criminal damage,” which requires:
 - a. A clear and credible threat
 - b. Intent to intimidate
 - c. No lawful excuse
5. **Police Statements Pre-Date the Incident**
 - Several police statements were created before the alleged incident occurred, indicating fabrication or premeditated misconduct.
 - This undermines the integrity of the investigation and may constitute perverting the course of justice.
6. **Medical Incapacity**
 - Mr. Cordell had undergone hernia surgery days prior.

- He was unable to walk, bend, or speak without pain.
- This makes the alleged threat physically implausible and legally unsustainable.

7. Denial of Legal Rights

- Mr. Cordell was denied access to:
 - a. His solicitor during key custody decisions
 - b. His appropriate adult
 - c. Medical assistance
- These are violations of PACE, Code C, and Article 3 of the Human Rights Act (protection from inhuman or degrading treatment).

8. PNC Record Proven to Be Fabricated

- Internal checks by custody officers confirmed fraudulent entries in Mr. Cordell's Police National Computer (PNC) record.
- These entries were used to justify bail refusal and escalate charges.
- The court itself acknowledged that Mr. Cordell had no convictions in over 25 years, contradicting the fabricated record.

Conclusion: Trial Must Not Proceed

The charge of "Threats To Cause Criminal Damage" is legally unsound, procedurally invalid, and unsupported by admissible evidence. The case is built on a single recycled witness statement, fabricated police records, and unlawful custody practices. Proceeding to trial under these conditions would constitute a miscarriage of justice and expose the court to liability for reckless sentencing of an innocent man.

Recommended Action

- Immediate dismissal of the charge under Section 2 of the Criminal Damage Act 1971
- Formal adjournment of trial pending full review of procedural breaches
- Disclosure order for all body-worn footage, custody logs, and internal communications
- Judicial inquiry into the fabrication of PNC records and unlawful detention!

Kind regards again!
Mr. Simon Paul Cordell

On Thursday 25 September 2025 at 10:57:17 BST, Nicole Windele
<windelen@tuckerssolicitors.com> wrote:

Dear Simon,

Further to the email below, please could you let me know a date and time you would be available for a meeting regarding your case.

Kind regards,

Nicole



Nicole Windele
Paralegal
CJSM: WindeleN@tuckerssolicitors.com CJSM.net

From: Nicole Windele <WindeleN@tuckerssolicitors.com>

Sent: Monday, September 15, 2025 14:43

To: re_wired@Ymail.com <re_wired@Ymail.com>

Subject: Your Case - Meeting Id:COR10388/00001

Dear Simon,

I am the paralegal assisting Andrew with your case.

I would like to meet with you in order to discuss your case. I am happy to do this either in person at our office in Warren Street or via teams.

Please could you let me know if you have availability for a meeting early next week.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Kind regards,

Nicole

Nicole Windele

Criminal Defence Paralegal

39 Warren Street London, , W1T 6AF

Mail: WindeleN@tuckerssolicitors.com

CJSM: WindeleN@tuckerssolicitors.com.CJSM.net

www.tuckerssolicitors.com



Notices: [Disclaimer](#) | [Privacy](#)

29. Received

Re: Your Case - Meeting
Id:COR10388/00001 From: Nicole
Windele

(windelen@tuckerssolicitors.com)

To: re_wired@ymail.com

Date: Friday 26 September 2025 at 12:32 BST

Hi Simon,

Thank you for getting back to me.

We can have an appointment at our office in Warren Street if this would be easier for you?

Let me know and we can arrange a date.

Kind regards,

Nicole

Nicole Windele

Paralegal

CJSM: WindeleN@tuckerssolicitors.com.CJSM.net



Notices: [Disclaimer](#) | [Privacy](#)

From: Rewired <re_wired@ymail.com>

Sent: Friday, September 26, 2025 12:09

To: Nicole Windele <WindeleN@tuckerssolidtors.com>

Subject: Re: Your Case - Meeting Id:COR10388/00001

- Confirmation of my willingness to join a Teams meeting!
- Explanation of my technical setup and limitations!
- Request for trial postponement!
- Clear instructions on how to use the Case files developed!
- Formal request for confirmation of case compliance regarding my legal instructions submitted to Tucker Solicitor Firm, including a detailed list of completed actions.
- Date and time agreement for first legal meeting to take place! "As detailed Below!"

Subject: Urgent: Request for Disclosure, Trial Extension & Review of

Case Files Dear Mr. Benington,

I hope this message finds you well.

Following your recent invitation to a Microsoft Teams meeting, I would like to confirm my willingness to participate. While I am not very familiar with Teams, I do have Anydesk remote access available and am happy to provide access to my files during the meeting. This will allow me to demonstrate the volume and structure of exhibits I have compiled in support of my defence.

Due to my current bail conditions, I am reliant on others to assist with technical access to my systems. Unfortunately, none of these individuals possess the qualifications necessary to support the legal and evidentiary aspects of my case.

As a result, progress has been slow and, I believe, unfairly hindered.

I am deeply concerned that with only "18 Days Remaining Until Trial," there is insufficient time to complete the necessary case files and allow my legal defence team to properly review them. I respectfully request that we explore the possibility of postponing the trial date to ensure that all materials can be properly prepared and assessed.

a. Due to the 18 days left till trial, I am available at your earliest convenience please set any date or time and I will be available!

30. Email-Sent

Re: Your Case - Meeting Id:COR10388/00001 From: Rewired Rewired
(re_wired@ymail.com)

To: windelen@tuckerssolicitors.com Date: Friday 26 September 2025 at 18:28 BST

Dear Nicole, Thank you for your message. Due to ongoing police harassment and safety concerns, I am currently limiting travel and prefer to stay indoors. Additionally, my mother, who usually supports me, is very unwell at the moment. Therefore, I would prefer to have the meeting online via Teams. I am available early next week and look forward to discussing the case with you. Kind regards, Simon

On Friday 26 September 2025 at 12:32:50 BST, Nicole Windele <windelen@tuckerssolicitors.com> wrote:

Hi Simon,

Thank you for getting back to me.

We can have an appointment at our office in Warren Street if this would be easier for you?

Let me know and we can arrange a date.

Kind regards,

Nicole

Nicole Windele

Paralegal

CJSM: WindeleN@tuckerssolicitors.com CJSM.net

**TUCKERS
CRIMINAL
SOLICITORS**

Notices: [Disclaimer](#) | [Privacy](#)

From: Rewired Rewired <re_wired@ymail.com>

Sent: Friday, September 26, 2025 12:09

To: Nicole Windele <WindeleN@tuckerssolicitors.com>

Subject: Re: Your Case - Meeting Id:COR10388/00001

- Confirmation of my willingness to join a Teams meeting!
- Explanation of my technical setup and limitations!
- Request for trial postponement!
- Clear instructions on how to use the Case files developed!
- Formal request for confirmation of case compliance regarding my legal instructions submitted to Tucker Solicitor Firm, including a detailed list of completed actions.
- Date and time agreement for first legal meeting to take place! **"As detailed Below!"**

On 27/09/2025: 17-Days-till-Cour! : "Empty!"

On 28/09/2025: 16-Days-till-Cour! : “Empty!”

On 29/09/2025: 15-Days-till-Cour! + 31. Email-Sent + 32. Received + 33. Email-Sent!

31. Email-Sent	
	Re: Your Case - Meeting Id:COR10388/00001 From: Rewired (re_wired@ymail.com) To: windelen@tuckerssolicitors.com Date: Monday 29 September 2025 at 16:15 BST Hello Nichole, I hope you're well. Could you please contact me on my mobile phone so we can arrange the meeting? The trial date is approaching quickly, and I'm becoming concerned about how soon it is. My contact number is 07864 217519. Thank you, Simon On Friday 26 September 2025 at 18:28:49 BST, Rewired Rewired <re_wired@ymail.com> wrote: Dear Nicole, Thank you for your message. Due to ongoing police harassment and safety concerns, I am currently limiting travel and prefer to stay indoors. Additionally, my mother, who usually supports me, is very unwell at the moment. Therefore, I would prefer to have the meeting online via Teams. I am available early next week and look forward to discussing the case with you. Kind regards, Simon On Friday 26 September 2025 at 12:32:50 BST, Nicole Windele <windelen@tuckerssolicitors.com> wrote: Hi Simon, Thank you for getting back to me. We can have an appointment at our office in Warren Street if this would be easier for you? Let me know and we can arrange a date. Kind regards, Nicole Nicole Windele Paralegal CJSM: WindeleN@tuckerssolicitors.com.CJSM.net TUCKERS CRIMINAL SOLICITORS Notices: Disclaimer Privacy From: Rewired Rewired <re_wired@ymail.com> Sent: Friday, September 26, 2025 12:09 To: Nicole Windele <WindeleN@tuckerssolicitors.com> Subject: Re: Your Case - Meeting Id:COR10388/00001

- Confirmation of my willingness to join a Teams meeting!
- Explanation of my technical setup and limitations!
- Request for trial postponement!
- Clear instructions on how to use the Case files developed!
- Formal request for confirmation of case compliance regarding my legal instructions submitted to Tucker Solicitor Firm, including a detailed list of completed actions.
- Date and time agreement for first legal meeting to take place! **"As detailed Below!"**

32. Received

Re: Your Case - Meeting
 Id:COR10388/00001 From:
 Nicole Windele
 (windelen@tuckerssolicitors.com)
 To: re_wired@ymail.com
 Date: Monday 29 September 2025 at 20:12 BST

Hi Simon,

Would you be able to do either Wednesday or Thursday this week at 2.30pm? Nicole

Notices: [Disclaimer](#) | [Privacy](#)

From: Rewired <re_wired@ymail.com>

Sent: Monday, September 29, 2025 4:15:29 PM



To: Nicole Windele <WindeleN@tuckerssolicitors.com>

Subject: Re: Your Case - Meeting Id:COR10388/00001

Hello Nichole, I hope you're well. Could you please contact me on my mobile phone so we can arrange the meeting? The trial date is approaching quickly, and I'm becoming concerned about how soon it is. My contact number is 07864 217519.

Thank you, Simon

On Friday 26 September 2025 at 18:28:49 BST, Rewired <re_wired@ymail.com> wrote:

Dear Nicole, Thank you for your message. Due to ongoing police harassment and safety concerns, I am currently limiting travel and prefer to stay indoors. Additionally, my mother, who usually supports me, is very unwell at the moment. Therefore, I would prefer to have the meeting online via Teams. I am available early next week and look forward to discussing the case with you. Kind regards, Simon
 On Friday 26 September 2025 at 12:32:50 BST, Nicole Windele <windelen@tuckerssolicitors.com> wrote:

Hi Simon,

Thank you for getting back to me.

We can have an appointment at our office in Warren Street if this would be easier for you?

Let me know and we can arrange a date.

Kind regards,

Nicole

Nicole Windele

Paralegal

CJSM: WindeleN@tuckerssolicitors.com CJSM.net



33. Email-Sent

Re: Your Case - Meeting Id:COR10388/00001

From: Rewired (re_wired@ymail.com)

To: windelen@tuckerssolicitors.com

Date: Monday 29 September 2025 at 20:31 BST

Subject: Meeting Confirmation & Urgent Action on Prior Case Directions and Dismissal Grounds

Dear Nicole, Thank you for confirming availability. I will attend the meeting on **Wednesday at 2:30pm**. While I would have preferred Tuesday to allow more time, I'll make Wednesday work. **Thursday is also possible, if necessary**, though I must express concern—it would leave only **13 days until trial**, which is deeply troubling given the unresolved issues.

I must also raise a serious concern: the directive outlined in “**03. Email Sent.pdf: ‘Mr. S. P. Cordell Case Directions!’ dated 07th August 2025**” and the more recent “**26. Email-Sent.pdf: ‘Tuckerssolicitors.com’ dated 1st September 2025**” clearly requested urgent intervention with the court regarding **trial attendance, disclosure failures, and legal grounds for dismissal** under URN **01YE1300125**. These were not casual suggestions—they were formal, time-stamped communications that should have triggered immediate action, alongside the other Case directions that I sent to yourselves as can be read here:

<https://horrificcorruption.com/Server2/Another-Police-Case-03-08-2025/0.%20A-Webpage%20Folder%20Path%20Listing/output2.doc.pdf>

Given the gravity of the procedural breakdowns already documented, I respectfully urge your team to **act before our meeting**. The evidence and correspondence already submitted are more than sufficient to justify a formal application to the court. It is not acceptable that this remains unaddressed, especially when the consequences of delay could result in a biased or unjust outcome.

Please treat this as a matter of priority. I am relying on your firm to safeguard my position and ensure that the court is made fully aware of the risks to a fair trial.

Kind regards, **Simon Paul Cordell**

On Monday 29 September 2025 at 20:12:32 BST, Nicole Windele
<windelen@tuckerssolicitors.com> wrote:


Hi Simon,

Would you be able to do either Wednesday or Thursday this week at 2.30pm?

Nicole

	Nicole Windele Paralegal CJSM: WindeleN@tuckerssolicitors.com.CJSM.net TUCKERS CRIMINAL SOLICITORS Notices: Disclaimer Privacy From: Rewired Rewired <re_wired@ymail.com> Sent: Monday, September 29, 2025 4:15:29 PM To: Nicole Windele <WindeleN@tuckerssolidtors.com> Subject: Re: Your Case - Meeting Id:COR10388/00001 Hello Nichole, I hope you're well. Could you please contact me on my mobile phone so we can arrange the meeting? The trial date is approaching quickly, and I'm becoming concerned about how soon it is. My contact number is 07864 217519. Thank you, Simon

On 30/09/2025: + 14-Days-till-Cour! + 34. Received + 35. Sent
re_wired@Ymail.com has accepted!

	34. Received 
	35. Sent Accepted: Meeting with Simon Cordell From: re_wired@Ymail.com (re_wired@ymail.com) To: windelen@tuckerssolicitors.com Date: Tuesday 30 September 2025 at 10:19 BST <u>re_wired@Ymail.com has accepted</u> When Wednesday, 01 October 2025 01:30 pm to 03:00 pm (GMT) Greenwich Mean Time - Dublin / Edinburgh / Lisbon / London Where Microsoft

Teams Meeting	
	

On 01/10/2025: 13-Days-till-Cour! : “Empty!”

On 01/10/2025: 12-Days-till-Cour! : “Empty!”

On 02/10/2025: 11-Days-till-Cour! + First Meeting with solicitor + 36. Draft-Minutes-of-the-Meeting-02-10-25 + 37. Sent!

	36. Draft-Minutes-of-the-Meeting-02-10-25
	Recording (27) Transcribed by TurboScribe.ai. Go Unlimited to remove this message. (0:00 - 1:40) It's 2.29, first attempt 25, and we're going into the meeting again because the timer seems to be wrong. I say 2.30, she said 2.15, then fell down and said 1.30. We need to have a time- lapse and things like that. I don't know, I seem to have it. (1:43 - 1:52) I've got access to a call. I'm supposed to, but I'm inside a level. I don't know if it's on or off. (2:12 - 2:48) Hmm. Hmm. Hmm. (2:48 - 3:27) Hmm. Hmm. Hmm. (3:36 - 3:45) Hmm. Hmm. Hmm. (3:45 - 8:43) There's going to be a telephone call to check in. No one has responded to your request,

please try again. Hmm.

(8:43 - 9:04)

Hmm. Hmm. Hmm.

(9:06 - 9:15)

So, Andrew is the one who will be doing the trial, but I'm just helping them to prepare for the trial. Yeah. That's perfect.

(9:17 - 9:28)

I think you did meet with Andrew when you were at court, was it? Was Andrew there? No, I've not met anybody. I've just met one lady. I've never met anybody.

(9:28 - 10:05)

The first time that I was arrested, I was brought to the court, and when I was brought to the court in the sector secure, I was brought up, someone come downstairs, and he said he was acting for this hold. He quickly made me sign some bit of paper, he wouldn't even let me speak, and then he disappeared, and then there was no one in the court representing me. They granted me bail on my own, but what they said is that the CPS paperwork wasn't then, there was no CPS paperwork, so they were quite shocked for this case to have gone ahead, but that was for obvious reasons, because they swapped the charge, and I was never arrested, I've never been arrested for this charge, I've never been interviewed.

(10:05 - 11:00)

You yourselves come to the police station, I've been nicked for harassment, the statement, a girl's done a statement which she supplied a video for, which was for January, now that statement, once I'd been interviewed with your solicitor firm, they've left, and I was supposed to, I said, the police officer's come out, he's dropped the harassment charge, and then he's just charged me with another charge, like I'm for threats, and I wasn't arrested, interviewed, I asked if a USOC can be called, they refused for a USOC to be called, and everything, and then I was taken in a secure van, I was brought to the police station, to the courts, and the courts didn't even have no paperwork there, there was no solicitor in the court, and the CPS would have had the paperwork had they reviewed the case and agreed for that second charge to be submittable for court. So, like I've not been interviewed, I've not been anything for this charge. So unfair.

(11:01 - 11:22)

But what we see from this is, you were interviewed on the 3rd of August, and you provided a prepared statement. For harassment. Which said, so you were arrested for a sexual, a public disorder.

(11:22 - 12:00)

No I wasn't, no I wasn't, madam, honestly, I was arrested for harassment, 1997, I promise you that, and your solicitor firm, in the interview room, made me do a prepared statement, which I was well happy with him doing, I took his legal advice, and that statement read, oh, we was only talking about harassment, in the interview tape, the police officers tried to question me about other things, and even the solicitor said he's not been arrested for these things, and you're not allowed to talk to him for as

long as you want. So, the prepared statement says, I did not use any threatening words towards my neighbour, I did not threaten to blow up her car, and I did not cause her any harassment, alarm or distress. Right? Yes, that's possible, yes.

(12:00 - 12:21)

And there's things that erode, there's things that erode the car. Yeah, so that's what this trial is for, is for them, making threats to blow up her car. No, no madam, no, I was, when I was first on the site, and the lead in my house, the police come in, and the only charge said to me was for harassment in 1997.

(12:21 - 12:55)

I was brought to the police station, and when I was speaking to the solicitor, he said to me, what I'm being accused of is being verbally aggressive in January, yeah, in January of this year, and that they've supplied a video for that, for me being aggressive, which is the first incident which they need for harassment. He said the second element is the second of the eight, which is the newest incident, supposedly. And then he said to me to do a prepared statement about the harassment, and about the alarm harassment I'm supposed to have called on the 1st of January, and for this.

(12:55 - 13:11)

So we've done that, and we talked about it. Now if we even look at her statement, this alleged victim's statement, who's made it up, she lied in the beginning of her statement. What she says is, oh look, I have, I've threatened to do these different things, and say these things, I promise that never happened, yeah.

(13:11 - 13:38)

The reason that she, I can explain why she's said this before, but she's done stuff to me, and I've recorded them, and put that in my website. So, in the video that you have, I've transcribed it now, and what I say to her, as upset as I was, because she's recorded half the video, she's told me, I've said to her, go to my website horrificcorruption.com, and you can see the videos of what you've been doing to me illegally, and me writing to the council, yeah. And because I've transcribed it up, yeah.

(13:39 - 13:53)

And now, she says to me, go away, go away. So, I walk away, I listen to what she's saying, but I'm still very upset in our argument. So that's why the citizen said to me to explain about me being further aggressive, I said I wasn't further aggressive, and I wasn't this, and it was for harassment.

(13:54 - 14:11)

Even the police, even in the CPS case paperwork, it says I was arrested for harassment. But then, they sent that paperwork to the CPS, and the CPS said there's not enough evidence to charge, because the videotape doesn't prove, the videotape proves she's lying. Yeah, I was going to say, the video is quite irrelevant.

(14:12 - 14:27)

It doesn't prove anything, it doesn't show anything. Yeah, but this ain't an harassment case, and it shouldn't be admissible, because it's something to do with the First Attorney, it's putting me in a bad light for something that's been no further action. But

the video, the video is what's being irrelevant, it doesn't show anything.

(14:27 - 16:12)

No, but, I understand that, but as a solicitor, or a bastard, or a legal, a legal, a legal officer, you should understand that no one can go in, no jury should be allowed to be told all this stuff about me, if they wanted to even get my convictions in, they have to put an application, yeah, but she can't talk about stuff about me from the last year, or stuff that I've already proved, I was found not guilty for that at the police station, so why is she allowed to have all of this stuff that I've not been found guilty about at the beginning of her statement, because it was made for an harassment case, and now it's admissible, because it makes me, they're talking about something completely different that I've already won, and she's lying. What is she referring to? She's referring to the videotape, it's from the 1st of January, yeah, now the latest incident is from the 8th, so now, the initial charge of harassment means two incidents, so yeah, the 1st was allowed into it from January, and so was the 8th, but the police, no further action that at the police station, now they've used the same statement, and in that same statement she talks about the 1st that has already been no further action, that's inadmissible in court, she can't put me in a bad light for something that they've already said that there's no evidence towards, she's only allowed to talk about today, this incident, which is the 8th, in her statement, the first three quarters of it's all to do with the 1st, because it was made for harassment, then the bottom half of it's to do with this incident, her statement is inadmissible, as well as the police officers who forwarded their statements and made up that this happened on the 1st, and that they'd done their statements on the 2nd, if that makes sense madam. I get what you're saying, but, I wouldn't say that her statement's inadmissible, because she's not saying that you have been convicted of an offence and done X, Y, Z. No, she's lying about me to do with saying that I'm not being prosecuted or charged for having an offence, I've had a fair process.

(16:13 - 16:33)

But, obviously, your statement, her whole statement talks about things, about what you have allegedly done, obviously in your view, you haven't- I've been found not guilty for that. No, no, no, I'm not, you haven't been found- Yes, I was found not guilty for harassment, I was found not guilty for the 1st of January at the police station. Yeah, no further action, yeah.

(16:33 - 16:45)

Yes, that's what being found not guilty means. Yeah, that means that there was no evidence, so, I'm sorry madam, I understand fully what you're saying. Being in an NSA simply means that there is not enough evidence for them to charge you.

(16:45 - 17:01)

Madam, can I ask- Wait, wait, don't bother, let me finish. It doesn't mean that you are guilty, it doesn't mean that you're not guilty of offence, it just means that the police don't have enough evidence to charge you. Okay, I understand what you're saying there fully.

(17:01 - 17:12)

Wait, wait, hold on, hold on. So, she is allowed to say in her statement that this and

that happened. It's essentially, it's your word- No, no, madam, I understand madam.

(17:12 - 17:23)

She's not saying in her statement that, oh, he did this to me, and we went to court, he was found guilty. That's not what she's saying. She said that she's not allowed to say that, but that's not what she's saying.

(17:23 - 18:10)

No, madam, what she's saying is- He did this to me in January, and he also did this to me in August, that's what she's saying. Yeah, but what that statement is saying is that for a case that she already- I know that you've got a client, for instance, madam, yeah? Because I fully appreciate what you're saying, I can understand the principle of the law by what you're saying, yeah? But you've got to look at it as if you're looking at it from a barrister's side, not from a solicitor's side, and how a barrister should see it in the court book. And what the law actually states, it states that she can't- You can't have someone that's been nicked for something in the past, yeah, for like for something called A, and then he's been nicked for something to do with B, and then just because that person knows something to do with A, the first case, and now she's got a case to do with B, she can't just mention the first case, A, because that becomes hearsay.

(18:11 - 18:18)

The case hasn't been proven, the case isn't about that. The beginning of her statement can't be based on that, in that sense. It is, it is.

(18:18 - 18:19)

It is. It is. It is.

(18:19 - 18:26)

It is. It is. I'll have a witness statement that's coming to court.

(18:26 - 18:35)

The only good evidence that there is, is her statement. The video, the witness, doesn't show anything. The video doesn't show anything.

(18:36 - 18:50)

The video doesn't help her. It doesn't do anything. The only evidence that they have, that we have is her statement, the video, and then the body was from when you were arrested.

(18:50 - 18:58)

That's all we have. I understand that. She can say in her statement, oh, he's done this to me, blah, blah, blah.

(18:58 - 19:19)

But she can't say, oh, he's done this to me, blah, blah, blah. What am I actually being, what am I actually being, what am I actually being, being, what am I actually being questioned about? Is that a car that's been blown up, or am I actually being questioned about something that's been, the police said that there's no evidence that they can talk about or even prosecute. The police can't prosecute me for it.

(19:19 - 19:39)

What the basis of the trial is, is about you saying about blowing up a car. So why are we

talking, why is she allowed to talk, the three quarters of her first statement about something that the police have said they don't even have enough evidence to charge me with anyway, and you use her family not guilty for? No, you haven't been found guilty. She's tampering with my reputation.

(19:39 - 19:52)

No, you haven't, you haven't. If you went to court and you were found not guilty, then okay, we can then say, actually you can't speak about that because he went to a court of law and was found not guilty in a court of law. Just because you've been NSA'd, it doesn't mean that you're not guilty.

(19:52 - 20:03)

Someone can be NSA'd for something today and tomorrow, the police can get more evidence, and they can charge you for that offence. Just because you're found NSA'd. Yeah, I understand.

(20:04 - 20:20)

If further evidence comes to light, you can be re-questioned and re-interviewed, which is understandable. But this dating court is not about the first. This dating court is not about, and the first should not be allowed to be talked about in this court of law.

(20:20 - 20:39)

In this date, all that should be allowed to be talked about is this date, and I believe that because her statement tampers both of them, she's trying to paint me in a bad light for something that they already know that they shouldn't be doing. It should be thrown out.

But I have to take your legal, because you're the legal person here, and I respect what you're saying, and I have to follow that.

(20:39 - 21:30)

I just feel that way enough, but maybe you might understand why I feel that way. What you're saying, but obviously, that is something that, so obviously, Andrew will be the one who's speaking for, and obviously, once I speak to you today, I'm going to say Andrew is, like, you know, saying things to be said to me, and I will say, you know, you're not very happy about what the first part of her statement is about, about her speaking about the... She's lying. Which Andrew can then, when Andrew's cross-examined her, so Andrew, obviously, she'll go for the stand, she'll say, blah, blah, blah, and then Andrew, the sister who will be doing the trial, will then go up to her and cross-examine her and be like, well, you know, are you sure this happened, or if this happened, what happened when it was deceased, was it because of an NSA person, that means that there wasn't enough evidence, et cetera, things like that.

(21:30 - 22:12)

Do you get what I'm trying to say? So, Andrew's the one who's going to sort of grill her and be like, well, this didn't have to do it. I understand that, and I'm grateful that he'll do that for me, do you know? But honestly, I'm an innocent person, so I would like to put that forward to you, and I would like to bring it to the point, me being innocent, like an MO, a reason why someone would do this to me or her statue, I don't know this person, I've never met her yet, like in that sense, but I had a lot of issues with her over

the last five years, and my other neighbours, and what we... But you know what he said about the video? Yeah, and you know what I'm saying? Obviously, I've seen a video, and you're saying something about a website. Yeah, this is the point.

(22:12 - 23:11)

My website's called horrificcorruption.com, and in that website, if you scroll down, there's a green web link, and if you click on that, you can see the cases that I've been fighting against, yeah? And you can see that my whole criminal record was folded inside of it, so my whole record is now being contended against, and it should be deleted, yeah? From 96 to today's date, every entry in my record is folded, yeah? By the reviewing teams from Highbury Court and the Metropolitan Police. So when I get brought to Highbury Court, I'm in between two reviewing teams that are grabbing me, and I can see them updating, like in my convictions, they have a TV, like a chat room where they talk about your bowel conditions, so the police will update your bowel conditions, and then the court will update, and you can see them setting me up, because they both know that I've got another case against the pair of them, and my whole record gets deleted, yeah? And that they're in a lot of trouble, but I'm just stuck in between. It wasn't me that brought the case, my mum worked out saying to be in my record and brought it to them.

(23:11 - 23:50)

And now I've just been trapped in this ever since, yeah? But see, like this lady now, her MO is, like Michael's reasoning, it's the video itself. In that video, I can seem a bit upset, yeah? But considering what's been done to me, me and my mum had to write to the council, because she kept attacking me with other neighbours, yeah? So, in the video, you're upset, why were you upset? What happens is, in my flats, in my flats, I've been badly getting attacked for the last five years, and she's one of the people that's been victimising me. Now, she's tapping in her kitchen on the floors of me, and I'm sitting in my house being attacked.

(23:51 - 24:17)

Now, I've got hundreds of video recordings of her doing this to me, yeah? And I've got loads of witnesses happy to attend court that will prove what she's been doing to me. Now, my mum, rather than me, go and knock on any of my neighbours' doors and get myself into any trouble, I... She taps on what though? She goes to the kitchen wall, she starts tapping like that on the kitchen wall, and that bangs through my kitchen and into my front room. So, like, I can sit there, and they start banging the pots on the walls at me.

(24:17 - 24:44)

Now, this can be proof because I've got loads of videos to prove it, yeah? But now, rather than me go and get myself into trouble, in 2014, I told my mother what was going on and showed her, and she wrote to Enfield Council for me. Now, she wrote to the Neighbourhood Watch Team, and I've got those emails now, and she was explaining to them. Over one year, she was writing to five different emails saying to them, My son's badly getting attacked by this Rebecca and the other lot.

(24:44 - 25:11)

Please, can you protect him? None of them would reply to my mother's emails, yeah? Now, eventually, they thought I'd done something wrong to one of the neighbours, so

they've messaged my mum back on the same five emails. Now, I've got a diary that proves this, because I subject access requested all of their computers, so I've got all of their personal release notes, and I've put them in a diary for me. Now, you can see my mum sending the email for a year, then blank, and you can see them trying to call me to cancel off the same email, and my mum.

(25:12 - 25:37)

Then we can see that we proved that I was right, but now they're trapped, yeah? Because they've not answered all these emails. So, they went to these people like Rebecca and that, and they kept asking them, have I done anything bad about me? I've got all those release notes. Now, the bloke called Lemmy from Enfield Council went back to the council and created a council history for me, a malicious council history, and now that is going through legal process right now, yeah? And I can prove what Lemmy's done to me.

(25:37 - 26:20)

Now, they brought me to court for two passing possession orders, two injunction orders, and I was trapped in a legal process for five years, where I never got to trial once, because only if they got me and my legal team to trial, we would prove the forgeries, yeah? So now they kept me stuck in a legal process forever. It's been so unfair, yeah? And now, at the end of it all, the judge knew that they was in a lot of trouble helping them in so many parts, and the judge said that I must be moved out of that flat and wrote a court order demanding for me to have a two-bedroom, life-for-life house. Onstead, Enfield Council never listened to the court order, which I've got still now in all the documentation, and they left me in the flat and left these attacking me.

(26:20 - 26:36)

Now, I sit in my house, I've got so many videos of them doing it to me, and I won't retaliate or do anything wrong. The other day, we're all getting new front doors installed. Only council tenants are allowed to have these new front doors, yeah? As you know, most blocks were allowed private.

(26:36 - 27:15)

She is supposed to be a private tenant. Somehow, she's got the new council front door, because she's subletting that flat illegally of somebody else, and the council know that this is going on, and let her stay in that subletted flat and let her attack me, yeah? And it's so unfair. I'm a secure tenant, and I'm supposed to be in my property, and I look after my property in my home, and she's using a subletted flat that's a council flat belonging to somebody else, and she's attacking me in it, and the council are allowing for that to continue, and they never listened to the court order that was granted, and they won't accept the paperwork that I've subject access to and put into a diary form, and that proves the truth, like what I just explained to you.

(27:15 - 27:25)

And now, because on the 1st of January, I was receiving a lot of banging, so I decided to go upstairs and stand up for myself. I'm polite. I had no shoes on.

(27:26 - 27:41)

I just had my nightie on, because I was relaxing in my own home, and I had no other

intentions. I've gone upstairs, and I've noticed that she doesn't have her door frame in, but that didn't bother me. I've never been to her front door before, because she's got a child, I wouldn't ever go up there and risk myself.

(27:41 - 28:20)

But the bloke opposite her, this bloke called Richard, and Richard, he lives directly above me, and I believed he was banging at me, so I've gone upstairs, and I've knocked on his door, and there was no answer. Now, as I've turned around, she's got no door frame or front door, and the builder's doing work there as well, and then she's come out, and she started talking to me. Now, we had started with a normal conversation, but at some stage, it's turned a bit higher rate, where now I'm saying to her, you're out of order, what you're doing to me, and I admit I'm really upset and hurt because of what she's put me through over the last few years, yeah? So I'm like expressing, because no one else will help me or safeguard me, and my mum's done her best, we've got the evidence, but no one will listen.

(28:21 - 28:40)

And she's allowed to continue to do this. And of course, I've said to her in that recording, as much as I'm like, ah, she's going, get away, get away, I didn't realise she was recording me. So she started speaking to you, and then how did you end up in hiding? I've said to her, I've said to her, she goes to me, why are you at the door? I go, because I was knocking at Richard's door, and she goes, so she's come and started on me.

(28:40 - 28:50)

And now she's saying to me, I said to her, well, you do the same to me. Like, let's talk about that then, yeah? Which is like getting to the bottom of it, legal. And she said, oh, I can't be doing that to you.

(28:50 - 29:06)

How can I bang from my front door at you? Now, she's acting silly, like she can't go into her kitchen and bang on my adjoining kitchen, which she can do, which I've got pictures of it all here now on my website, and I can send them to you, and you'll be like, yeah, that's what she's doing. And I'll send you the videos. And now she's acting silly.

(29:07 - 29:14)

So now, because she's acting silly, it's made things get a bit hyper. I'm going to her, you know exactly what I'm on about. The kitchen, not the front door.

(29:14 - 29:23)

Why are you acting so silly? I'm talking about you attacking me in the kitchen. You can go to my website, Horrific Corruption. Now, she must have pulled the phone out, and she starts recording.

(29:23 - 29:27)

She goes, get away, get away. Now, I listen to her in that recording. I do back off.

(29:27 - 29:31)

But I'm just going, you can go to Horrific Corruption. I'm not having this. I'm going to get you nicked.

(29:31 - 29:37)

Now, she knows in her head she's in trouble. She's gone to my website over the last couple of months. I've been watching that video and listening to it.

(29:37 - 29:46)

And now she's going to set me up when I've gone out to go and get a Chinese. I'm sorry, I know it sounds like a lot. But that's what really happens.

(29:46 - 29:57)

So, when do you think you're going to get Horrific Corruption? Yeah, I've gone back downstairs that day. Now, nothing's happened since January till now. Now, she's submitted that video, but they've cut the video.

(29:58 - 29:59)

So now you can hear me.

This file is longer than 30 minutes.

[Go Unlimited at TurboScribe.ai](#) to transcribe files up to 10 hours long.

37. Sent

Re: Accepted: Meeting with Simon Cordell

From: Rewired (re_wired@ymail.com)

To: windelen@tuckerssolicitors.com

Date: Thursday 2 October 2025 at 10:52

BST

Formal Statement: Procedural Breakdown and Legal Clarification

To: My Solicitor and the Crown Prosecution Service

From: Simon Paul Cordell Date: [Insert Date]

Subject: Clarification of Arrest, Interview Procedure, and Statutory Misapplication

Background and Arrest Context

On 2 August 2025, I was arrested at my home address for the offence of **harassment** under the **Protection from Harassment Act 1997**. This is confirmed in **the Section 9 statements** provided by **PC Wilson-Wallis** and others. The arresting officer read the caution for harassment only. I was not cautioned or arrested for any other offence.

During the interview, my solicitor submitted a prepared statement denying all allegations. I then exercised my right to silence and answered all questions with **"No comment."** The interview focused solely on the **Harassment Allegation**.

It is important to note that the offence of **Section 4A Public Order Act 1986** and **Threats to cause Criminal Damage 1971** were both not introduced by the arresting officers, nor were they referenced in the caution or arrest documentation. **The first recorded mention of Section 4A** occurred within the **prepared statement submitted by my solicitor** during the interview conducted under caution for harassment.

This reference was made pre-emptively and defensively, in response to questions posed by officers that appeared to be only about harassment and therefore extend beyond the scope of the original harassment allegation. At no point prior to or during the arrest was I formally cautioned **for Section 4A**, neither **Threats to cause Criminal Damage 1971** nor was I informed that these offences formed part of the investigation. The interview remained framed around the harassment

charge alone, as confirmed by the Section 9 statement authored by PC Wilson-Wallis and others.

The fact that my solicitor introduced Section 4A, rather than the police, clearly underscores the absence of procedural clarity. It suggests that the police did not lawfully or transparently transition the investigation to encompass any other separate statutory offences. This omission deprived me of the opportunity to respond to the **Section 4A** and **Threats to cause Criminal Damage 1971** allegations under proper caution and undermines the integrity of any subsequent change based on that statute.

Statutory Distinctions: Harassment vs. Section 4A vs. Criminal Damage

Each of the offences listed below is governed by a distinct statute and carries its own procedural requirements. Under **PACE Code C**, any person suspected of a criminal offence must be cautioned before questioning, and any new offence introduced must be supported by a lawful arrest or caution **“unless it was clearly bundled at the time of initial arrest!”**

Offence	Statute	Conduct Type	Intent Required	Interviewed?	Arrested?	Requires Caution Under PACE Code C?
Harassment	Protection from Harassment Act 1997	Repeated or persistent behaviour	No intent required	☒ Yes	☒ Yes	☒ Yes
Section 4A Public Order	Public Order Act 1986	Single threatening/abusive incident	Must prove intent to cause alarm/distress	☒ No	☒ No	☒ Yes
Threats to Cause Criminal Damage	Criminal Damage Act 1971	Threat to destroy/damage property	Must prove intent or recklessness	☒ No (interview refused after harassment dropped)	☒ No (charged without fresh arrest)	☒ Yes

Procedural Concerns and Legal Breaches

1. **Improper Charge Reframing:** The CPS dropped the harassment charge but reused a single line from the victim's statement to justify a **Threats to cause Criminal Damage 1971** and not even a **Section 4A charge**. This reframing occurred without fresh arrest or interview.

2. **Failure to Caution:** I was never cautioned for Section 4A or threats to cause criminal damage. These allegations were not formally put to me. After the harassment charge was dropped, I was refused access to my solicitor and denied the legal right to speak with my appropriate adult, again despite having been granted those safeguards during the original interview.

3. **Disability Safeguards Ignored:** My solicitor noted that I have learning difficulties and required an appropriate adult. This safeguard was respected during the harassment interview but later withdrawn when the charge was dropped. I was denied further contact with my solicitor and appropriate adult, in breach of **PACE Code C** and the **Equality Act 2010**.

4. **Use of Discredited Evidence:** The January video submitted by the alleged victim did not support her claims. The CPS dropped the original charge yet reused her statement to justify new allegations that are procedurally flawed!

5. **Statement Date Irregularities and Fabrication Concerns:** One officer's statement is dated **01/08/2025**, the date of before the arrest. However, another statement appears to also be dated wrong as the **2022**, which is chronologically inconsistent and raises serious concerns about its authenticity. If this statement was authored before or after the alleged victim's account was

taken, or if it was constructed to retroactively justify the charge, this constitutes as fabrication intel. I formally request disclosure of which officer took the alleged victim's statement. If it was one of the attending fabricated officers involved in the arrest, I believe they must attend court to be questioned directly.

Legal Summary

- Each offence requires its own caution and interview unless clearly bundled at arrest and this is not the case!
- I was only arrested and interviewed for harassment, and this was dropped by the police and CPS.
- **Section 4A** and **Threats To Cause Criminal Damage** allegations both introduced without proper procedure.
- This amounts to **Abuse Of Process**, **Denial Of Fair Trial Rights**, and **Disability Discrimination**.

Request for Action

I request:

- Full disclosure of the **Police Bodycams** as well as the original **Police Pocket Notebooks** and **Interview Cd And Transcripts** as these pieces of evidence will also prove that I was **Never Charged In Accordance To The United Kingdom's Laws** for any **Section 4A** and / or **Threats To Cause Criminal Damage Charges**.
- Clearer confirmation of whether I was ever cautioned or interviewed for these offences.
- Identification of the officer who took the alleged victim's statement.
- **A review of procedural fairness and compliance with PACE and the Equality Act.**

This statement is submitted to clarify the legal and procedural irregularities in my case and to assert my rights under UK law.

Signed, Simon Paul Cordell

Meeting Record and Disclosure Request

On 1 October 2025 at 2:30 PM, I attended a meeting with my solicitor, Nichole, to discuss the current status of my case. During this meeting, I was informed for the first time that my witness statement must be taken formally as a **Section 9 statement**. This requirement was not previously communicated to me, despite my consistent efforts to provide documentation and evidence.

I have been sending detailed records and supporting files to my solicitor via email. These include:

- My Mgl1 witness statement previously submitted.
- A handwritten witness statement previously submitted by witness Shead.
-

Despite sending these materials, I received no acknowledgment or request for the files themselves rather than downloadable weblinks until yesterday's meeting.

I will "Very Soon," be exhibiting a copy of the following documents as pdf

and docx files, to yourselves, ready for proceedings, in a following email, later today:

- Transcribed minutes from the meeting held on 1 October.
- Contact details for Sheead, including his telephone number as requested.
- Video footage of me being victimised in my home by Rebecca O'Hare and other neighbours.
- A detailed diary of events leading up to the alleged victimisation and the creation of false records by government officials and others.
- Copy of my Frauded **PNC** Conviction record and how to prove it!

I was also informed that the **police body-worn video footage** had been accessed and played during the meeting. However, I have not yet received disclosure of this footage.

I formally request that this footage be disclosed to me immediately, as it is critical to my defence and I now know it is available and functional.

This section is provided to document the meeting, assert my right to timely disclosure, and ensure that all relevant materials are properly exhibited and reviewed ahead of trial, which is now 11 days away.

Signed, Simon Paul Cordell

On Tuesday 30 September 2025 at 10:19:47 BST, re_wired@Ymail.com <re_wired@ymail.com> wrote:

re_wired@Ymail.com has accepted

When Wednesday, 01 October 2025

01:30 pm to 03:00 pm

(GMT) Greenwich Mean Time - Dublin / Edinburgh / Lisbon / London Where Microsoft Teams Meeting

38. Sent-

On 03/10/2025: 10-Days-till-Cour! + 38. Sent-!

38. Sent-

Re: Accepted: Meeting with Simon Cordell
From: Rewired (re_wired@ymail.com)
To: windelen@tuckerssolicitors.com
Date: Friday 3 October 2025 at 08:44 BST

Subject: Case Update and Urgent Request Regarding Saheed's Statement Dear Nicole,
I hope this message finds you well. I wanted to update you on my current situation and the progress I've made in preparing for trial.

Due to the GPS bail conditions, I've been unable to access my home, which has significantly disrupted my ability to organize case materials. Additionally, my mother, who normally supports me—is now terminally ill and bedridden, which has placed further strain on my circumstances.

Despite these challenges, I've been working diligently to organize and finalize several key files that I believe are of utmost importance to the case. This is why I previously requested that the trial be postponed if the matter was not dismissed beforehand. Nevertheless, I understand I am bound to follow the court's directions, even if doing so risks a miscarriage of justice that I may need to appeal.

For now, I've included Saheed's statements, which were drafted at my home. I respectfully ask that these be placed before the judges, even if a new statement is taken by your team—provided it does not contradict the version already submitted.

Regarding the other files I am preparing, I hope to have these sent over today; however, due to the amount of work involved, it is possible they may still be sent tomorrow.

Saheed's contact details are as follows:

Address: Burncroft Avenue, EN3 7JQ

Phone: 07

If there are any issues reaching him after lunch, please notify me via email and mobile. I would also appreciate an update on the progress of the Section 9 statement being prepared for trial.

Thank you for your continued support.

Kind regards,

Simon Cordell

On Thursday 2 October 2025 at 10:52:03 BST, Rewired <re_wired@ymail.com> wrote:

Formal Statement: Procedural Breakdown and Legal Clarification

To: My Solicitor and the Crown Prosecution Service From: Simon Paul Cordell Date: [Insert Date]

Subject: Clarification of Arrest, Interview Procedure, and Statutory Misapplication **Background**

and Arrest Context

On 2 August 2025, I was arrested at my home address for the offence of **harassment** under the **Protection from Harassment Act 1997**. This is confirmed in the Section 9 statements provided by PC Wilson-Wallis and others. The arresting officer read the caution for harassment only. I was not cautioned or arrested for any other offence.

During the interview, my solicitor submitted a prepared statement denying all allegations. I then exercised my right to silence and answered all questions with **"No comment."** The interview focused solely on the Harassment Allegation.

It is important to note that the offence of **Section 4A Public Order Act 1986** and Threats to cause Criminal Damage 1971

	were both not introduced by the arresting officers, nor were they referenced in the caution or arrest documentation. <u>The first recorded mention of Section 4A</u> occurred within the prepared statement submitted by my solicitor during the interview conducted under caution for harassment.

On 04/10/2025: 9-Days-till-Cour!

On 05/10/2025: 8-Days-till-Cour!

On 06/10/2025: 7-Days-till-Cour!

On 07/10/2025: 6-Days-till-Cour!

On 08/10/2025: 5-Days-till-Cour!

On 09/10/2025: 4-Days-till-Cour!

On 10/10/2025: 3-Days-till-Cour!

On 11/10/2025: 2-Days-till-Cour!

On 12/10/2025: 1-Days-till-Cour!

On 13/10/2025: 0-Days-till-Cour!

On 14/10/2025:

On 15/10/2025:

On 16/10/2025:

Admin-Notes:

List needed to be obtained from my home!

1. My r15 computer keyboard mouse and two screens
2. My headphones.
3. Dictator:
4. Bed throws over for mattress put it in a black bag
5. Coffee jar!
6. Work trainer's Nike
7. **SDQ** drill in red box
8. Soldier iron 4 Iron to be fixed.

List needed to be obtained from my solicitor for **Arrest [1] 04/08/2025**

1. Police body cam's footage's
2. Police notebooks of all police officers in attendance.
3. Request for all police officers and victims as well as witness to attend for trial.
4. The audio tape of the interview for the first arrested dated 04/08/2025. Also, the Duty solicitors note of interview. As this will prove that the charge and interview was for harassment and I was legally advised not to answer other questions after my paired statements the charge of threats to commit criminal damage were wrongfully processed without legal process after my solicitor had left and was refused to me there client afterwards.

Requests for Arrest [1] 04/08/2025

1. Dismiss the video footage that was supported evidence for the initial charge of Harassment 1997 that was dropped by the police and as it has no bearing in this case **AND** have the audio transcribed from the video footage!
2. Request that the proceedings be dismissed due to insufficient evidence to meet the merits of the charge: threats to cause criminal damage due to it being my word against hers if the police body cams footage is not disclosed, as it proves the burden of our case due to witness offering evidence that the arresting officers failed to collect. But those statements are said allowed in the footage.

Files for Arrest [1] 04/08/2025 = 13 of 13.

01. 01. Case Summary.pdf

<u>CROWN PROSECUTION SERVICE</u> <u>Rex v</u> <u>Simon CORDELL</u> <u>Case Summary</u> <u>POLICE REPORT</u>	
Case reference:01/YE/12679/25	
Defendant(s)	
Name/Organisation Name	Simon CORDELL
Plea	Not Guilty

1. Summary of the Key Evidence Circumstances:

On Saturday **02nd August 2025**, police attended the above CAD as the victim called police stating that her neighbour threatened to blow up her car, This was written on the CAD "IM GOING TO BLOW UP YOUR CAR - ILL BLOW IT UP TO THE OTHER SIDE OF THE STREET" "NO ONE WILL KNOW IT WAS ME". The victim stated that the suspect has threatened violence a few times.

Officers went to victim address and spoke with her, she stated that she has been having ongoing issues with her downstairs neighbour, the suspect. She stated that today she had come back from shopping with her children and she noticed the suspect outside, she took her children inside and locked the door. She left to go back downstairs but was concerned about the suspect, he then came out of his property and started speaking she originally ignored him and then he goes 'you hear me', not in response to him the victim said "what?" And then he has said 'I will blow up your car, I will blow it to the other side of the street.' Then the suspect said "if you come and ask me, I will tell you I didn't do it."

The victim has closed the communal door to put space between herself and the suspect, the victim has walked towards her car to get a nappy bag, the suspect has opened the door and was shouting stuff at the victim as the victim was walking towards her car. The victim states that she wasn't paying attention to what the suspect was shouting at her and she was trying to get to her car as quick as possible. The victim stated that the suspect was in blue checkered Pj's.

She also stated that earlier in the year sometime in January (victim is unsure of exact date) he was banging on her door shouting that she was making noise and shouted 'I'll punch you up, I'll slap you up and your daughter'. He also made threats to her children's dad. Another day in January (victim is unsure of exact date) she had an issue with her door and it needed to be replaced she stated he had come to her door and began threatening her believing she lived on top of him and was causing noise but her property is not on top of his. He threatened her stating he would hit her child and rip her unborn baby from her stomach. This incident was captured on a video camera from the victim.

We attended the suspect address at 109 Brancroft Avenue and he had come to the door but refused to answer the door. He was making verbal threats to

officers about what would happen if they enter. A van unit was called, we gave the suspect ample opportunity to open the door but he refused. PC 1543NA arrested the suspect through the door, he still refused to answer the door, so the door was forced open by Police using S.17 PACE to effect an arrest.

There was a tussle in the address, **which is reflected in officer's statements**. He was arrested and placed in rear stack. He stated he had a surgery so was taken to hospital to get checked out.

The victim is currently pregnant, lives with her young children and has been put in fear by the suspect who has displayed unpredictable behaviour.

Witness(es) and their role (e.g., eye witness, person providing identity):

Surname / Police Witness/ Victim Organisation name	Forenames	Key Witness Status	What was the Role of the witness
O'HARE	Rebecca	Key	Victim
Evidence Summary	statement provided		

PC 01 P250413 Chan	Key	WITNESS
Evidence Summary	mg11 provided	

PC 01 P243682 Obsiye	Key	ARRESTING OFFICE
Evidence Summary	MG11 provided	

2. Defendant Interview

Name of the Defendant	Simon CORDELL		
Has an interview been conducted?	Yes		
Date of Interview	03 /08/2025		
Interviewing officer/s	PC 01 P264294 Uddin	Others Present	Appropriate Adult> Sheila ANNE-LEWIS

No Comment?	Yes	Prepared Statement Read?	Yes
Shown CCTV	Yes	Shown BWV	No
Interview Summary	I Simon Cordell DOB: 26.01.1981 will make the following written statement in respect of my interview today being 03.08.25.		
	I deny the allegation of S4A Public Order Offence, I did not use any threatening words towards my neighbour, I did not threaten to blow up her car and I did not cause her any harassment, alarm or distress. I will now answer no comment to all questions. The defendant was asked the following questions and answered all in No Comment: You were arrested last night (02/08/25) at your home address following an allegation of ongoing harassment towards your neighbour, Rebecca. What can you tell me about this? Prepared statement What is your relationship with her like? No comment How long have you known her? Have you had previous issues with her or the occupants of her flat? It's alleged that you have threatened to blow up the victim's car saying words to the effect of "I'M GOING TO BLOW UP YOUR CAR - I'LL BLOW IT UP TO THE OTHER SIDE OF THE STREET" and "NO ONE WILL KNOW IT WAS ME". Did you say this? What did you mean by it? Did you intend to blow up the victims car? Why did you say this? Do you have access to anything that could blow up a car, such as explosives or accelerants? Why would you want to blow up her car? Do you mean to cause her or her family harm? Do you intend to cause them to fear you? Do you mean for them to feel harassed, alarmed or distressed? It's also alleged that in February 2025 you have knocked on the victims door and complained about noise which you believed was coming from her address; and threatened to rip the unborn baby out of her stomach. Did you say this? Why did you say this? What did you mean by it? Did you want to make her scared of you? Did you want to make her feel harassed, alarmed or distressed? When officers arrived to speak to you, you were verbally threatening towards them; making comments about what you'd do if they came in. Why did you do this? Did you mean the officers any harm? You were obstructive to the officers who arrested you. Why were you resisting them?		

3. Non-Key Evidence

Surname / Police Witness/ Victim Organisation name	Forenames	Key Witness Status	What was the Role of the witness
PC 01 P269576 Wilson-Wallis		Non-Key	WITNESSING OFFICER
Evidence Summary	statement provided		

PC 01 P257635 Williams		Non-Key	WITNESSING OFFICER
Evidence Summary	statement provided		

PC 01 P264294 Uddin		Non-Key	INTERVIEWING OFFICER
---------------------	--	---------	----------------------

Evidence Summary statement and interview

4. Visually Recorded Evidence

Media Type	Body Worn Video
Title/Summary include owner and location of camera/device	PC Chan BWV CKC/01
Is it key evidence	Yes
Still photos?	Yes
In Viewable Format	Yes
Describe in detail what it shows with timings identifying what section is key	shows the arrest of he victim

Media Type	Body Worn Video
Title/Summary include owner and location of camera/device	PC Obsiye 1543NA
Is it key evidence	Yes
Still photos?	Yes
In Viewable Format	Yes
Describe in detail what it shows with timings identifying what section is key	arrest of the suspect

Media Type	Body Worn Video
Title/Summary include owner and location of camera/device	PC Obsiye 1543NA
Is it key evidence	Yes
Still photos?	Yes
In Viewable Format	Yes

Describe in detail what it shows with timings identifying what section is key	allegation from the victim
---	----------------------------

Media Type	Body Worn Video
Title/Summary include owner and location of camera/device	PC Williams
Is it key evidence	Yes
Still photos?	Yes
In Viewable Format	Yes
Describe in detail what it shows with timings identifying what section is key	arrest of suspect

Media Type	Other visually recorded media
Title/Summary include owner and location of camera/device	Rebecca O'hare
Is it key evidence	Yes
Still photos?	Yes
In Viewable Format	Yes
Describe in detail what it shows with timings identifying what section is key	Incident with the suspect where he threatened her

Media Type Body Worn Video

Title/Summary include owner and location of camera/device	PC Wilson-Wallis
Is it key evidence	No
Still photos?	Yes
In Viewable Format	Yes
Describe in detail what it shows with timings identifying what section is key	arrest of suspect

5. Injuries

Name of the Victim/Witness:	Rebecca O'HARE
Are there any injuries?	No

6. Forensic Evidence

Forensic Evidence Available	No
Forensic Evidence Details	

7. DIP testing

Defendant Name	Simon CORDELL
DIP Tested	No
Trigger Offence	
Result	
Drug	

8. Application for Order(s) on Conviction

Defendant Name	Simon CORDELL
Apply for Order on Conviction	Yes

Order Type	Protection from Harassment Act restraining order
Order Condition	To not interact with the victim

9. Application for Compensation – state if an estimate. Attach quotes/receipts if available. An address for compensation **must** be provided on MG6. *(If more than one victim/defendant, list one after the other and give details in the description box).*

Victim Name	Rebecca O'HARE
-------------	----------------

Victim Applied for Compensation	No
Compensation Amount	
Compensation Estimate	
Compensation Form Sent to Victim	No
Damage Details	

10. Other (MG18) TICs? No

10. Officer's certification: I certify that to the best of my knowledge and belief, I have not withheld any material that might reasonably be expected to undermine the prosecution or might reasonably assist the defence in early preparation of their case, including the making of a bail application. I further certify that relevant material has been recorded and retained in accordance with the CPIA 1996, Code of Practice, as amended.

Name & rank/job title: PC 01 P267140 Rathan

Date: 04/08/2025

12. Supervisor's certification: I can confirm that this is an accurate summary of the available evidence and complies with the National File Standard and DPP's guidance.

Name & rank/job title: PC 01 P255681 White

Date: 04/08/2025

YOU MUST ALWAYS COMPLETE CONDITIONAL CAUTIONING SECTION BELOW.

Defendant Name	Simon CORDELL
Not Suitable for Conditional Caution:	

URN:
01YE1267925



Section 9

05/08/2025

V1

Rex v

Simon CORDELL

CROWN PROSECUTION SERVICE

Rex v

Simon CORDELL

Section 9 Notice



TUCKERS SOLICITORS LLP
Solicitors
39 Warren Street
London
LONDON

5th August 2025

01YE1267925/RAJ

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: PROOF BY WRITTEN STATEMENT

(Criminal Procedure Rules, r. 27.4(s); Criminal Justice Act, 1967, s9)

Defendant Simon CORDELL

Court and Hearing Date: Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

13th October, 2025 **with this notice are copy statement(s)**

made by the witness(es) listed below.

The prosecutor wants to introduce this evidence in writing, instead of the witness(es) giving the evidence in person.

How to reply to this notice:

If you do NOT want the prosecutor to introduce the evidence of these witnesses in writing, you must:

1. List the witness(es) you want to give evidence in person.
2. Send me the list not more than 7 days after this notice is served on you (as required by section 9(2)(d) of the Criminal Justice Act 1967).

3. Be prepared to explain to the court what issue(s) in the case make(s) it necessary for the witness(es) to give evidence in person (as required by Criminal Procedure Rules 3.2, 3.5 and 3.10).

(Signed)
(On behalf of the Prosecutor)

Notes:

1. If you are late letting the prosecutor know which witnesses you want to give evidence in person, then the prosecutor can introduce the evidence in writing, unless the court otherwise directs.
2. If, at your request, a witness attends court to give evidence in person when that is not necessary to deal with the issues in the case, the court may make a costs order against you.
3. Despite service of this notice:

London North-East Magistrates Court Unit
102 Petty France
London
SW1H 9EA

DX: 161330 Westminster 11
Tel: 0203 357 7000
London.magistrates@cps.gov.uk

(a) the prosecutor may arrange for any of the listed witness(es) to give evidence in person: or (b) the prosecutor may decide not to rely on one or more of the listed witness(es) after all.

Ref: 01YE1267925/RAJ

LIST OF WITNESSES WHOSE STATEMENTS ARE WITH THIS NOTICE

Please indicate which of these witnesses you want to give evidence in person; and what issue(s) in the case make(s) that necessary. If you do not want any of these witnesses to give evidence in person, please tell the prosecutor.

No	Statement(s)	Date Statement(s)	Page number(s)
1	George Samuel Wilson-Wallis	Undated	all

Name	Evidence in person ? (tick for yes)	Issue(s)

NFR/S9 (11.09)

CROWN PROSECUTION SERVICE

Rex v

Simon CORDELL

S9 Witness Statements

STATEMENT/EVIDENCE LIST

URN: 01YE1267925

Rex v

Simon CORDELL

**No Statement(s), deposition(s) and document(s) (other than exhibits)
Page**

Statement Date

1 [PC Wilson-Wallis](#) 1-2

0* individual witnesses have been identified from the list above.

*Note that one or more of the statements listed is not associated with a witness and so has not been included in this count

WITNESS STATEMENT

Criminal Procedure Rules, r 27. 2; Criminal Justice Act 1967, s. 9; Magistrates' Courts Act 1980, s.5B

URN

Statement of: PC George WILSON-WALLIS

Age if under 18: Over (if over 18 insert 'over 18') Occupation: Police Constable

This statement (consisting of 2 page(s) each signed by me) is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I make it knowing that, if it is tendered in evidence, I shall be liable to prosecution if I have wilfully stated in it anything which I know to be false, or do not believe to be true.

Witness Signature:  Date: 02/08/2025

This is the witnessing officer statement regarding the arrest performed by officer 1543NA at Burncroft avenue EN3 7JQ on the 2nd of August 2025 for a man who I know as Samuel for the offence of harassment.

In this statement I will be referring to myself, PC WILLIAMS 1675NA, 1543NA and 2464NA as well as a male by the name of Samuel.


On the 2nd of August 2025 myself and PC WILLIAMS were on standard mobile patrol under call sign NA30L, during are standard mobile patrol NA22L called for a van to facilitate the transport of an individual I would later find out to be called Samuel to custody for the offence of harassment. PC WILLIAMS put up for the call and NA30L was assigned to CAD 6844/02082025 officers were making there was at standard speed however after around 5 minutes of driving they were requested to speed up and get there as soon as possible, after this instruction NA30L made there way to Burncroft avenue EN3 7JQ as quickly as was safe to. On the way to the address NA22L requested NA30L to bring an enforcer to help enter the property case they needed to.

Once arriving at the scene, I brought PC 2464NA the enforcer to help break the door in whilst this was going on at 21:16 PC 1543NA began arresting Samuel for the offence of harassment through the door as he was refusing to open it as well as this, she also began reading the caution. PC 2464NA asked Samuel one last time to open the door before he would break the door in. Samuel refused to open the door stating "NO I WON'T OPEN THE FUCKING DOOR" as such PC 2464NA began to break door with the enforcer to allow officers to get inside to continue the arrest and restrain Samuel.

Once PC 2464NA got the door open Samuel tried closing the door in his face, this repeated itself numerous times with PC 2464NA opening the door and Samuel closing it. After a while PC 2464NA managed to open the door at 21:17 allowing officers to get into the building, PC 2464NA pulled out his taser telling him to stop moving and get on the ground whilst PC 1543NA took her baton out and followed closely behind. PC WILLIAMS and I followed behind PC 1543NA ready to restrain the suspect and assist in the arrest should it be required.

After a while Samuel tripped over his sofa and fell onto the sofa facing upwards PC 2464NA and PC 1543NA grabbed his arms whilst I grabbed his legs to stop him from kicking. The reason for my grabbing his legs to stop him kicking was due to squirming and his hostile nature I didn't want him kicking and hurting either himself or my colleagues. PC 2454NA asked Samuel to stand up which he refused to do so myself, PC 2464NA and PC 1543NA picked him up and tried to move him against the wall to get his hands behind his back to apply the hand cuffs. This didn't work so we kept him standing up right and holding his arms in place whilst PC 1543NA tried to put the cuffs on him. Once we got the cuffs on him Samuel carried on screaming and resisting and decided to put himself on the ground first going to his knees and then to his front.

Once on the floor a grabbed a hold of his ankles again to keep him from kicking as I could see him struggling on the floor. Once again, I did this to keep myself, my colleagues and him safe. A couple of second later PC 1543NA asked officers if anyone had any leg restraints as he was still squirming and trying to kick,

Witness Signature: 

Signature Witnessed by Signature:

Page 1 of 2

Continuation of Statement of:


RESTRICTED (when complete)

MG11C

PC WILLIAMS had some and gave them to me to apply to the suspect. After the application of the first set of leg restraints I waited to see if he would calm down with the first set on and then after seeing that he wasn't calming down and still squirming 1543NA said to apply the second set of leg restraints on him. I agreed with the decision and decided to apply the second set of restraints to him. After the second set of restrains was applied I then kept my leg on his as he was still squirming. As such PC 2546NA recommending calling for additional support as well as a set of supervisors to come down and help assess the situation. PC 1543NA began to do this so I grabbed a hold of his arms to keep him on his side as he tried rolling over back to his front again. Once PC 1543NA was done radioing for additional units I went back to keeping his legs in place.

After another minute of holding on to him Samuel began to stop resisting as such, we got off him and at this point additional units arrived who began speaking to Samuel which made him more calm.

After this I got off him and called for boarding up for his front door whilst other officers delt with Samuel, after a while he came out of the property and we began to move him to the van to which Samuel sat on the ground in protest stating he wants a ambulance, officers began to explain to him that we were going to take him to hospital in a van however Samuel refused, at this point members of the public came and started speaking to officers and Samuel. After a while longer a ambulance came and took Samuel inside of it and out of my care.

Witness Signature: 

Signature Witnessed by

Signature:.....

Page 2 of 2

99/12

RESTRICTED (when complete)

CROWN PROSECUTION SERVICE**Rex v****Simon CORDELL S9 Exhibits****LIST OF EXHIBITS****URN: 01YE1267925**

Rex v

Simon CORDELL

No	Exhibit Ref No	Description	Page

03. 03. Defendant Notice of Grant of Bail.pdf



North London Magistrates' Court

Code 2572

Sitting at Highbury Corner Magistrates' Court

Simon CORDELL
280 DURANTE ROAD
ENFIELD
EN3 7AZ

Case reference 01YE1267925

Defendant Simon CORDELL

Date of birth 26 January 1981

Notice of Grant of Bail

Date of notice 27 August 2025 the court has granted you bail.

You must attend court where and when required to do so.

Details of your next hearing

Date and time:

13 October 2025 at 10:00

Location:

Courtroom Courtroom 04
Highbury Corner Magistrates'
51 Holloway Road
London
N7 8JA



Conditions:

You must live and sleep each night at 280 Durante Road, Enfield, Middlesex, London, EN3 7AZ.

You must not enter Burncroft Avenue.

Your exclusion condition will be electronically monitored with a GPS tag. .

You must not remove or interfere with the equipment in any way, and you must keep the battery charged, as instructed.

You must not contact directly or indirectly Rebecca O'Hare. .

Reasons:

To prevent offending, to ensure appearance

Warning

If you do not keep any of these conditions you can be arrested and brought back to court.

You must attend court when and where required to do so.

Unless your attendance has been excused at the next hearing and recorded above, you will commit an offence if you do not attend court on the date and at the time and place as instructed, as shown above or on any **other** date and time as **directed** by the court. If you do not attend when told a warrant may be issued for your arrest.

Note

You should attend Court 30 minutes before the time shown above and have seen your Solicitor (if you have one), in good time before the date of hearing.

Case reference: 01YE1267925

On 02/08/2025 at Enfield in the Borough of Enfield, without lawful excuse, threatened Rebecca O'Hare that he would blow up the vehicle belonging to her intending that she would fear that the threat would be carried out

Contrary to sections 2(a) and 4 of the Criminal Damage Act 1971.

04. 04. Let to Client Magistrates Court Trial Advice

OUR REF: COR10388/00001/WindeleN

DATE: 29 August 2025

YOUR REF:

REPLY TO: Manchester

Private & Confidential

Simon Cordell
280 Durant Road
EN3 7AZ

Dear Simon

**RE: Your Case
Highbury Corner Magistrates' Court
13/10/2025 at 10:00**

I write with regard to your forthcoming trial having now had the opportunity to review the evidence in connection with the following offence:-

**Threats of Criminal Damage to another's Property, s.2, Criminal Damage Act 1971.
A person who without lawful excuse makes to another a threat, intending that that other would fear it would be carried out, to destroy or damage any property belonging to that other or a third person.**

As you are aware, Andrew Benington is the solicitor with conduct in your case, Nicole Windele is now the paralegal who will be assisting with your case.

The Prosecution Evidence

It is alleged that on 2 August 2025, whilst in the communal area of your property, you threatened to blow up your neighbour, Rebecca O'Hare's car by saying words to the effect of "I will blow up your car, I will blow it to the other side of the street. If you come and ask me, I will tell you I didn't do it." Police were then called to the property. Upon arrest, it is alleged that you refused to open the door to officers and began shouting verbal abuse. Your door was therefore forced open by Police using S.17 PACE to effect an arrest.

Your Defence

You deny the allegations made against you. You instruct that you did not use any threatening words towards your neighbour, did not threaten to blow up her car and did not cause her any harassment, alarm or distress.

Burden of Proof

In the vast majority of criminal cases (with some rare exceptions) the prosecution has to prove to the court that you are guilty – you do not have to prove you are innocent. This is known as the Burden

of Proof and it means it is the job of the prosecution to satisfy the court beyond reasonable doubt that you are guilty. When the Magistrates hear the evidence, they must be satisfied that they are sure you committed the offence before they make a finding of guilt, based on the evidence the prosecution have presented. If they cannot be sure they must find you “Not Guilty”.

The court can take other factors into account when weighing up the evidence, such as your defence and whether they think it is credible, any previous convictions that may have been allowed into evidence and whether or not you answered questions in a police interview, but they cannot convict you on those factors alone – they must be satisfied that the prosecution have put credible evidence before the court.

The Trial

At the plea hearing you entered the following plea:

Threats of Criminal Damage to another's Property - Not Guilty

On the day of the trial all contentious witnesses will be warned to attend court as will probably be the officer in the case. Your trial is likely to be listed in court with other matters listed in it and this generally results in a delay in the proceedings commencing. It is possible that it will be decided that another trial will have priority over your matter and the court would hear that case first. If this happens the court will try to move your trial into another court room. From time to time it is the case that the court runs out of time to hear all matters and cases are adjourned at the courts volition to another date.

If the witnesses fail to attend court or there is some other evidential reason why the prosecution are not ready to proceed they may apply to adjourn your trial. I would oppose any such application and the magistrates would need to consider all factors when making the decision whether to adjourn or not. They would primarily consider whether it was in the interests of justice to do so. If the magistrates did adjourn your case another trial date would be fixed. If they did not adjourn your case it may be that the prosecution have to offer no evidence and the case against you would be dismissed.

If the prosecution are aware that the witnesses may not attend court they are likely to have applied for witness summonses to be served. If the summonses have been served the prosecution may apply for the witnesses to be arrested and brought to court to give evidence.

If you fail to attend court for your trial the matter is likely to proceed in your absence. There is a presumption that this should be the case and if I have no information to provide the court about your absence then it is likely that your case would proceed. Given you would not be present to provide instructions I would have to withdraw from the proceedings and you would be likely to be convicted. Also it is likely that the prosecution would apply to the magistrates for them to issue a warrant for your arrest. In the absence of any instructions to explain your absence it is likely that a warrant would be issued. It is an offence to fail to attend court without reasonable excuse either on the day you are due to or as soon as practicable thereafter. The offence of failing to attend court is an extremely serious offence as it is deemed to interfere with the natural course of court and can attract a custodial sentence.

When the trial does get underway the prosecution case is heard first. They will begin with an opening statement outlining what is alleged against you and what they are seeking to prove. The prosecution will then call any witness that provide contentious evidence and will ask them to give that evidence orally to the court. I will then be able to cross examine the witnesses and put your account to them.

The prosecution would present to the court any exhibits they have and may read the officers statements.

If the prosecution witnesses are reluctant witnesses either because they have been arrested on a witness warrant or because they give an inconsistent account to their statement they may be declared hostile. If the witness is declared a hostile witness the original statement that they provided could be introduced as evidence of the truth. This is especially worrying as I cannot cross examine a witness on the first account because they will have denied it at this point.

I would then ask yourself to give evidence in order to put forward your version of events and explain what you say about the allegations. You will also be subject to questioning from the Prosecution.

You are not required to give evidence, it is a matter of choice. If you do not give evidence I must warn you that the prosecution would be likely to ask the magistrates to draw an adverse inference from your failure to do so. This could mean that the magistrates could question why you had not given evidence and draw a negative conclusion.

If we are able to identify any witnesses who can be called to give evidence in your defence it is at this stage that we would do so. They would give their evidence orally to the court and the prosecution would then be able to cross examine them.

Once all of the evidence has been heard the prosecution may address the magistrates again by way of a closing statement.

Your solicitor, Andrew will then address the magistrates with a closing statement and sum up the case for the court. Andrew would identify any important issues and deal with any matters of law.

The Magistrates will then retire to consider their decision.

Digital Evidence

We have received a copy of and reviewed the following video evidence:

1. BWV of your arrest
2. Continuation of your Interview at the Police Station
3. Video of you at the complainant's door

Bodyworn footage/999

I note that the prosecution have disclosed that they have bodyworn footage/999 evidence available in your case. The prosecution have informed us that they intend to rely on this and serve it in good time for the trial. On many occasions this evidence is not served and there are usually various reasons for this, though most commonly that the police have not provided it to the prosecution in time for the trial. The prosecution could still proceed to trial without this evidence if they feel able to prove their case. In the event that they decide to do this then the evidence should appear on what is described as the unused schedule. This is a schedule of any evidence available that the prosecution do not rely on. It is possible that the defence can obtain copies of items on the unused schedule. The reality of this is that if the prosecution fail to serve the evidence and fail to have it on the trial date, any effort on our part to obtain it may at best end up with the trial being adjourned but in reality the court are likely to insist the matter proceed without either side being able to rely on it.

If the witness fails to attend court and the prosecution have got an account of the complaint on either bodyworn video from the police or from a recording of a call to the police or both then they may attempt to proceed to trial using that evidence alone. If the prosecution do this they do not have to give notice of their intention but can apply on the day of the trial to use the electronic evidence instead of a live witness. In order for the prosecution to be able to proceed in this manner they must satisfy various legal tests. Firstly they must show good reason why the witness has not attended. They must also show that the evidence is unlikely to be fabricated and that using it won't have an adverse effect on the proceedings. I can oppose the application to introduce the evidence in this way and will do so if the prosecution inform me on the trial date that they intend to proceed in this manner.

Adverse Inference

I note that you provided a prepared statement denying the allegations at the start of the interview. You then answered no comment throughout the rest of interview. It was held in R v Knight that handing in a statement does not, in itself, prevent inferences from being drawn. If the defendant relies on facts at trial that were not mentioned in the statement, inferences can still be drawn from failure to mention those facts. This means that they may be less likely to believe any defence that you present during the trial and conclude that you have had the opportunity to consider the evidence and fabricate your denial.

Bad Character

Because you have a criminal record of cautions/convictions, the prosecution are entitled to make an application to the court to refer to them in your trial if they can show that they are in some way relevant to the trial itself. In this case the prosecution have made no such application so they will not be entitled to refer to your criminal record **unless** you 'attack the character' of a prosecution witness during the trial or if you were to make a 'false character assertion'.

We are not suggesting you would do so, but we are obliged to warn all clients about this issue.

If you were to say anything in your evidence that would be classed as an attack on the character of a prosecution witness (such as saying they are violent or dishonest for example) then the prosecution would be entitled to argue that they can now attack your character by showing the court your criminal record. Your advocate will discuss this with you in more detail at trial if you choose to give evidence. Similarly, if you were to make a 'false character assertion' (such as saying you are an honest person if you have convictions for theft or saying you are not a violent person if you have convictions for assault) then the prosecution could again try to argue at trial that they can refer to your criminal record to discredit this claim.

Analysis of evidence

In my view, the evidence against you is fairly strong.

Conviction after trial

If the magistrates find you guilty, you will stand convicted.

The magistrates would then need to consider sentence and would look at the magistrates court sentencing guidelines for the matters. The Coroners and Justice Act 2009 implemented a sentencing regime that the magistrates must follow the relevant guidelines unless it would be contrary to the interests of justice to do so. The guidelines set out factors that the court should take into account that may affect the sentence given. They set out different levels of sentence based on the harm caused to the victim and how blameworthy the offender is.

Category 1, Culpability A – starting point Starting point 1 year 6 months' custody

Category	range
6 months – 4 years' custody	

Serious distress caused to the victim – victim is heavily pregnant with a young child, alleged to have intimidated victim in front of children on occasion and also threatened to rip unborn baby from her belly on another – she states she is now scared to leave her home in case she sees him and has had to ask people for help with bringing things to her house – was very distressed when officers arrived and spoke to her

Offence committed to intimidate

Considerable damage threatened

Financial Orders

If you are convicted after trial the court can impose a number of financial orders.

They could impose a contribution towards prosecution costs this will be in the region of £620 but can be as high as £800 depending on the length of your trial.

The court could impose a compensation order for an amount that they see fit to order to compensate the victim for any loss, injury or distress or psychological harm they may have been caused as a result of the offence.

If there is an identifiable victim, the court are likely to impose a victim surcharge. This is an amount of money that is not paid directly to the victim but is pooled and distributed through the victim and witness general fund. The amount ordered to be paid depends upon the sentence imposed but is in the range of £15 to £100.

Credit

Although we have advised you with regard to the evidence and the fact that you deny the offences and procedure I must remind you of credit. If you admit an offence the sooner you do so the more credit you would get. Credit is a reduction of the sentence imposed and equates to 1/3 credit at the first hearing reducing to 1/10 on the day of trial prior to witnesses being called to give evidence.

Should you have any questions please do not hesitate to contact myself or Andrew.

Yours sincerely
Andrew Benington

05. 05. PC Chan.pdf

RESTRICTED (when complete)

MG11C



WITNESS STATEMENT

Criminal Procedure Rules, r 27. 2; Criminal Justice Act 1967, s. 9; Magistrates' Courts Act 1980, s.5B

URN

--	--	--	--

Statement of: Calvin Chan

Age if under 18: Over (if over 18 insert 'over 18')

Occupation: Police officer

This statement (consisting of page(s) each signed by me) is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I make it knowing that, if it is tendered in evidence, I shall be liable to prosecution if I have wilfully stated in it anything which I know to be false, or do not believe to be true.

Witness Signature: ...



..... Date: 02/08/2025

On Saturday 2nd August 2025 I was on duty in uniform parading out of Lincoln Road patrol base at 1300 hours. I was with PC Obsiye assigned to call sign NA22L. I was assigned to CAD 6844/02AUG25 where a neighbour had threatened to blow up her car.

On arrival PC Obsiye spoke with Rebecca O'Hare, I was trying to distract the daughter from listening to Rebecca explaining the allegation to PC Obsiye. I was informed that the male at 109 Burncroft Avenue would be liable for arrest for harassment and that his name was Simon, she described him as wearing a blue chequered pyjamas.

We went downstairs to knock on the door and could hear a male who I now know as Simon Cordell through the door, I could hear immediately that he was irate and was shouting through the door, I could hear him swearing at us and we told him we were police. He would not listen to our instruction to open the door and was just shouting through the door. I asked for the van to come on the hurry up. As we were waiting for the van, I could hear him shout something along the lines of I will fucking rip you, I made PC Obsiye that I believed that he was making threats through the front door.

I could hear him walk away from the front door and kept coming back as I could hear the level of his voice, go up and down. I formed the opinion that the male was preparing once police got into the property. My concerns were that he would self-arm with some sort of weapon.

As soon as the van got to the scene, I asked for the enforcer. PC Obsiye arrested Simon through the door for Harassment. I had just been to the flat upstairs and was aware that the hallway would be narrow once in the flat, my considerations were Simon had already been making threats to blow up his neighbour's car, swearing and making threats towards police. He had been pacing away from the front door and had time to self-arm himself, he was already in an irate state and anything we was saying was not being listened to. I told him one more time to open the door. His response was I'm not fucking opening the door.

I considered telling him to step back from the door but was concerned that he would barricade the door with objects. I hit the door with the enforcer, the door came off the lock. I could feel that he

Witness Signature: ... 2464NA

Signature Witnessed by
Signature:.....

Page 1 of 2

99/12

RESTRICTED (when complete)

9

RESTRICTED (when complete)

99/12

Continuation of Statement of: 2464NA

was pshing against the door. I hit the door 2 more times. And kicked it, he was stood behind the door pushing against us. I saw his blue pyjamas. There were no lights on in the property.

As I got through the door I saw him backing up, I saw something white and something black in his hands and he was walking backwards. I did not know what was in his hands. Exercising S117 PACE I drew my taser and pointed it at his chest. I armed it.

Using tactical communications and in an authoritative tone I told him to show me your fucking hands, I was using language that he may understand and to express the severity of the situation. He backed up onto a sofa or bed and laid on his back. I told him to stop fucking moving. He still had something clenched in his hands. I told him that he was under arrest and to stop fucking moving. I was trying to talk him into a position of control, but he was not listening.

Once he came to a stop, I put my Taser away and grabbed his left arm. I put a handcuff on his left arm. He kept shouting that he had surgery and just came out of hospital, he pulled his pyjama bottom down and I could see a bandage below his abdomen, above his groin.

I told him to stand up so I could put the handcuff behind his back. I stood him up and was behind him, he was still struggling and being resistant. I tried to reach over to grab his right arm but caught PC Obsiye in the head with my left arm. Once he was in a rear stack he then went to his knees and pulled himself onto the floor. He would refuse to sit up. I thought that he was more than

Date: 01/08/2025

On Friday 01st August 2025 between the hours of 0700 and 1600 I was on duty in full uniform carrying full PPE kit and BWV. I was working alongside PC Chan 1405NA as NA22L in a marked police vehicle.

We received an Immediate response call on our PR, were a Miss O'Hare called police stating that her downstairs neighbour threatened to blow up her car and that she had done nothing to him.

We attended and entered Miss O'Hare flat, she appeared upset. We asked to enter, and we spoke with her in the living room. She stated that she has been having issues with her neighbour and its been ongoing for a while. She stated that today, she went out with her children to do the food shopping and on her return, she parked her car and noticed that the suspect was standing outside the block. She quickly grabbed her children and ran into the address. She remembered she left her nappy bag so went to go get it. That's when the suspect caught her near the door and began saying something she did not know what he

was saying at first so made a comment to herself that's when the suspect said, "IM GOING TO BLOW UP YOUR CAR - ILL BLOW IT UP TO THE OTHER SIDE OF THE STREET" "NO ONE WILL KNOW IT WAS ME". She ignored him and ran off as she was scared. She also stated there was another incident earlier in the year, when she did not have a front door and was getting it replaced, she stated that he had come upstairs and was right by the door frame and began shouting at her saying he would hit her five (5) year old child and she stated she was six (6) month pregnant at the time and that he "would rip her baby out of her stomach". She stated she is so scared of him and that she does not really go out because of his behaviour, she said she left her shopping in her car as she was scared. While speaking to her she was visibly upset and began to cry. I asked her to describe the suspect to me she stated he was a mixed-race man in his forties (40's) or fifties (50's), slightly long hair, around 5ft10 wearing blue chequered pyjama's.

I explained to PC Chan 1405NA that the suspect who lived downstairs 109 would be arrested for harassment. We went downstairs, we knocked on the door and the suspect called out from behind the door. I explained we are police officers and for him to open the door so we could speak to him. He refused to do so. A van was requested, as I knew he would be arrested and needed transport. I walked further away from the door and left PC Chan 1405NA to stand outside. PC Chan 1405NA tried to speak with the suspect through the door, I could not hear what was being said however PC Chan 1405NA came over to me

Witness Signature:

.....

Signature Witnessed by

Signature:.....





Continuation of Statement of: and stated that he was threatening us, I stated that we would wait for the van as it has the enforcer and I will arrest him by the door and if he still refuses to open the door we will use S.17 PACE to gain lawful entry to effect the arrest of the suspect. I did not want to arrest him before hand as I was extremely concerned about him arming himself and waiting for us behind the door.

NA30L PC Williams and PC Wilson-Willis attended and bought the enforcer with them. I arrested the suspect from the other side of the door, giving him the caution in full and telling him the time was 21:16hrs. PC Chan 1405NA asked the suspect multiple times to open the door, he refused so he used the enforcer to open the door however the door bounced back and it appeared that the suspect was behind the door. This was extremely concerning as he could have been armed giving us a massive disadvantage. The suspect however backed up, we entered the property, and the corridor was in darkness. I could see something in the suspect hand I wasn't sure what it was. PC Chan 1405NA had red dot challenged the suspect the suspect kept backing up into the living room and I grabbed his left arm while PC Chan 1405NA grabbed his right arm. I noticed what was in his hand was a piece of paper. We continued to give the suspect commands to give us his arm so we could secure him in handcuffs to prevent any harm coming to ourselves or him. He was actively resisting officers using his body to push and pull against officers, resulting in officers struggling with him to get him into cuffs. To the point that PC Chan 1405NA tried to grab a hold of the suspect he was physically resisting that PC Chan 1405NA managed to hit me in the head. After a minute we managed to get the suspect in rear stack. The suspect kept shouting about his medical condition and threw himself onto the floor. We tried to reason with the suspect, but he was still actively resisting officers, the suspect was placed in rear stack for his and our safety. The suspect kept shouting he wanted an ambulance so one was requested.

Further officers arrived and they took over with the suspect due to his dislike towards me and PC Chan. The officers came up to me and stated he had calm down so I entered the address and gave the grounds to the suspect, I explained that he was arrested for harassment as today he went up to the victim and threatened to blow up her car and that no one would know it was him, also previously you had turned up to her house and threatened to slap her. You have been causing her distress. The suspect continued to shout over me, he said "Check my cameras, I have not left my house today". I gave him the

necessity as a prompt and effective investigation and prevent further harm.

The suspect was taken to North Middlesex hospital as he was adamant he wanted to go, and to check on his stitches.

My BWV was on at the time and is exhibited as HAO/01



Witness Signature:



Signature Witnessed by
Signature:

Page 2 of 2



5

07. 07. PC Williams.pdf

RESTRICTED (when complete)

MG11

STATEMENT OF WITNESS

(Criminal Procedure Rules, r. 16.2; Criminal Justice Act 1967, s. 9)

URN

--	--	--	--

Statement of: Constable Reece Williams

Age if under 18: Over 18

Occupation: Police officer

This statement is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I make it  knowing that, if it is tendered in evidence, I shall be liable to prosecution if I have wilfully stated in it, anything which I know to be false, or do not believe to be true.

Signature:

Date: 30 Aug 2022

On 02nd of AUGUST 2025 I was in full uniform in a marked police van attached to call sign NA30L. My operator was PC George Wilson-Wallis 1456NA.

At approx. 2100hrs NA 22L PC CHAN 2464NA and PC OBSIYE 1543NA called for a van not on the hurry up but when I explained I was 20 minutes away they asked for us on the hurry up. CAD 6844/02AUG25 is the cad they were at whilst on route they asked if we had an enforcer on board which we did. Once we arrived at BURNCROFT AVENUE, I saw PC OBSIYE by the door to a block of flats PC Wilson-Wallis and I grabbed the enforcer and entered the block of flats. The enforcer was handed to PC CHAN and PC OBSIYE explained to the resident of 109 BURNCROFT AVNEUE they were under arrest and cautioned them through the door as he refused to open it and was shouting insults and telling us to "FUCK OFF". PC CHAN has then forced entry with the enforcer but the resident and proceeded to kick the door back in our faces 3 times. PC CHAN has entered the address first followed by PC OBSIYE then PC WILSON-WALIS and I was last in. I saw PC CHAN draw his taser and point it towards the back of the flat where I assume the suspect I now know to be SIMON CORDELL was standing. We have then all followed to the living room at the back of the flat where Simon has refused to

comply with officers instructions and has tried to stop PC CHAN from handcuffing him. Simon has just been shouting "LOOK WHATS IN MY HAND LOOK WHATS IN MY HAND" I have then assisted PC CHAN with getting Simon into handcuffs. The whole time Simon has been resisting and trying to stop handcuffs being placed on. Simon has then continued to resist and has been taken to the ground. This has not stopped Simon from resisting and shouting abuse at officers especially PC CHAN and PC OBSIYE. Simon has stated he has just had a operation and officers have hurt him that he had stiches in his stomach and he needed and ambulance. Simon has been kicking out his legs, so we have placed him in leg restraints. Once she was able PC OBSIYE has asked for more units and for an ambulance. NA22N and NA1L have then showed up on the scene.

As Simon did not like us and was being more aggressive towards us and NA22L we have left Simon with NA22N and gone outside. Simon has eventually been taken in an ambulance to NMH.

I have not watched my BWV prior to writing this statement and it is true to the best of my knowledge.

I exhibit my BWV as RAW/01

Signature:



Signature Witnessed by:

RESTRICTED (when complete)

8

08. 08. PC Wilson Wallis.pdf

RESTRICTED (when complete)

MG11C

WITNESS STATEMENT

Criminal Procedure Rules, r 27. 2; Criminal Justice Act 1967, s. 9; Magistrates' Courts Act 1980, s.5B

Statement of: PC George WILSON-WALLIS URN I

Age if under 18: Over *(if over 18 insert 'over 18')* Occupation: Police Constable

This statement (consisting of 2 page(s) each signed by me) is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I make it knowing that, if it is tendered in evidence, I shall be liable to prosecution if I have wilfully stated in it anything which I know to be false, or do not believe to be true.

Witness Signature: ~ Date: 02/08/2025

This is the witnessing officer statement regarding the arrest performed by officer 1543NA at HHBurncroft avenue EN3 7JQ on the 2nd of August 2025 for a man who I know as Samuel for the offence of harassment.

In this statement I will be referring to myself, PC WILLIAMS 1675NA, 1543NA and 2464NA as well as a male by the name of Samuel.

On the 2nd of August 2025 myself and PC WILLIAMS were on standard mobile patrol under call sign NA30L, during are standard mobile patrol NA22L called for a van to facilitate the transport of an individual I would later find out to be called Samuel to custody for the offence of harassment. PC WILLIAMS put up for the call and NA30L was assigned to CAD 6844/02082025 officers were making there was at standard speed however after around 5 minutes of driving they were requested to speed up and get there as soon as possible, after this instruction NA30L made there way toH Burncroft avenue EN3 7JQ as quickly as was safe to. On the way to the address NA22L requested NA30L to bring an enforcer to help enter the property case they needed to.

Once arriving at the scene, I brought PC 2464NA the enforcer to help break the door in whilst this was going on at 21:16 PC 1543NA began arresting Samuel for the offence of harassment through the door as he was refusing to open it as well as this, she also began reading the caution. PC 2464NA asked Samuel one last time to open the door before he would break the door in. Samuel refused to open the door stating "NO I WON'T OPEN THE FUCKING DOOR" as such PC 2464NA began to break door with the enforcer to allow officers to get inside to continue the arrest and restrain Samuel.

Once PC 2464NA got the door open Samuel tried closing the door in his face, this repeated

itself numerous times with PC 2464NA opening the door and Samuel closing it. After a while PC 2464NA managed to open the door at 21:17 allowing officers to get into the building, PC 2464NA pulled out his taser telling him to stop moving and get on the ground whilst PC 1543NA took her baton out and followed closely behind. PC WILLIAMS and I followed behind PC 1543NA ready to restrain the suspect and assist in the arrest should it be required.

After a while Samuel tripped over his sofa and fell onto the sofa facing upwards PC 2464NA and PC 1543NA grabbed his arms whilst I grabbed his legs to stop him from kicking. The reason for my grabbing his legs to stop him kicking was due to squirming and his hostile nature I didn't want him kicking and hurting either himself or my colleagues. PC 2454NA asked Samuel to stand up which he refused to do so myself, PC 2464NA and PC 1543NA picked him up and tried to move him against the wall to get his hands behind his back to apply the hand cuffs. This didn't work so we kept him standing up right and holding his arms in place whilst PC 1543NA tried to put the cuffs on him. Once we got the cuffs on him Samuel carried on screaming and resisting and decided to put himself on the ground first going to his knees and then to his front.

Once on the floor I grabbed a hold of his ankles again to keep him from kicking as I could see him struggling on the floor. Once again, I did this to keep myself, my colleagues and him safe. A couple of seconds later PC 1543NA asked officers if anyone had any leg restraints as he was still squirming and trying to kick,

Witness Signature:

Signature Witnessed by Signature:.....

99/12

RESTRICTED (when complete)

Continuation of Statement of:

PC WILLIAMS had some and gave them to me to apply to the suspect. After the application of the first set of leg restraints I waited ~~RESTRICTED (when complete)~~ down with the first set on and then after seeing that he wasn't calming down and still squirming 1543NA said to apply the second set of leg restraints on him. I agreed with the decision and decided to apply the second set of restraints to him. After the second set of restraints was applied I then kept my leg on his as he was still squirming. As such PC 2546NA recommending calling for additional support as well as a set of supervisors to come down and help assess the situation. PC 1543NA began to do this so I grabbed a hold of his arms to keep him on his side as he tried rolling over back to his front again. Once PC 1543NA was done radioing for additional units I went back to keeping his legs in place.

After another minute of holding on to him Samuel began to stop resisting as such, we got off him and at this point additional units arrived who began speaking to Samuel which made him more calm.

After this I got off him and called for boarding up for his front door whilst other officers dealt with Samuel, after a while he came out of the property and we began to move him to the van to which Samuel sat on the ground in protest stating he wants an ambulance, officers began to explain to him that we were going to take him to hospital in a van however Samuel refused, at

this point members of the public came and started speaking to officers and Samuel. After a while longer a ambulance came and took Samuel inside of it and out of my care.

Witness Signature: [Signature]

Signature Witnessed by Signature:.....

Page 2 of 2



09. 09. Rebecca O HARE 2nd pdf



WITNESS STATEMENT

Criminal Procedure Rules, r 16. 2; Criminal Justice Act 1967, s. 9; Magistrates' Courts Act 1980, s.5B

URN

Statement of: Rebecca O'Hare

Age if under 18: *(if over 18 insert 'over 18')* Occupation: Retail assistant

This statement (consisting of 2 page(s) each signed by me) is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I make it knowing that, if it is tendered in evidence, I shall be liable to prosecution if I have wilfully stated in it anything which I know to be false, or do not believe to be true.

Witness Signature: Rebecca O'Hare

Date:03/08/2

025

I am the above name person and this is my second statement regarding an incident that took place on the 2nd of August 2025 concerning my neighbour who has harassed me.

I have submitted a video to the police upon request, that shows my neighbour hurling abuse at me whilst being at my front door. I exhibit the following video as

ROH/01.

Witness Signature: Rebecca O'Hare

Signature Witnessed by Signature:

Page 1 of 1

01/21

OFFICIAL – SENSITIVE [when complete]

10. 10. Rebecca O hare.pdf

RESTRICTED (when complete)

MG11C

WITNESS STATEMENT

Criminal Procedure Rules, r 27. 2; Criminal Justice Act 1967, s. 9; Magistrates' Courts Act 1980, s.5B

URN

Statement of: Rebecca O'hare

Age if under 18: Over *(if over 18 insert 'over 18')* Occupation:

This statement (consisting of page(s) each signed by me) is true to the best of my

knowledge and belief and I make it knowing that, if it is tendered in evidence, I shall be liable to prosecution if I have wilfully stated in it anything which I know to be false, or do not believe to be true.

Witness Signature: Date: 02/08/2025

This statement relates to my neighbour at 109 Burncroft avenue, EN3 7JQ

I am the above named individual and reside at location known to police.

The incident I am about to describe occurred on Burncroft avenue, the address is a block of flats and there are 6 flats within the block and 2 flats per floor.

In January my neighbour Simon (109) was banging on my door shouting that I was making noise, I'll punch you up, I'll slap you up and your daughter. He also said he would do the same thing to my children's dad. I took a video of this and sent this to the police.

In January I was getting a new door fitted, I've taken my daughter to school. I've come home; the door was taken off the hinges by the contractor. Simon has come upstairs and i was in the living room and I could hear him, Simon was asking the contractor if the guy that lives opposite from me (113) was home. I've gone to the door, and he has started to accuse me of banging on the floor and keeping him awake at night. I've explained that I don't live above him then he has then shouting saying that he would hit me, hit my eldest daughter and drag my unborn baby out my stomach. I kept telling him to move from the door, he then went to say he's got videos of me on a website about being corrupt. As I've called the police on that occasion, he has said call the fucking police I don't care.

On Saturday 2nd August 2025 I was driving into the estate where my block of flats is, as I'm driving in I have seen my neighbour who I only know as Simon, he resides at 109 Burncroft avenue. Whenever come into the estate the first place, I look is the bottom of my block, to see if he is outside. If he is I know that he would try and intimidate me, shout at me or something is going to happen.

As I have driven in and drove around the bend, I could see him from the side staring at my car. I've driven into the small car park on the left and parked my car. I've got out my car to see if he was still there or not, once I've seen he is not there I've walked my two kids upstairs. I've had to go back downstairs to grab my children's nappy bag I told my eldest daughter if the baby wakes up to call m phone. I've locked the door and taken the key with me as I'm walking down the stairs I hear anothe door, Simon has got to the bottom of the stairs before me, he was saying stuff but facing away from

Witness Signature:

Signature Witnessed by Signature:.....

Page 1 of

99/12

RESTRICTED (when complete)

RESTRICTED (when complete)

MG11C

Continuation of Statement of:

me. So I wasn't paying any attention and he has turned around and been like 'you hear me', not in response to him I was like what? And then he has said 'I will blow up your car, I will

blow it to the other side of the street.' Then he was like if you come and ask me, I will tell you I didn't do it.

I've closed the communal door to put space between us, I've walked towards my car to get the nappy bag he has opened the door and was shouting stuff at me as I'm walking towards my car, I wasn't paying attention to what he was shouting at me I was trying to get to my car as quick as possible. I've got to my car and got what I needed and looked if he was still by the door, he wasn't by the door, so I've started walking back home, once I got into my flat. I called the police.

Because of his behaviour I am worried to leave the house with my children. I have been getting other people to drop things off for me. I try my best to stay as far away from him as possible but every time I see him I know that something would happen, there has been incidents when I am at the shop, he would stare at me but would not say anything, trying to intimidate me. I feel unsafe in my own home and fear for me and my children because of his threats, every time I make a complaint a feel that it is put down to his mental health. I am concerned that only if he touches me or my children that something would be done.

Witness Signature:

Signature Witnessed by Signature:.....

11. 11. ROH 01 mp4

12. 12. Simon CORDELL Charges.pdf

CROWN PROSECUTION SERVICE

Rex
v
Simon CORDELL
Charges



Generated Date: 04/08/2025

MG4 Charges

Casefile Reference	01/YE/12679/25
Custody Reference	01YD/5612/25
Custody Station	Wood Green 01YD
OIC	PC 01 P267140 Rathan
AS Number	25/0000/00/971720P
Interpreter involved?	No
Interpreter Name/Language Translated	
First Language	English
First Arrest Date	02/08/2025 21:10

OFFICIAL – SENSITIVE (WHEN COMPLETED)

Defendant Name	Simon CORDELL
Defendant Date of Birth	26/01/1981
Defendant self-defined Ethnicity	
Defendant Address	109 BURNCROFT AVENUE, ENFIELD, ENFIELD, EN3 7JQ

Charges

You are Charged with the Offence(s) shown below. You do not have to say anything, but it may harm your defence if you do not mention now something, which you later rely on in court. Anything you do say may be given in evidence.

Charge	CCCJS Offence Code
--------	--------------------

On 02/08/2025 at ENFIELD in the Borough of Enfield caused Rebecca O'Hare to fear that violence would be used against her by your course of conduct which you knew or ought to have known would cause fear of violence to Rebecca O'Hare on each occasion in that January and 02/08/25	PH97009
On 02/08/2025 at Enfield in the Borough of Enfield, without lawful excuse, threatened Rebecca O'Hare that he would blow up the vehicle belonging to her intending that she would fear that the threat would be carried out	CD71043
Contrary to sections 2(a) and 4 of the Criminal Damage Act 1971.	

Page 1 of 2

Remand	
Appearing At	Highbury Corner Magistrates' Court 51 Holloway Road London N7 8JA
On	04/08/2025 10:00

Page 2 of 2

13. 13. Simon CORDELL Pre Cons.pdf

CROWN PROSECUTION SERVICE

Rex
v
Simon CORDELL
Pre Cons

03/08/25 22:40

THIS PRINTOUT IS PRODUCED FOR THE USE OF THE COURT, DEFENCE AND PROBATION
SERVICE ONLY AND MUST NOT BE DISCLOSED TO ANY OTHER PARTY

DATA PROTECTION LEGISLATION
THESE PERSONAL DATA ARE PROVIDED TO YOU FOR THE AGREED SPECIFIED PURPOSE(S).
KEEP THE DATA SECURE AND PROTECT THEM AGAINST LOSS OR UNAUTHORISED ACCESS.

* *
* *
* COURT/DEFENCE/PROBATION PRINT *
* *
* *

PRINT OF PNC RECORD - PNCID : 97/99378V

PRINT FOR : CAROLINE LUNNY

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES ATTACHED 11

PLEASE NOTE THAT IN THE ABSENCE OF FINGERPRINTS, IDENTITY
CANNOT BE POSITIVELY CONFIRMED WITH THE SUBJECT OF YOUR ENQUIRY
AND YOU SHOULD CONFIRM THE INFORMATION WITH THE PERSON

=====

List needed to be obtained from my solicitor for Arrest [2] 26/08/2025

1. Police body cam's footage's
2. Police notebooks of all police officers in attendance.
3. Request for all police officers and victims as well as witness to attend for trial.

Requests for Arrest [2] 26/08/2025

1. Request for the proceedings to be dismissed due to myself not being arrested or interviewed, asdo nor with an appropriate adult or legal adviser..

What's worrying me is how my solicitor is going to handle the case considering the facts. I.E.

1. legal aid took a relevantly long time to be achieved and therefore legal proceeding could not start and due to the court trial date being set within 2 months and 9 days From **4 August 2025** to **13 October 2025** it has not given us much time to consult.

2. A meeting to be set up so we can go over case 1 and case 2.

3.

4

List of things that need to be sent by me to my solicitor.

2. 2x Statements I can obtain the 3rd is noted on the police bodycam footage,, I heard the Bypassed who is a Adult resident state the complete true ongoings to the police officers when outside of my block. He is the first person Rebecca O'Hare Talks to in her statement in the car park and his name is mark but I do not know his surname or door number in the houses in Burncroft avenue unless allowed to go there. The body cams prove the truth.

3. Request from the Enfield Council and way of the courts or the power possessed in you to the true occupier's name

Legal Pathways to Access Company Information

- **Production Orders under the Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984 (PACE)** You can apply to the court for a *production order* under PACE, which compels a company to disclose specific documents or data relevant to the case. This is often used when voluntary disclosure isn't forthcoming.
- **Disclosure Obligations under the Criminal Procedure and Investigations Act 1996 (CPIA)** If the company is part of the prosecution or holds material relevant to the case, they may be required to disclose it under CPIA. You can challenge non-disclosure if the material is likely to undermine the prosecution or assist the defence.
- **Third-Party Disclosure Applications** Under *Rule 15 of the Criminal Procedure Rules*, you can apply to the court for disclosure from third parties (like companies) if the material is likely to be relevant to the defence. This is a powerful tool when the company isn't directly involved in the prosecution.
- **Data Protection Act 2018 & GDPR** While these laws primarily protect personal data, they also allow access under lawful bases such as legal claims or defence of rights. You may be able to argue that disclosure is necessary for the administration of justice.

On 10/09/2025:

On 11/09/2025:

On 12/09/2025:

On 13/09/2025:

On 14/09/2025:

On 15/09/2025:

My website: [Horrific Corruption: Uncovering the Dark Truth's](#)

[nova1.ddns.net/Si1/](#)

Holder for N1 Claim Form